

# Strings, dual strings, and related canonical systems.

Michael Kaltenböck<sup>\*1</sup>, Henrik Winkler<sup>\*\*1</sup>, and Harald Woracek<sup>\*\*\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Analysis und Scientific Computing  
Technische Universität Wien  
Wiedner Hauptstr. 8–10/101  
A–1040 Wien  
Austria

**Key words** Titchmarsh-Weil coefficient, strings with non-homogenous mass distribution, canonical system, Fourier transformation.  
**MSC (2000)** Primary 47B25, 47E05, 34B20; Secondary 34A55, 34L05, 47A57.

A symmetric Nevanlinna function  $Q$  is of the form  $Q(z) = zQ_s(z^2)$  where  $Q_s$  and  $Q_0(z) = zQ_s(z)$  are also Nevanlinna functions. In such a situation  $Q_s$  and  $-Q_0^{-1}$  are Stieltjes functions. An inverse result of L. de Branges implies that each Nevanlinna function is the Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of a uniquely determined canonical system with some nonnegative Hamiltonian matrix function  $H$ , and, according to M.G. Krein, each Stieltjes function is the Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of a uniquely determined string. The Hamiltonians corresponding to  $Q_s$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q$  are constructed in terms of the string corresponding to  $Q_s$  and the dual string corresponding to  $-Q_0^{-1}$ . The relations between the associated Fourier transformations are described by commuting isometric isomorphisms between the considered spaces.

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

## 1 Introduction

Recall [15] that if a function  $Q_s(z)$  belongs to the class of Stieltjes functions  $\mathcal{S}$  then the functions  $Q_0(z) = zQ_s(z)$  and  $Q_d(z) = zQ_s(z^2)$  belong to the class  $\mathcal{N}$  of Nevanlinna functions. Let  $\widehat{Q}(z) = -Q(z)^{-1}$ . Then  $Q \in \mathcal{N}$  if and only if  $\widehat{Q} \in \mathcal{N}$ , and the function  $-(zQ_s(z))^{-1}$  belongs as well to the Stieltjes class, see [15]. A well known inverse result of L. de Branges (see [7], [8], [23]) states that each Nevanlinna function is the Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of a uniquely determined 2-dimensional system of canonical differential equations (2.26) which is characterized by a real, nonnegative and trace normed matrix function  $H$ , called the Hamiltonian. Moreover, according to M.G. Krein, (see [16], [21]) each Stieltjes function is the principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of a unique string  $S[L, m]$  which is characterized by its length  $L$  and a measure  $m$  which may be considered as the mass distribution of a physical string.

Let a string  $S[L, m]$  with principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient  $Q_s(z)$  be given. Then the problem arises how to express the Hamiltonians  $H_s$ ,  $H_0$  and  $H_d$  associated with the Nevanlinna functions  $Q_s$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_d$ , and also the Hamiltonians corresponding to  $\widehat{Q}_s$ ,  $\widehat{Q}_0$  and  $\widehat{Q}_d$ , in terms of the length  $L$  and the measure  $m$  of the string  $S[L, m]$ . Partial results concerning this question are contained in e.g. [11], [8], [20], [19], [21], [18], see also [14], [10], and [1], [2], [3], [22] for  $n$ -dimensional canonical systems. The Stieltjes function  $Q_{\widehat{s}}(z) = -(zQ_s(z))^{-1}$  is the principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of the dual string of  $S[L, m]$ , that is, roughly speaking, the string which arises if length and mass in  $S[L, m]$  are interchanged (see, e.g., [16], [9]). It turns out that the Hamiltonian  $H_s$ , which has the same Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient as the string  $S[L, m]$ , can be expressed in terms of the corresponding dual string.

Let  $\sigma$  denote the spectral measure (see (2.1)) of some Nevanlinna function  $Q$ . If  $H$  is the associated Hamiltonian, there is a Fourier transformation mapping the space  $L^2_H$  isometrically onto  $L^2_\sigma$  such that the action of

\* e-mail: michael.kaltenbaeck@tuwien.ac.at

\*\* e-mail: henrik@osiris.tuwien.ac.at

\*\*\* e-mail: harald.woracek@tuwien.ac.at

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

the selfadjoint differential operator determined by the canonical system in  $L^2_H$  becomes the operator of multiplication with the independent variable in  $L^2_\sigma$ , see e.g. [6], [13], [12]. An aim of this paper is to give a comprehensive description of all the isometric isomorphisms which connect the different Fourier transformations of all the Hamiltonians associated with the Nevanlinna functions  $Q_s$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $Q_d$ , and the Fourier transformations which are determined by the string  $S[L, m]$  and its dual string. The fact that  $Q_d(z) = -Q_d(-z)$  implies that the corresponding spectral measure  $\sigma_d$  is symmetric and that the associated Hamiltonian  $H_d = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2)$  is of diagonal form. The function  $h_1$  is related to the length of the string, and the function  $h_2$  is related to the corresponding mass function. It turns out that the decomposition of the vector functions  $f = (f_1, f_2)^T \in L^2_{H_d}$  into its components  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  corresponds via the Fourier transformation to the splitting of  $L^2_\sigma$  into the subspaces  $L^2_{\sigma,o}$  of the odd, and  $L^2_{\sigma,e}$  of the even functions. There are isometric isomorphisms between  $L^2_{H_0}$  and  $L^2_{\sigma,o}$  and  $L^2_{H_s}$  and  $L^2_{\sigma,e}$ , and also between the space  $L^2_m$  of the string  $S[L, m]$  and  $L^2_{\sigma,e}$ .

The second chapter contains preliminary results from the literature about Nevanlinna and Stieltjes functions, strings and canonical systems. The third chapter is concerned with dual strings, and a couple of partially known results about the interaction between strings and dual strings are formulated for later use. Chapter 4 contains explicit relations for all the Hamiltonians which are connected with the string as mentioned above, and the isometries between the corresponding spaces are described. In chapter 5 the isometric isomorphisms which arise between the different Fourier transformations are presented, see Theorem 5.1 below.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Subclasses of Nevanlinna functions

A function  $Q$  is said to belong to the set of Nevanlinna functions  $\mathcal{N}$  if it is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , satisfies the symmetry condition  $Q(\bar{z}) = \overline{Q(z)}$ , and maps the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{C}^+$  into  $\mathbb{C}^+ \cup \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, the relation  $\text{Im } Q(z) \geq 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$  holds. A Nevanlinna function  $Q \in \mathcal{N}$  is said to belong to the set  $\mathcal{S}$ , the class of Stieltjes functions, if additionally the function  $\tilde{Q}$  defined by  $\tilde{Q}(z) = zQ(z)$  has the property that  $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{N}$ , see [15]. Recall [17] that a Nevanlinna function  $Q$  is called symmetric,  $Q \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}$ , if  $Q$  is odd, that is  $Q(z) = -Q(-z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Each  $Q \in \mathcal{N}$  has a unique representation of the form

$$Q(z) = a + bz + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{t}{1+t^2} \right) d\sigma(t), \quad (2.1)$$

with  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \geq 0$ , and a measure  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the property that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{1+t^2} < \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $Q \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}$  be given. Define functions  $Q_s$  and  $Q_0$  by the relations*

$$zQ_s(z^2) = Q(z), \quad \frac{Q_0(z^2)}{z} = Q(z). \quad (2.2)$$

*Then  $Q_s, -\frac{1}{Q_0} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\sigma, \sigma_s$  and  $\sigma_0$  be the spectral measures of  $Q, Q_s$  and  $Q_0$ , respectively. Then the measures  $\sigma_s$  and  $\sigma_0$  are given by*

$$d\sigma_s(t^2) = 2d\sigma(t), \quad d\sigma_0(t^2) = 2t^2d\sigma(t). \quad (2.3)$$

*Put*

$$L^2_{\sigma,e} := \{h \in L^2_\sigma : h(-t) = h(t)\}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$L^2_{\sigma,o} := \{k \in L^2_\sigma : k(-t) = -k(t)\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then  $L_\sigma^2 = L_{\sigma,e}^2 \oplus L_{\sigma,o}^2$ , and we have the following isometric isomorphisms:

$$L_{\sigma_s}^2 \mapsto L_{\sigma,e}^2 : f(t) \rightarrow h(t) := f(t^2), \quad (2.6)$$

$$L_{\sigma_o}^2 \mapsto L_{\sigma,o}^2 : g(t) \rightarrow k(t) := tg(t^2). \quad (2.7)$$

**Proof.** As  $Q \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}$  is symmetric, the functions  $Q_0$  and  $Q_s$  are well-defined. Note that  $Q_0(z) = zQ_s(z)$ . The proposition 4.6 of [15] implies that  $Q_s$  and  $Q_0$  are Nevanlinna functions, and the relations  $Q_s, -Q_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$  follow by a result also from the paper [15]. Recall the Stieltjes - Lifschitz inversion formula: Let  $Q \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\phi$  be real and analytic on  $[a, b]$ . If  $\sigma(\{a\}) = \sigma(\{b\}) = 0$ , then

$$\int_a^b \phi(t) d\sigma(t) = \lim_{y \searrow 0} \pi^{-1} \int_a^b \operatorname{Im} (\phi(t + iy) Q(t + iy)) dt. \quad (2.8)$$

In particular, if  $\sigma'(t)$  exists, then  $\sigma'(t) = \pi^{-1} \lim_{y \searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} Q(t + iy)$ . By (2.8), the relations (2.3) follow from (2.2). The measure  $d\sigma$  is symmetric, that is  $d\sigma(t) = -d\sigma(-t)$ . If  $f \in L_{\sigma_s}^2$ , define the even function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $h(t) = f(t^2)$ . It follows that

$$\|f\|_{L_{\sigma_s}^2}^2 = \int_0^\infty |f(t^2)|^2 d\sigma_s(t^2) = 2 \int_0^\infty |h(t)|^2 d\sigma(t) = \|h\|_{L_\sigma^2}^2.$$

Analogously, if  $g \in L_{\sigma_o}^2$ , define  $k$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $k(t) = tg(t^2)$ . It follows that

$$\|g\|_{L_{\sigma_o}^2}^2 = \int_0^\infty |g(t^2)|^2 d\sigma_o(t^2) = 2 \int_0^\infty |k(t)|^2 d\sigma(t) = \|k\|_{L_\sigma^2}^2.$$

□

## 2.2 Strings

A string  $S[L, m]$  is given by its length  $L$ ,  $0 \leq L \leq \infty$ , and a non-negative (possibly infinite) Borel measure  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\operatorname{supp} m \subseteq [0, L]$  such that  $m([0, x]) < \infty$  for  $x \in [0, L]$  and  $m(\{L\}) = 0$ . Define

$$m(x) := m((-\infty, x)), \quad x \in (-\infty, L]. \quad (2.9)$$

Then  $m$  is a non-decreasing left-continuous function defined on  $(-\infty, L]$  if  $L < \infty$ , or on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  if  $L = \infty$ , such that  $m(x) = 0$  if  $x \leq 0$ . Consider the integral equation boundary value problem with complex parameter  $z$ :

$$y'(x) + \int_{[0,x]} zy(u) dm(u) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$y'(0-) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad y(L) = 0 \text{ if } L + m(L) < \infty. \quad (2.11)$$

This problem arises if Fourier's method is applied to the partial differential equation which describes the vibrations of a string with free left endpoint 0 on the interval  $[0, L]$  or  $[0, L]$ , where  $m(x)$  is the mass of the string on the interval  $[0, x]$ . Let  $l := \operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{supp} m)$ . The string  $S[L, m]$  is called *singular* if  $l + m(l) = \infty$ , otherwise, if  $l + m(l) < \infty$ , it is called *regular*.

There exists unique solutions  $\varphi(x, z)$  and  $\psi(x, z)$  (see [16]) of the equation (2.10) on  $[0, L]$  which satisfy the initial conditions

$$\varphi(0, z) = 1, \quad \varphi'(0-, z) = 0, \quad \psi(0, z) = 0, \quad \psi'(0-, z) = 1. \quad (2.12)$$

Note that if  $y$  is a solution of (2.10), then  $y'$  is continuous from the right. It follows easily that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are the solutions of the following integral equations:

$$\varphi(x, z) = 1 - z \int_{[0, x]} (x - s) \varphi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s), \quad 0 \leq x < L, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\psi(x, z) = x - z \int_{[0, x]} (x - s) \psi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s), \quad 0 \leq x < L. \quad (2.14)$$

Note that

$$\varphi'(x, z) = -z \int_{[0, x]} \varphi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s), \quad 0 \leq x < L, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\varphi'(x-, z) = -z \int_{[0, x)} \varphi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s), \quad 0 \leq x < L, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\psi'(x, z) = 1 - z \int_{[0, x]} \psi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s), \quad 0 \leq x < L, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\psi'(x-, z) = 1 - z \int_{[0, x)} \psi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s), \quad 0 \leq x < L. \quad (2.18)$$

In particular,  $\psi'(0, z) = \psi'(0-, z) = 1$  and  $\varphi'(0, z) = -zm(0+)$ . As  $\mathbf{m}(\{x\}) = 0$  for almost all  $x \in [0, L]$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure, the relations

$$\varphi(x, z) - 1 = \int_0^x \varphi'(s, z) ds = \int_0^x \varphi'(s-, z) ds, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\psi(x, z) = \int_0^x \psi'(s, z) ds = \int_0^x \psi'(s-, z) ds, \quad (2.20)$$

follow. Let  $b := \min(\text{supp } \mathbf{m})$ , that is,  $m(x) = 0$  if  $x \leq b$  and  $m(x) > 0$  if  $x > b$ . Note that  $b \geq 0$ . The limit

$$q_S(z) := \lim_{x \rightarrow L} \frac{\psi(x, z)}{\varphi(x, z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty), \quad (2.21)$$

exists and admits the representation

$$q_S(z) := b + \int_0^\infty \frac{d\sigma_S(t)}{t - z}, \quad (2.22)$$

where  $\sigma_S$  is a non-negative measure with the property

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\sigma_S(t)}{1 + t} < \infty, \quad (2.23)$$

that is,  $q_S \in \mathcal{S}$  (see [16]). According to M.G. Krein, the measure  $\sigma_S$  is called the *principal spectral measure* of the string  $S[L, \mathbf{m}]$ . We shall call the corresponding function  $q_S$  the *principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient* of the string  $S[L, \mathbf{m}]$ . A basic inverse result of M.G. Krein states (see [9], [21]): *Any function  $q \in \mathcal{S}$  is the principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of a (regular or singular) string  $S[L, \mathbf{m}]$ ; this string is uniquely determined by  $q$ .*

Denote by  $L_{\mathbf{m}, 0}^2$  the subset of  $L_{\mathbf{m}}^2$  of elements which vanish identically near  $L$  if  $S[L, \mathbf{m}]$  is singular and define for  $f \in L_{\mathbf{m}, 0}^2$  the following kind of Fourier transformation:

$$F_S(f, z) := \int_0^L \varphi(x, z) f(x) d\mathbf{m}(x). \quad (2.24)$$

It can be shown (see [16]) that the mapping  $F_S : f \mapsto F(f, \cdot)$  is an isometry from  $L_{m,0}^2$  onto a dense subset of  $L_{\sigma_S}^2$ . Hence it can be continuously extended to all of  $L_m^2$ . The inverse transformation, mapping  $L_{\sigma_S}^2$  onto  $L_m^2$ , is given by

$$f(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \varphi(x, \lambda) F_S(f, \lambda) d\sigma_S(\lambda), \quad x \in [0, L], \quad (2.25)$$

where l.i.m. denotes the limit in the norm of  $L_m^2$ . Note that the Parseval identity  $[f, g]_{L_m^2} = [F_S(f, \cdot), F_S(g, \cdot)]_{L_{\sigma_S}^2}$  for  $f, g \in L_m^2$  holds.

### 2.3 Canonical systems

Let  $H$  be a real, symmetric and non-negative  $2 \times 2$ -matrix function on the interval  $[0, l_H)$ :

$$H(x) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(x) & h_3(x) \\ h_3(x) & h_2(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [0, l_H),$$

with locally integrable functions  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  and  $h_3$ . In this section we consider initial value problems of the form

$$Jy'(x) = -zH(x)y(x), \quad x \in [0, l_H), \quad y_1(0) = 0, \quad (2.26)$$

with  $y(x) = (y_1(x) \ y_2(x))^T$ ,  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and a complex parameter  $z$ . Here the differential equation in (2.26) is considered to hold almost everywhere on  $[0, l_H)$ . The *fundamental matrix function*

$$W(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}(x, z) & w_{12}(x, z) \\ w_{21}(x, z) & w_{22}(x, z) \end{pmatrix}$$

of a canonical system (2.26) with Hamiltonian  $H$  is the unique solution of the integral equation

$$W(x, z)J - J = z \int_0^x W(s, z)H(s)ds. \quad (2.27)$$

Note that  $W(0, z) = I$ . At  $l_H$  for the canonical system (2.26) Weyl's limit point case prevails if and only if

$$\int_0^{l_H} \text{trace } H(x)dx = \infty, \quad (2.28)$$

and from now on we assume that for each Hamiltonian  $H$  the relation (2.28) holds, and that  $H$  is not identically equal to  $\text{diag}(1 \ 0)$  on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ . Then the limit point case prevails at  $l_H$ , and it follows that for each  $\omega \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$  the limit

$$Q(z) := \lim_{x \rightarrow l_H} \frac{w_{11}(x, z)\omega(z) + w_{12}(x, z)}{w_{21}(x, z)\omega(z) + w_{22}(x, z)} \quad (2.29)$$

exists, is independent of  $\omega$ , and, as a function of  $z$ , belongs to the set of Nevanlinna functions  $\mathcal{N}$  (see, e.g., [5]). The function  $Q$  is called the *Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient* of the canonical system (2.26) or of the Hamiltonian  $H$ . The measure  $\sigma$  in the representation (2.1) of  $Q$  is called the *spectral measure* of the canonical system (2.26) or of the Hamiltonian  $H$ . In particular, if  $H = VV^T$  a.e. on  $(l, \infty)$  for some constant vector  $V = (v_1, v_2)^T \neq 0$  then

$$Q(z) := \frac{v_1 w_{11}(l, z) + v_2 w_{12}(l, z)}{v_1 w_{21}(l, z) + v_2 w_{22}(l, z)}. \quad (2.30)$$

The following intervals play a special role in the sequel (see [13], [8]). Let  $\xi_\phi := (\cos \phi, \sin \phi)^T$  for some  $\phi \in [0, \pi)$ . The open interval  $I_\phi \subset [0, l_H)$  is called *H-indivisible of type  $\phi$*  if the relation

$$\xi_\phi^T JH = 0, \text{ a.e. on } I_\phi, \quad (2.31)$$

holds. In particular,  $\det H = 0$  a.e. on  $I_\phi$ . An *H-indivisible interval* is called *maximal* if it is not a proper subset of another *H-indivisible interval*.

With the Hamiltonian  $H$  the following Hilbert space  $L_H^2$  is associated (see [13]): It is the set of all (equivalence classes of) 2-vector functions  $f(x) = (f_1(x) \ f_2(x))^T$  on  $[0, l_H)$  with the properties

$$(i) \int_0^{l_H} f(x)^* H(x) f(x) dx < +\infty,$$

(ii) for every *H-indivisible interval*  $I_\phi$  of type  $\phi$  there is a constant  $c_{I_\phi, f} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\xi_\phi^T f = c_{I_\phi, f}$ , a.e. on  $I_\phi$ , equipped with the inner product

$$(f, g)_{L_H^2} := \int_0^{l_H} g(x)^* H(x) f(x) dx.$$

A Hamiltonian  $H$  is called *trace normed* if  $\text{trace } H = h_1 + h_2 = 1$  a.e. on  $[0, \infty)$ . For the class of trace normed Hamiltonians a basic inverse result in [7] can be formulated as follows (see [23], [19]): *Each function  $Q \in \mathcal{N}$  is the Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of a canonical system with a trace normed Hamiltonian  $H$  on  $[0, \infty)$  which is not equal to  $\text{diag}(1, 0)$  a. e. on  $[0, \infty)$ ; this correspondence is bijective if two Hamiltonians which coincide almost everywhere are identified.*

We mention that in the representation (2.1) of a Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient  $Q$  the number  $b \geq 0$  is positive if and only if  $(0, b)$  is a maximal indivisible interval of the corresponding trace normed Hamiltonian  $H$  such that  $H = \text{diag}(1, 0)$  a. e. on  $(0, b)$ . Let  $Q_H$  denote the Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient corresponding to some Hamiltonian  $H$ , and let

$$\widehat{H} = JHJ^T. \quad (2.32)$$

The relation (2.29) implies that  $Q_{\widehat{H}}(z) = -(Q_H(z))^{-1}$ . For  $f \in L_H^2$  the function  $\widehat{f} := Jf$  belongs to  $L_{\widehat{H}}^2$ , and it is easy to see that

$$\|f\|_{L_H^2} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L_{\widehat{H}}^2}.$$

Hence, the mapping

$$L_H^2 \mapsto L_{\widehat{H}}^2 : f \rightarrow \widehat{f},$$

establishes an isometric isomorphism. We set

$$u(x, z) := \begin{pmatrix} w_{21}(x, z) \\ w_{22}(x, z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by  $L_{H,0}^2$  the subset of  $L_H^2$  of elements which vanish identically near  $l_H$ , and define for  $f \in L_{H,0}^2$  the following sort of Fourier transformation:

$$F_H(f, z) := \int_0^{l_H} u(x, z)^T H(x) f(x) dx. \quad (2.33)$$

It can be shown (see [6]) that the mapping  $F_H : f \mapsto F_H(f, \cdot)$  is an isometry from  $L_{H,0}^2$  onto a dense subset of  $L_\sigma^2$ . Hence it can be extended by continuity to all of  $L_H^2$ . The inverse transformation, mapping  $L_\sigma^2$  onto  $L_H^2$ , is given by

$$f(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-N}^{+N} u(x, \lambda) F_H(f, \lambda) d\sigma(\lambda), \quad x \in [0, l_H), \quad (2.34)$$

where l.i.m. denotes the limit in the norm of  $L_H^2$ . Parseval's identity  $[f, g]_{L_H^2} = [F_H(f, \cdot), F_H(g, \cdot)]_{L_G^2}$  holds for  $f, g \in L_H^2$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *If  $H$  is of diagonal form, that is  $H = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2)$ , then  $Q_H \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ . Clearly,  $M^2 = I$  and  $JM = -MJ$ , and  $MHM = H$  as  $H$  is of diagonal form. With the last relations it follows from (2.27) that  $W(x, z) = MW(x, -z)M$ , and the relation (2.29) implies that  $Q_H(z) = -Q_H(-z)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Dual strings

Let a string  $S[L, m]$  be given. As  $m$  is non-decreasing, its inverse function exists and determines also a string, see, e.g., [16], [9]. Define

$$\hat{L} = \begin{cases} m(L) & \text{if } L + m(L) = \infty, \\ \infty & \text{if } L + m(L) < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) = \inf\{x \geq 0 : \hat{\xi} \leq m(x)\}, \quad \hat{\xi} \in [0, m(L)], \quad (3.2)$$

with the additional conditions that  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) = 0$  for  $\hat{\xi} \in (-\infty, 0)$  and, if  $L + m(L) < \infty$  then

$$\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) = L \text{ for } \hat{\xi} \in (m(L), \infty).$$

The function  $\hat{m}$  is non-decreasing, and if  $L + m(L) = \infty$ , it follows that  $\hat{m}(\hat{L}) = l$ , the supremum of growth points of  $m$ . The left continuity of  $m$  implies that  $m(L) = \hat{l}$ , the supremum of growth points of  $\hat{m}$ . To see that  $\hat{m}$  is also left-continuous, let  $\hat{\xi}_n \nearrow \hat{\xi}$ ,  $a_n = \hat{m}(\hat{\xi}_n)$ ,  $a = \lim a_n$ ,  $b = \hat{m}(\hat{\xi})$ . Assume that  $a < b$ , then  $\hat{\xi}_n \leq m(t) < \hat{\xi}$  for  $t \in (a, b)$ , and it follows that  $\hat{\xi} \leq m(t) < \hat{\xi}$ , a contradiction. Note that

$$\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+) = \sup\{x \leq L : m(x) \leq \hat{\xi}\}, \quad \hat{\xi} \in [0, m(L)]. \quad (3.3)$$

To see this, let  $\hat{\xi}_n \searrow \hat{\xi}$ ,  $d_n = \hat{m}(\hat{\xi}_n)$ ,  $d = \lim d_n$ ,  $c = \sup\{x : m(x) \leq \hat{\xi}\}$ . If  $c < d$ , then  $\hat{\xi} < m(t) < \hat{\xi}_n$  for  $t \in (c, d)$ , and it follows that  $\hat{\xi} \leq m(t) < \hat{\xi}$ , a contradiction.

Let  $\hat{m}$  denote the corresponding measure generated by  $\hat{m}$ . The string  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  is called the dual string of  $S[L, m]$ , that is, roughly speaking, the string which arises if mass and length change their role in  $S[L, m]$ . Note that  $\hat{m}(\hat{L}) + \hat{L} < \infty$  if and only if the string  $S[L, m]$  is regular with  $L = \infty$ , in this case the relations  $\hat{L} = m(l+)$  and  $\hat{m}(\hat{L}) = l$  hold. Moreover, the string  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  is regular with  $\hat{L} = \infty$  if and only if  $L + m(L) < \infty$ . It follows that the string  $S[L, m]$  is regular if and only if its dual string  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  is regular.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $S[L, m]$  be some string and  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  be its dual string. Then the relation  $m(\xi+) \in \text{supp } \hat{m}$  holds. If  $\hat{\xi} < m(\xi)$  then  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) < \xi$ , and if  $m(\xi+) < \hat{\xi}$  then  $\xi < \hat{m}(\hat{\xi})$ . If  $\xi \in \text{supp } m$  then  $\hat{m}(m(\xi+)) = \xi$ . Moreover, if  $m(\xi+) > m(\xi)$  then  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) = \xi$  for  $\hat{\xi} \in (m(\xi), m(\xi+))$ . If  $0 \leq \xi \leq L$  and  $\xi \notin \text{supp } m$ , then  $\hat{m}$  has a jump at  $m(\xi)$  and  $\xi \in (\hat{m}(m(\xi)), \hat{m}(m(\xi+)))$ .*

*Proof.* The relation  $m(\xi+) \in \text{supp } \hat{m}$  is a consequence of the other statements of the present Lemma. If  $\hat{\xi} < m(\xi)$ , the left continuity of  $m$  implies that there is some  $x < \xi$  such that  $\hat{\xi} < m(x) < m(\xi)$ , and  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) < \xi$  follows from (3.2). Now let  $m(\xi+) < \hat{\xi}$ . As  $m(\xi+)$  is a limit from the right, there is some  $x > \xi$  such that  $m(x) < \hat{\xi}$ , and the relation (3.2) implies that  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) \geq x > \xi$ . Let  $\xi \in \text{supp } m$ . Then

$$\hat{m}(m(\xi+)) = \inf\{x : m(\xi+) \leq m(x)\} = \xi.$$

Let  $m(\xi+) > m(\xi)$ . If  $\hat{\xi} \in (m(\xi), m(\xi+))$ , then also

$$\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) = \inf\{x : \hat{\xi} \leq m(x)\} = \xi.$$

Let  $0 \leq \xi \neq \text{supp } m$ , then  $m$  is constant in an open neighborhood of  $\xi$ , and it follows that

$$\hat{m}(m(\xi)) = \inf\{x : m(x) = m(\xi)\} < \xi < \sup\{x : m(x) = m(\xi)\} = \hat{m}(m(\xi)+).$$

□

**Lemma 3.2** *Each string  $S[L, m]$  is equal to the dual string of its dual string  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S[L, m]$  be regular. If  $L = \infty$  then  $\hat{L} + \hat{m}(\hat{L}) < \infty$  and hence  $\hat{\hat{L}} = \infty$ . Moreover,  $\hat{m}(\infty) = \hat{L} = m(\infty)$ . If  $L < \infty$  then  $\hat{L} = \infty$  and  $\hat{\hat{L}} = \hat{m}(\hat{L}+) = L$  by the relation (3.3). It follows that  $\hat{m}(L) = \hat{L} = m(L)$ . If  $S[L, m]$  is singular, then

$$\hat{\hat{L}} = \hat{m}(\hat{L}) = \inf\{x \geq 0 : m(L) \leq m(x)\} = L.$$

The Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\hat{m}(\xi) = \inf\{x \geq 0 : \xi \leq \hat{m}(x)\} = m(\xi), \quad \xi \in [0, L]. \quad (3.4)$$

□

The relation  $\hat{\hat{S}} = S$  implies that to each result concerning  $S$  and  $\hat{S}$  a corresponding dual result exists. In particular, the relations

$$m(\xi) = \inf\{\hat{x} \geq 0 : \xi \leq \hat{m}(\hat{x})\}, \quad \xi \in [0, l], \quad (3.5)$$

$$m(\xi+) = \sup\{\hat{x} \leq \hat{L} : \hat{m}(\hat{x}) \leq \xi\}, \quad \xi \in [0, l]. \quad (3.6)$$

hold. The dual result of the Lemma 3.1 is

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $S[L, m]$  be some string and  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  be its dual string. Then the relation  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+) \in \text{supp } m$  holds. If  $\xi < \hat{m}(\hat{\xi})$  then  $m(\xi) < \hat{\xi}$ , and if  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+) < \xi$  then  $\hat{\xi} < m(\xi)$ . Let  $\hat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \hat{m}$ . Then  $m(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+)) = \hat{\xi}$ . Moreover, if  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+) > \hat{m}(\hat{\xi})$  then  $m(\xi) = \hat{\xi}$  for  $\xi \in (\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}), \hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+)]$ . If  $0 \leq \hat{\xi} \leq \hat{L}$  and  $\hat{\xi} \notin \text{supp } \hat{m}$ , then  $m$  has a jump at  $\hat{m}(\hat{\xi})$  and  $\hat{\xi} \in (m(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi})), m(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+))$ .*

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $f$  be a measurable function. Then*

$$\int_{[a,b]} f(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) = \int_{[m(a), m(b+)]} f(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi})) d\hat{\xi}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\int_{[a,b]} f(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) = \int_{[m(a), m(b)]} f(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi})) d\hat{\xi}. \quad (3.8)$$

Analogously, if  $g$  is a measurable function, then

$$\int_{[a,b]} g(\hat{\xi}) d\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\hat{\xi}) = \int_{[\hat{m}(a), \hat{m}(b+)]} g(m(\xi)) d\xi, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\int_{[a,b]} g(\hat{\xi}) d\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\hat{\xi}) = \int_{[\hat{m}(a), \hat{m}(b)]} g(m(\xi)) d\xi. \quad (3.10)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \text{supp } m$ . If  $m(\xi+) > m(\xi)$ , then  $\xi = \hat{m}(\hat{\xi})$  for  $\hat{\xi} \in (m(\xi), m(\xi+)]$  by Lemma 3.1. It follows that  $f(\xi)m(\{\xi\}) = \int_{[m(\xi), m(\xi+)]} f(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi})) d\hat{\xi}$ . If  $m(\xi+) = m(\xi)$ , Lemma 3.1 implies that  $\hat{m}(m(\xi)) = \xi$ , hence, with  $\hat{\xi} = m(\xi)$  the relations  $f(\xi) = f(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}))$  and  $d\mathbf{m}(\xi) = d\hat{\xi}$  follow. This proves the relations (3.7) and (3.8), and a similar argument using the Corollary 3.3 implies the relations (3.9) and (3.10). □

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $S[L, m]$  be some string and  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  be its dual string. Let  $\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\xi}, z)$  and  $\hat{\psi}(\hat{\xi}, z)$  satisfy the corresponding relations (2.14) and (2.13) for  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  instead of  $S[L, m]$  with the corresponding initial conditions (2.12). Then*

$$\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\xi}, z) = \psi'(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}), z), \quad \hat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \hat{m}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\hat{\psi}(\hat{\xi}, z) = -z^{-1}\varphi'(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}), z), \quad \hat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \hat{m}, \quad (3.12)$$



and

$$\varphi(\xi, z) = \widehat{\psi}'(m(\xi), z), \quad \xi \in \text{supp } m, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\psi(\xi, z) = -z^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}'(m(\xi), z), \quad \xi \in \text{supp } m. \quad (3.14)$$

**Proof.** The main part of the proof is to check that the functions  $\psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), z)$  and  $-z^{-1}\varphi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), z)$  satisfy the equations (2.13) and (2.14) for  $\widehat{m}$  replaced by  $m$  and  $\widehat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \widehat{m}$ : Let  $\widehat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \widehat{m}$  such that  $\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+) > \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi})$ . Then  $m((\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))) = 0$  by Lemma 3.1, and the relations (2.15), (2.16), (2.17), and (2.18) imply for  $\xi \in (\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))$  that  $\varphi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+)-, z) = \varphi'(\xi, z) = \varphi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), z)$  and  $\psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+)-, z) = \psi'(\xi, z) = \psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), z)$ . Note that the relation

$$\inf\{\widehat{s} : u < \widehat{m}(\widehat{s})\} = \sup\{\widehat{s} : \widehat{m}(\widehat{s}) \leq u\} = m(u+), \quad u \in [0, L],$$

together with the Corollary 3.3 and the relation (3.8) imply

$$\int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))} \chi_{[0, s)}(u) d\mathbf{m}(s) = \int_{[0, \widehat{\xi})} \chi_{[0, \widehat{m}(s))}(u) d\widehat{s} = \widehat{\xi} - m(u+), \quad \widehat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \widehat{m}.$$

With the last relation and Corollary 3.4 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), z) &= 1 - z \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi})]} \psi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s) \\ &= 1 - z \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))} \psi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s) \\ &= 1 - z \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))} \chi_{[0, s)}(u) \psi'(u, z) du d\mathbf{m}(s) \\ &= 1 - z \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))} (\widehat{\xi} - m(u+)) \psi'(u, z) du \\ &= 1 - z \int_{[0, \widehat{\xi}]} (\widehat{\xi} - \widehat{u}) \psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{u}), z) d\widehat{\mathbf{m}}(\widehat{u}). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality sign is a consequence of (3.9) and the fact that  $m(u+) = m(u)$  on  $[0, L)$  with the exception of a countable set. Hence, the function  $\psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), z)$  satisfies the relation (2.13) for  $\widehat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \widehat{m}$ . For any  $\widehat{\xi} \in [0, \widehat{L})$ , let  $\widehat{x} = \sup\{u \in \text{supp } \widehat{m} : u \leq \widehat{\xi}\}$  and define

$$\phi(\widehat{\xi}, z) = \psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{x}), z) - z(\widehat{\xi} - \widehat{x}) \int_{[0, \widehat{x})} \psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{u}), z) d\widehat{\mathbf{m}}(\widehat{u}).$$

In particular,  $\phi(\widehat{\xi}, z) = \psi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), z)$  if  $\widehat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \widehat{m}$ . It is easy to see that  $\phi(\widehat{\xi}, z)$  satisfies the relation (2.13) for all  $\widehat{\xi} \in [0, \widehat{L})$ . As the solution of the equation (2.13) is unique, one finds that  $\phi(\widehat{\xi}, z) = \widehat{\varphi}(\widehat{\xi}, z)$ , and the relation (3.11) follows as a special case. In the same way we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}), z) &= -z \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi})]} \varphi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s) \\ &= -z \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))} \varphi(s, z) d\mathbf{m}(s) \\ &= -z \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))} \left( 1 + \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+)} \chi_{[0, s)}(u) \varphi'(u, z) du \right) d\mathbf{m}(s) \\ &= -z\widehat{\xi} - z \int_{[0, \widehat{m}(\widehat{\xi}+))} (\widehat{\xi} - m(u+)) \varphi'(u, z) du \\ &= -z\widehat{\xi} - z \int_{[0, \widehat{\xi}]} (\widehat{\xi} - \widehat{u}) \varphi'(\widehat{m}(\widehat{u}), z) d\widehat{\mathbf{m}}(\widehat{u}), \end{aligned}$$

and the relation (3.12) follows as above from the last relation and the relation (2.14).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $q_S$  be the principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of some string  $S[L, m]$ , and let  $q_{\hat{S}}$  denote the principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of the corresponding dual string  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$ . Then*

$$q_{\hat{S}}(z) = \frac{-1}{zq_S(z)}. \quad (3.15)$$

*Proof.* If the string  $S[L, m]$  is singular, the limit point case prevails at  $L$ . Then also (see [16])

$$q_S(z) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow L} \frac{\psi'(\xi, z)}{\varphi'(\xi, z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty).$$

As  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  is also singular, the last relation together with the relations (3.11), (3.12), and  $\hat{m}(\hat{L}) = L$  imply that

$$q_{\hat{S}}(z) = \lim_{\hat{\xi} \rightarrow \hat{L}} \frac{\hat{\psi}(\hat{\xi}, z)}{\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\xi}, z)} = \frac{-1}{zq_S(z)}.$$

Let  $L + m(L) < \infty$ , then  $\hat{L} = \infty$  and  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{m}]$  is regular. The relation (2.21) implies that

$$q_{\hat{S}}(z) = \frac{\hat{\psi}'(\hat{l}, z)}{\hat{\varphi}'(\hat{l}, z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty). \quad (3.16)$$

The relations  $\hat{l} = m(L)$ ,  $l = \hat{m}(\hat{l})$  and  $\hat{m}(\{\hat{l}\}) = L - l$  hold. If  $m(l) < m(l+) = m(L)$ , then  $\hat{m}((m(l), m(L))) = 0$ . The relations (3.11) and (3.13) imply that

$$\varphi'(l, z) = \varphi'(\hat{m}(\hat{l}), z) = -z\hat{\psi}'(\hat{l}, z)$$

and

$$\varphi(l, z) = \hat{\psi}'(m(l), z) = \hat{\psi}'(\hat{l}-, z).$$

As  $m$  is constant on  $(l, L)$ , it follows that  $\varphi'(x, z) = \varphi'(l, z)$  for  $x \in (l, L)$ , and hence

$$\varphi(L, z) = (L - l)\varphi'(l, z) + \varphi(l, z) = -z\hat{\psi}'(\hat{l}, z)m(\{\hat{l}\}) + \hat{\psi}'(\hat{l}-, z) = \hat{\psi}'(\hat{l}, z).$$

In the same way using the relations (3.12) and (3.14) one finds  $\psi(L, z) = -z^{-1}\hat{\varphi}'(\hat{l}, z)$ . Consequently, the relation (3.16) implies that

$$q_{\hat{S}}(z) = -z^{-1} \frac{\varphi(L, z)}{\psi(L, z)} = \frac{-1}{zq_S(z)}.$$

If the string  $S[L, m]$  is regular with  $L = \infty$ , the relation (2.21) implies that

$$q_S(z) = \frac{\psi'(l, z)}{\varphi'(l, z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty). \quad (3.17)$$

As above a straightforward calculation using the relations (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), and (3.14) leads to

$$\hat{\varphi}(\hat{L}, z) = \psi'(l, z), \quad \hat{\psi}(\hat{L}, z) = -z^{-1}\varphi'(l, z),$$

and using (3.17) we obtain

$$q_{\hat{S}}(z) = -z^{-1} \frac{\varphi'(l, z)}{\psi'(l, z)} = \frac{-1}{zq_S(z)}.$$

□

#### 4 Relations between strings and canonical systems

Let a string  $S[L, \mathfrak{m}]$  be given. Define  $x(t) := t + m(t)$  for  $t \in [0, L]$ . Then the Lebesgue measure  $dt$  and  $dm(t)$  are absolutely continuous with respect to  $dx(t) := dt + dm(t)$ . Let  $I_x := \text{ran } x(\cdot)$  denote the range of the function  $x$ , then clearly  $[0, \infty) \setminus I_x$  consists of the (at most countable) union of all intervals of the form  $(x(t), x(t+))$ , where  $x(t+) - x(t) = m(t+) - m(t)$ . Let

$$h_1(x) := \frac{dt}{dx(t)}, \quad x \in I_x, \quad h_1(x) = 0, \quad x \in [0, \infty) \setminus I_x, \quad (4.1)$$

$$h_2(x) := \frac{dm(t)}{dx(t)}, \quad x \in I_x, \quad h_2(x) = 1, \quad x \in [0, \infty) \setminus I_x. \quad (4.2)$$

Then  $h_1(x) + h_2(x) = 1$  a.e. on  $[0, \infty)$ , and by

$$H_d(x) := \begin{pmatrix} h_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & h_2(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [0, \infty). \quad (4.3)$$

a trace normed and diagonal Hamiltonian is defined.

Conversely, let a trace normed Hamiltonian  $H_d = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2)$  be given. Define  $l_d = c$  if  $(c, \infty)$  is a maximal  $H_d$ -indivisible interval of type  $\pi/2$ , and  $l_d = \infty$  otherwise, and define  $\hat{l}_d = c$  if  $(c, \infty)$  is a maximal  $H_d$ -indivisible interval of type 0, and  $\hat{l}_d = \infty$  otherwise. Let

$$\xi(x) := \int_0^x h_1(t) dt, \quad L := \int_0^{l_d} h_1(t) dt, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\hat{\xi}(x) := \int_0^x h_2(t) dt, \quad \hat{L} := \int_0^{\hat{l}_d} h_2(t) dt. \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$m(\xi) := \int_0^{\inf\{x: \xi(x)=\xi\}} h_2(t) dt, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq L, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) := \int_0^{\inf\{x: \hat{\xi}(x)=\hat{\xi}\}} h_1(t) dt, \quad 0 \leq \hat{\xi} \leq \hat{L}. \quad (4.7)$$

Then the functions  $m$  and  $\hat{m}$  are non-decreasing and left-continuous on  $[0, L)$  and  $[0, \hat{L})$ , respectively, and  $S[L, \mathfrak{m}]$  is the string associated with  $H_d$ , whereas  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{\mathfrak{m}}]$  is its corresponding dual string. The above indicated mappings  $S[L, \mathfrak{m}] \mapsto H_d$  and  $H_d \mapsto S[L, \mathfrak{m}]$  are inverse to each other.

Let a string  $S[L, \mathfrak{m}]$  and its dual string  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{\mathfrak{m}}]$  be given. Define

$$H_0(x) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -m(x) \\ -m(x) & m(x)^2 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq L, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } L + \int_0^L m(t)^2 dt < \infty, \quad L < x < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

$$H_s(x) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{m}(x)^2 & \hat{m}(x) \\ \hat{m}(x) & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \hat{L}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \hat{L} + \int_0^{\hat{L}} \hat{m}(t)^2 dt < \infty, \quad \hat{L} < x < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

**Lemma 4.1** *The fundamental matrices  $W_0$ ,  $W_d$ , and  $W_s$  corresponding to  $H_0$ ,  $H_d$ , and  $H_s$  are given by*

$$W_0(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} zm(x)\psi(x, z) + \psi'(x-, z) & z\psi(x, z) \\ m(x)\varphi(x, z) + z^{-1}\varphi'(x-, z) & \varphi(x, z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq x < L, \quad (4.10)$$

$$W_d(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi'(\xi-, z^2) & z\psi(\xi, z^2) \\ z^{-1}\varphi'(\xi-, z^2) & \varphi(\xi, z^2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x := \xi + m(\xi), \quad 0 \leq \xi < L, \quad (4.11)$$

$$W_s(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\varphi}(x, z) & -\widehat{m}(x)\widehat{\varphi}(x, z) - z^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}'(x-, z) \\ -z\widehat{\psi}(x, z) & z\widehat{m}(x)\widehat{\psi}(x, z) + \widehat{\psi}'(x-, z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq x < \widehat{L}. \quad (4.12)$$

In particular,

$$W_s(x, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi'(\widehat{m}(x), z) & \psi(\widehat{m}(x), z) - \widehat{m}(x)\psi'(\widehat{m}(x), z) \\ \varphi'(\widehat{m}(x), z) & \varphi(\widehat{m}(x), z) - \widehat{m}(x)\varphi'(\widehat{m}(x), z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \text{supp } \widehat{m}. \quad (4.13)$$

*Proof.* First note that

$$W_0(x, z)J = \begin{pmatrix} z\psi(x, z) & -zm(x)\psi(x, z) - \psi'(x-, z) \\ \varphi(x, z) & -m(x)\varphi(x, z) - z^{-1}\varphi'(x-, z) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$zW_0(x, z)H_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} z\psi'(x-, z) & -zm(x)\psi'(x-, z) \\ \varphi'(x-, z) & -m(x)\varphi'(x-, z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Integration by parts and the relations (2.19) and (2.20) imply that

$$\int_{[0, x]} m(s)\varphi'(s-, z)ds = m(x)\varphi(x, z) - \int_{[0, x]} \varphi(s, z)d\mathbf{m}(s), \quad (4.14)$$

$$\int_{[0, x]} m(s)\psi'(s-, z)ds = m(x)\psi(x, z) - \int_{[0, x]} \psi(s, z)d\mathbf{m}(s). \quad (4.15)$$

With the relations (2.16) and (2.17) we obtain that

$$-\int_{[0, x]} m(s)\varphi'(s-, z)ds = -m(x)\varphi(x, z) - z^{-1}\varphi'(x-, z), \quad (4.16)$$

$$-z \int_{[0, x]} m(s)\psi'(s-, z)ds - 1 = -zm(x)\psi(x, z) - \psi'(x-, z). \quad (4.17)$$

The last relations and the relations (2.19) and (2.20) imply that for  $W_0$  and  $H_0$  the equation (2.27) holds, hence  $W_0$  is the fundamental matrix corresponding to the Hamiltonian  $H_0$ .

Note that  $W_s(x, z) = J\widehat{W}_0(x, z)J^T$ , where  $\widehat{W}_0(x, z)$  arises from  $W_0(x, z)$  if  $m$  is replaced by  $\widehat{m}$  and the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are replaced by  $\widehat{\varphi}$  and  $\widehat{\psi}$ . Thus  $W_s(x, z)$  is the fundamental matrix corresponding to  $H_s$ . If  $x \in \text{supp } \widehat{m}$ , then  $x = m(\widehat{m}(x)+)$  by Lemma 3.1. As  $\widehat{m}(x) \in \text{supp } m$ , it follows with the relations (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\varphi}'(x-, z) &= \widehat{\varphi}'(m(\widehat{m}(x)+)-, z) \\ &= \widehat{\varphi}'(m(\widehat{m}(x)), z) = -z\psi(\widehat{m}(x), z), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}'(x-, z) &= \widehat{\psi}'(m(\widehat{m}(x)+)-, z) \\ &= \widehat{\psi}'(m(\widehat{m}(x)), z) = \varphi(\widehat{m}(x), z). \end{aligned}$$

The last relations together with (3.11), (3.12) and (4.12) imply the relation (4.13). For (4.11), note that for  $x = \xi + m(\xi)$

$$W_d(x, z)J = \begin{pmatrix} z\psi(\xi, z^2) & -z\psi'(\xi-, z^2) \\ \varphi(\xi, z^2) & -z^{-1}\varphi'(\xi-, z^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$zW_d(x, z)H_d(x) = z \begin{pmatrix} \psi'(\xi-, z^2)h_1(x) & z\psi(\xi-, z^2)h_2(x) \\ z^{-1}\varphi'(\xi-, z^2)h_1(x) & \varphi(\xi, z^2)h_2(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The relations (4.4) and (4.5) imply that

$$\int_0^x \psi'(\xi(s)-, z^2)h_1(s)ds = \int_0^{\xi(x)} \psi'(\tilde{\xi}-, z^2)d\tilde{\xi} = \psi(\xi, z^2),$$

and

$$-1 + z \int_0^x \psi(\xi(s)-, z^2)h_2(s)ds = -1 + z \int_0^{\xi(x)} \psi(\tilde{\xi}-, z^2)d\tilde{\xi} = -\psi'(\xi-, z^2).$$

Similar relations hold for  $\varphi(\xi, z^2)$  and  $\varphi'(\xi-, z^2)$ . Let  $x = \xi + m(\xi)$  and  $x_+ = \xi + m(\xi_+)$ . If  $m(\xi) < m(\xi_+)$ , then  $H_d = \text{diag}(0, 1)$  a.e. on the indivisible interval  $(x, x_+)$ . For  $u \in (x, x_+)$  we set

$$W_d(u, z) = W_d(x, z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -z(u-x) & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.18)$$

Then  $W_d(u, z)'J = zW_d(x, z)\text{diag}(0, 1) = zW_d(u, z)H_d(u)$  a.e. on  $(x, x_+)$ , that is,  $W_d(u, z)$  satisfies the relation (2.27). Note that the relations (4.18) and (4.11) match, because the relations (2.15), (2.16), and (2.17), (2.18) imply that

$$W_d(x_+, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi'(\xi_+, z^2) & z\psi(\xi, z^2) \\ z^{-1}\varphi'(\xi, z^2) & \varphi(\xi, z^2) \end{pmatrix} = W_d(x, z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm(\{\xi\}) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that  $W_d$  defined by the relations (4.11) and (4.18) satisfies the relation (2.27) for all  $x \in [0, L + m(L)]$ . Hence, it is the fundamental matrix corresponding to the Hamiltonian  $H_d$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $q_S$  be the principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient of some string  $S[L, m]$ , and let  $Q_0, Q_d$  and  $Q_s$  denote the Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficients corresponding to the Hamiltonians  $H_0, H_d$  and  $H_s$ , respectively. Then the following relations hold:*

$$Q_0(z) = zq_S(z), \quad (4.19)$$

$$Q_d(z) = zq_S(z^2), \quad (4.20)$$

$$Q_s(z) = q_S(z). \quad (4.21)$$

*Proof.* At first we show the relation (4.19). If  $L + \int_0^L m(t)^2 dt = \infty$ , it follows easily from the relations (2.21), (2.29) and (4.10). If  $L + \int_0^L m(t)^2 dt < \infty$ , the relation (4.8) implies that the interval  $(L, \infty)$  is  $H_0$ - indivisible of type  $\pi/2$ . It follows from the relation (2.27) that the entries  $w_{12}(\cdot, z)$  and  $w_{22}(\cdot, z)$  of  $W_0$  are constant on  $[L, \infty)$ , and the relations (2.21), (4.10), and (2.30) imply that

$$Q_0(z) = \lim_{x \rightarrow L} z \frac{\psi(x, z)}{\varphi(x, z)} = zq_S(z).$$

Analogously, if  $\hat{L} + \int_0^{\hat{L}} \hat{m}(t)^2 dt < \infty$ , the relation (4.9) implies that the interval  $(\hat{L}, \infty)$  is  $H_s$ - indivisible of type 0. By relation (2.27), the entries  $w_{11}(\cdot, z)$  and  $w_{21}(\cdot, z)$  of  $W_s$  are constant on  $[\hat{L}, \infty)$ , and the relations (3.15), (4.13), and (2.30) imply that

$$Q_s(z) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \hat{L}} -z^{-1} \frac{\hat{\psi}(x, z)}{\hat{\varphi}(x, z)} = -z^{-1}(q_{\hat{S}}(z))^{-1} = q_S(z).$$

If  $L + \int_0^L m(t)^2 dt = \infty$ , the relations (3.15), (2.29) and (4.13) imply the relation (4.21). To show the relation (4.20), note that if  $L + m(L) < \infty$ , the interval  $(L + m(L), \infty)$  is  $H_d$ -indivisible of type  $\pi/2$ , hence the entries  $w_{12}(\cdot, z)$  and  $w_{22}(\cdot, z)$  of  $W_d$  are constant there. Consequently, with the relations (2.21), (4.11), and (2.30) one finds that

$$Q_d(z) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow L} z \frac{\psi(\xi, z^2)}{\varphi(\xi, z^2)} = zq_S(z^2).$$

The case that  $L + m(L) = \infty$  is plain.  $\square$

The following scheme describes how the principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient  $q_S$  of a string  $S[L, \mathbf{m}]$  is related to the Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficients of its dual string  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{\mathbf{m}}]$  and the corresponding Hamiltonians  $H_d, H_0$  and  $H_s$  given by the relations (4.3), (4.8) and (4.9), and the Hamiltonians  $\hat{H}_d, \hat{H}_0$  and  $\hat{H}_s$  defined by (2.32).

$$\begin{array}{cccc} S \Leftrightarrow q_S(z) & H_d \Leftrightarrow zq_S(z^2) & H_0 \Leftrightarrow zq_S(z) & H_s \Leftrightarrow q_S(z) \\ \hat{S} \Leftrightarrow \frac{-1}{zq_S(z)} & \hat{H}_d \Leftrightarrow \frac{-1}{zq_S(z^2)} & \hat{H}_0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{-1}{zq_S(z)} & \hat{H}_s \Leftrightarrow \frac{-1}{q_S(z)} \end{array}$$

Let  $H_d = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2)$  be some given trace normed Hamiltonian of diagonal form. In the following it is assumed that the equivalence class  $f \in L^2_{H_d}$  is represented by an element  $f = (f_1, f_2)^T$  with  $\text{supp } f_1 \subseteq \text{supp } h_1$  and  $\text{supp } f_2 \subseteq \text{supp } h_2$ , and that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are constant on the closure of any maximal  $H_d$ -indivisible interval. Let

$$L^2_{H_{d,1}} := \{f \in L^2_{H_d} : f_2 = 0\}, \quad L^2_{H_{d,2}} := \{f \in L^2_{H_d} : f_1 = 0\}, \quad (4.22)$$

and note that

$$L^2_{H_d} = L^2_{H_{d,1}} \oplus L^2_{H_{d,2}}, \quad f = (f_1, f_2)^T = (f_1, 0)^T \oplus (0, f_2)^T. \quad (4.23)$$

Let  $S[L, \mathbf{m}]$  be the string associated with  $H_d$ , and let  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{\mathbf{m}}]$  be its dual string. Recall that  $L + m(L) < \infty$  implies that  $h_1 = 0$  a.e. on  $(L + m(L), \infty)$ , and if  $\hat{L} + \hat{m}(\hat{L}) < \infty$  then  $h_2 = 0$  a.e. on  $(\hat{L} + \hat{m}(\hat{L}), \infty)$ . Define with  $\xi(x)$  and  $\hat{\xi}(x)$  given by (4.4) and (4.5) the functions

$$r(\xi) = \inf\{u \geq 0 : \xi(u) = \xi\}, \quad \xi \in [0, L], \quad (4.24)$$

$$s(\hat{\xi}) = \inf\{u \geq 0 : \hat{\xi}(u) = \hat{\xi}\}, \quad \hat{\xi} \in [0, \hat{L}], \quad (4.25)$$

and note that  $\text{ran } r \subseteq \text{supp } h_1$  and  $\text{ran } s \subseteq \text{supp } h_2$  such that  $\text{supp } h_1 \setminus \text{ran } r$  and  $\text{supp } h_2 \setminus \text{ran } s$  are sets of Lebesgue measure zero. Moreover, the functions  $r$  and  $s$  are injective, and satisfy the relations

$$m(\xi) = \int_0^{r(\xi)} h_2(t) dt, \quad \hat{m}(\hat{\xi}) = \int_0^{s(\hat{\xi})} h_1(t) dt. \quad (4.26)$$

If  $r(\xi+) > r(\xi)$  then  $(r(\xi), r(\xi+))$  is a maximal  $H_d$ -indivisible interval of type  $\pi/2$ , and if  $s(\hat{\xi}+) > s(\hat{\xi})$  then  $(s(\hat{\xi}), s(\hat{\xi}+))$  is a maximal  $H_d$ -indivisible interval of type 0. In particular, the relations

$$s(m(\xi+)) = r(\xi+), \quad r(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+)) = s(\hat{\xi}+),$$

follow. Let  $L^2[0, L; m]$  be the subspace of the space of the square integrable functions  $L^2[0, L]$  consisting of all functions  $f$  with the property that if  $I_1$  is an interval contained in  $[0, L] \setminus \text{supp } m$  then  $f$  is constant on the closure of  $I_1$ . In the same way, let  $L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}]$  be the subspace of  $L^2[0, \hat{L}]$  of all functions  $f$  with the property that if  $I_2$  is an interval contained in  $[0, \hat{L}] \setminus \text{supp } \hat{m}$  then  $f$  is constant on the closure of  $I_2$ .

Let  $f \in L^2_{H_d}$ . Define functions

$$f^1(\xi) = f_1(r(\xi)), \quad \xi \in [0, L], \quad (4.27)$$

$$f^2(\hat{\xi}) = f_2(s(\hat{\xi})), \quad \hat{\xi} \in [0, \hat{L}], \quad (4.28)$$

and note that  $f^1 \in L^2[0, L; m]$  and  $f^2 \in L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}]$ . Conversely, as  $r$  and  $s$  are injective functions, each  $f^1 \in L^2[0, L; m]$  defines via the relation (4.27) a function  $f \in L^2_{H_{d_1}}$ , and each  $f^2 \in L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}]$  defines via the relation (4.28) a function  $f \in L^2_{H_{d_2}}$ . Clearly, if  $f \in L^2_{H_d}$  then

$$\|f\|_{H_d}^2 = \|f^1\|_{L^2[0, L; m]}^2 + \|f^2\|_{L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}]}^2.$$

Let  $f^0 \in L^2_{H_0}$  and  $f^s \in L^2_{H_s}$  be given, and let

$$f^1(\xi) = f_1^0(\xi) - m(\xi)f_2^0(\xi), \quad \xi \in [0, L], \quad (4.29)$$

$$f^2(\hat{\xi}) = \hat{m}(\hat{\xi})f_1^s(\hat{\xi}) + f_2^s(\hat{\xi}), \quad \hat{\xi} \in [0, \hat{L}]. \quad (4.30)$$

Then  $f^1 \in L^2[0, L; m]$  and  $f^2 \in L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}]$ , and the relations

$$\|f^1\|_{L^2[0, L; m]} = \|f^0\|_{H_0}, \quad \|f^2\|_{L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}]} = \|f^s\|_{H_s},$$

hold. Conversely, let  $f^1 \in L^2[0, L; m]$  and  $f^2 \in L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}]$  be given. Then there is a unique  $f^0 \in L^2_{H_0}$  satisfying the relation (4.29) and a unique  $f^s \in L^2_{H_s}$  satisfying (4.30). To see this, let

$$f^1(\xi) = f_1^0(\xi) - m(\xi)f_2^0(\xi) = \tilde{f}_1^0(\xi) - m(\xi)\tilde{f}_2^0(\xi).$$

It follows that  $f^0, \tilde{f}^0 \in L^2_{H_0}$ , and that  $\|f^0 - \tilde{f}^0\|_{H_0} = 0$ , hence  $f^0$  and  $\tilde{f}^0$  are identical in  $L^2_{H_0}$ . In the same way the uniqueness of  $f^s$  in  $L^2_{H_s}$  can be shown. In particular,  $f^0$  may be chosen to be equal to  $(f^1, 0)^T$  and  $f^s$  may be chosen to be equal to  $(0, f^2)^T$ , as  $f^1$  is constant on the  $H_0$ -indivisible intervals and  $f^2$  is constant on the  $H_s$ -indivisible intervals. Hence, the following mappings are isometric isomorphisms:

$$L^2[0, L; m] \mapsto L^2_{H_0} : f \rightarrow (f, 0)^T, \quad (4.31)$$

$$L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}] \mapsto L^2_{H_s} : f \rightarrow (0, f)^T. \quad (4.32)$$

We are going to show that the following spaces are isometrically isomorphic:

$$L^2_{\hat{m}} \cong L^2_{H_{d,2}} \cong L^2[0, \hat{L}; \hat{m}] \cong L^2_{H_s}, \quad (4.33)$$

$$L^2_{\hat{m}} \cong L^2_{H_{d,1}} \cong L^2[0, L; m] \cong L^2_{H_0}. \quad (4.34)$$

Let  $f \in L^2_{H_d}$ , and

$$g(\xi) = f_2(r(\xi)), \quad \xi \in [0, L], \quad (4.35)$$

$$h(\hat{\xi}) = f_1(s(\hat{\xi})), \quad \hat{\xi} \in [0, \hat{L}]. \quad (4.36)$$

Then  $g \in L^2_{\hat{m}}$  and  $h \in L^2_{\hat{m}}$ . Conversely, let  $g \in L^2_{\hat{m}}$  and  $h \in L^2_{\hat{m}}$  be given. Put  $g = 0$  on  $[0, L] \setminus \text{supp } m$  and  $h = 0$  on  $[0, \hat{L}] \setminus \text{supp } \hat{m}$ , and define functions  $f_2$  and  $f_1$  via the relations (4.35) and (4.36), and the conditions that if  $r(\xi)$  is the endpoint of an maximal  $H_d$ -indivisible interval  $I_{\pi/2}$  then  $f_2 = g(\xi)$  on the closure of  $I_{\pi/2}$ , and if  $s(\hat{\xi})$  is the endpoint of an maximal  $H_d$ -indivisible interval  $I_0$  then  $f_1 = h(\hat{\xi})$  on the closure of  $I_0$ . These conditions coincide with the general assumption that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are constant on the closure of any maximal  $H_d$ -indivisible interval, hence  $f = (f_1, f_2) \in L^2_{H_d}$ .

Moreover, the relations (4.35) and (4.36) establish an isometric isomorphism between  $L^2_{H_{d,1}}$  and  $L^2_{\hat{m}}$ , and  $L^2_{H_{d,2}}$  and  $L^2_{\hat{m}}$ . To see this, note that (4.26) implies that  $m(\{\xi\}) = r(\xi+) - r(\xi)$  and that  $dm(\xi)$  is absolutely

continuous with respect to the measure  $dr(\xi)$ . Hence  $\frac{d\mathfrak{m}(\xi)}{dr(\xi)} = h_2(r(\xi))$  a. e. on  $[0, L]$ , and with  $r(L) = L+m(L)$  it follows that

$$\int_0^L |g(\xi)|^2 d\mathfrak{m}(\xi) = \int_0^L |f_2(r(\xi))|^2 d\mathfrak{m}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty |f_2(x)|^2 h_2(x) dx.$$

The relations (4.7) and (4.25) imply the isometry between  $L_{H_{d,1}}^2$  and  $L_{\mathfrak{m}}^2$  in a similar way.

## 5 Fourier transformations

Let  $f \in L_{H_d}^2$  have compact support. As  $f = (f_1, 0)^T \oplus (0, f_2)^T$ , corresponding to (2.33), (4.11) and (4.23) the Fourier transformation  $F_{H_d}$  can be written as

$$F_{H_d}(f, z) = F_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, z) + F_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, z), \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$F_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, z) := \int_0^\infty w_{21}(x, z) f_1(x) h_1(x) dx, \quad F_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, z) := \int_0^\infty w_{22}(x, z) f_2(x) h_2(x) dx. \quad (5.2)$$

The Fourier transformations of a string and its associated canonical systems are related as follows.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $S[L, \mathfrak{m}]$  be some string with related Hamiltonians  $H_d$ ,  $H_0$ , and  $H_s$ . The interaction between the corresponding Fourier transformations  $F_S$ ,  $F_{H_d}$ ,  $F_{H_0}$ , and  $F_{H_s}$  is presented in the following commutative diagram of isometric isomorphisms.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & L_{H_0}^2 & \xrightarrow{F_{H_0}} & L_{\sigma_0}^2 \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 & L_{H_{d,1}}^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_{\sigma_{d,o}}^2 \\
 \uparrow & & & \uparrow \\
 L_{H_{d,1}}^2 \oplus L_{H_{d,2}}^2 = L_{H_d}^2 & \xrightarrow{F_{H_d}} & L_{\sigma_d}^2 = L_{\sigma_{d,o}}^2 \oplus L_{\sigma_{d,e}}^2 \\
 \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\
 & L_{H_{d,2}}^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_{\sigma_{d,e}}^2 \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 & L_{H_s}^2 & \xrightarrow{F_{H_s}} & L_{\sigma_S}^2 \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 & L_{\mathfrak{m}}^2 & \xrightarrow{F_S} & L_{\mathfrak{m}}^2
 \end{array}$$



The following two lemmas form the core of the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Lemma 5.2** *The mappings*

$$F_{H_{d,1}} : L_{H_{d,1}}^2 \mapsto L_{\sigma,o}^2, \quad (5.3)$$

$$F_{H_{d,2}} : L_{H_{d,1}}^2 \mapsto L_{\sigma,e}^2. \quad (5.4)$$

establish isometric isomorphisms.

*Proof.* With the functions  $f^1 \in L^2[0, L; m]$  defined in (4.27) and  $g \in L_m^2$  defined in (4.35) the Fourier transformations  $F_{H_{d,1}}$  and  $F_{H_{d,2}}$  can be written with the help of (4.11) as

$$F_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, z) = \int_0^L z^{-1} \varphi'(\xi, z^2) f^1(\xi) d\xi, \quad (5.5)$$

$$F_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, z) = \int_0^L \varphi(\xi, z^2) g(\xi) dm(\xi). \quad (5.6)$$

It follows that  $F_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, \cdot)$  is an odd function and that  $F_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, \cdot)$  is an even function. Conversely, as  $w_{21}(x, \cdot)$  is odd and  $w_{22}(x, \cdot)$  is even, and as the measure  $\sigma$  is symmetric, the relation (2.34) implies that the origins of  $L_{\sigma,e}^2$  in  $L_H^2$  have representatives with  $f_1 = 0$ , and the origins of  $L_{\sigma,o}^2$  in  $L_H^2$  have representatives with  $f_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3** *Let  $f \in L_{H_d}^2$  have compact support, and let  $f^0, f^s$  and  $g$  satisfy the relations (4.29), (4.30) and (4.35). Then*

$$F_S(g, z) = F_{H_s}(f^s, z), \quad (5.7)$$

$$F_{H_d}(f, z) = F_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, z) + F_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, z), \quad (5.8)$$

$$F_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, z) = F_{H_s}(f^s, z^2), \quad (5.9)$$

$$F_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, z) = z F_{H_0}(f^0, z^2). \quad (5.10)$$

*Proof.* In (5.6) we already saw that  $F_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, z) = F_S(g, z^2)$ . Let  $f^0$  and  $f^s$  be given by (4.29) and (4.30). Corresponding to the relations (2.33) and (4.10), the Fourier transformation  $F_{H_0}$  in  $L_{H_0}^2$  reads as

$$F_{H_0}(f^0, z) = \int_0^L z^{-1} \varphi'(\xi-, z) (f_1^0(\xi) - m(\xi) f_2^0(\xi)) d\xi, \quad (5.11)$$

and the relations (4.29) and (5.5) imply that  $F_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, z) = z F_{H_0}(f^0, z^2)$ . Corresponding to the relations (2.33) and (4.12), the Fourier transformation  $F_{H_s}$  in  $L_{H_s}^2$  reads as

$$F_{H_s}(f^s, z) = \int_0^{\hat{L}} \hat{\psi}'(\hat{\xi}-, z) (\hat{m}(\xi) f_1^s(\hat{\xi}) + f_2^s(\hat{\xi})) d\hat{\xi}. \quad (5.12)$$

Let  $\xi \in \text{supp } m$ , and put  $\hat{\xi} = m(\xi+)$ . Then  $\hat{\psi}'(m(\xi+)-, z) = \hat{\psi}'(m(\xi), z) = \varphi(\xi, z)$  by the relation (3.13), and hence

$$\varphi(\xi, z) = \hat{\psi}'(\hat{\xi}-, z), \quad \xi \in \text{supp } m.$$

Moreover, the relations (4.30), (4.28) and (4.35), and the assumption that the elements of  $L_{H_d}^2$  are constant on the closed maximal indivisible intervals imply that

$$\hat{m}(\xi) f_1^s(\hat{\xi}) + f_2^s(\hat{\xi}) = f^2(\hat{\xi}) = f_2(s(m(\xi+))) = f_2(r(\xi+)) = f_2(r(\xi)) = g(\xi).$$

It follows from  $d\hat{\xi} = d\mathbf{m}(\xi)$  and the relation (5.12) that

$$F_{H_s}(f^s, z) = \int_0^L \varphi(\xi, z)g(\xi)d\mathbf{m}(\xi), \quad (5.13)$$

and the relation (5.6) implies that  $F_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, z) = F_{H_s}(f^s, z^2)$ .  $\square$

All the Fourier transformations we have introduced for functions with compact support are isometries and have dense ranges. Hence they can be extended by continuity to isometric isomorphisms defined on the whole space. All assertions of Theorem 5.1 are proved.

In order to find an isometric isomorphism connecting the Fourier transformation  $F_{\hat{S}}$  of the dual string  $\hat{S}[\hat{L}, \hat{\mathbf{m}}]$  with  $L_{H_{d,1}}^2$  we have to consider the dual Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_d$ . As  $H_d = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2)$ , the relation  $\hat{H}_d = \text{diag}(h_2, h_1)$  follows. Let  $\hat{\sigma}$  be the spectral measure corresponding to the Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient  $\hat{Q}(z) = -Q(z)^{-1}$  of  $\hat{H}_d$ . If  $F_{\hat{H}_d}$  denotes the Fourier transformation which maps  $L_{\hat{H}_d}^2$  onto  $L_{\hat{\sigma}}^2$ , the isometry between  $L_{H_d}^2$  and  $L_{\hat{H}_d}^2$  establishes an associated Fourier transformation  $\hat{F}_{H_d}$  mapping  $L_{H_d}^2$  onto  $L_{\hat{\sigma}}^2$  by

$$\hat{F}_{H_d}(f, \cdot) := F_{\hat{H}_d}(\hat{f}, \cdot), \quad f \in L_{H_d}^2. \quad (5.14)$$

Assume that  $f \in L_{H_d}^2$  has compact support. Then

$$\hat{F}_{H_d}(f, z) = \int_0^\infty (w_{11}(x, z)f_1(x)h_1(x) + w_{12}(x, z)f_2(x)h_2(x))dx.$$

Defining

$$\hat{F}_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, z) = \int_0^\infty w_{11}(x, z)f_1(x)h_1(x)dx, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\hat{F}_{H_{d,2}}(f_2, z) = \int_0^\infty w_{12}(x, z)f_2(x)h_2(x)dx, \quad (5.16)$$

it follows as above that the mappings  $\hat{F}_{H_{d,1}} : L_{H_{d,1}}^2 \mapsto L_{\hat{\sigma},e}^2$ , and  $\hat{F}_{H_{d,2}} : L_{H_{d,2}}^2 \mapsto L_{\hat{\sigma},o}^2$ , establish isometric isomorphisms. Let  $\hat{\xi} \in \text{supp } \hat{\mathbf{m}}$  and assume that  $\xi = \hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+)$ . Then

$$\psi'(\xi-, z^2) = \psi'(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}+)-, z^2) = \psi'(\hat{m}(\hat{\xi}), z^2) = \hat{\varphi}(\hat{\xi}, z^2),$$

and if  $h$  and  $f_1$  are related via (4.36), the last relation in combination with (4.11) and (4.7) implies that

$$\hat{F}_{H_{d,1}}(f_1, z) = \int_0^{\hat{L}} \hat{\varphi}(\hat{\xi}, z^2)h(\hat{\xi})d\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\hat{\xi}) = F_{\hat{S}}(h, z^2). \quad (5.17)$$

## References

- [1] D.Z. Arov and H. Dym, "J-inner matrix functions, interpolation and inverse problems for canonical systems, I: Foundations", *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 29 (1997), 373–454.
- [2] D.Z. Arov and H. Dym, "J-inner matrix functions, interpolation and inverse problems for canonical systems, II: The inverse monodromy problem", *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 36 (2000), 11–70.
- [3] D.Z. Arov and H. Dym, "J-inner matrix functions, interpolation and inverse problems for canonical systems, III: More on the inverse monodromy problem", *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 36 (2000), 127–181.

- [4] L. de Branges, "Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 96 (1960), 259–295.
- [5] L. de Branges, "Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions II", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 99 (1961), 118–152.
- [6] L. de Branges, "Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions III", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 100 (1961), 73–115.
- [7] L. de Branges, "Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions IV", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 105 (1962), 43–83.
- [8] L. de Branges, *Hilbert spaces of entire functions*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1968.
- [9] H. Dym and H.P. McKean, *Gaussian Processes, Function Theory, and the Inverse Spectral Problem*. Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [10] H. Dym and L.A. Sakhnovich, "On dual canonical systems and dual matrix string equations" *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, 123 (2001), 207 - 228.
- [11] I. Gohberg and M.G. Kreĭn, *Theory and applications of Volterra operators in Hilbert space*, *Transl. Math. Monographs* 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1970.
- [12] S. Hassi, H.S.V. de Snoo, and H. Winkler, "Boundary-value problems for two-dimensional canonical systems", *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 36 (2000), 445–479.
- [13] I.S. Kac, "Linear relations, generated by a canonical differential equation on an interval with a regular endpoint, and expansibility in eigenfunctions", (Russian), Deposited in Ukr NIINTI, No. 1453, 1984. (VINITI Deponirovannye Nauchnye Raboty, No. 1 (195), b.o. 720, 1985).
- [14] I.S. Kac, "The spectral property of dual matrix strings as a consequence of the existence of spectral matrix functions for canonical systems", *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 38 (2000), 437–457.
- [15] I.S. Kac and M.G. Krein, " $R$ -functions—analytic functions mapping the upper halfplane into itself", Supplement I to the Russian edition of F.V. Atkinson, *Discrete and continuous boundary problems*, Mir, Moscow, 1968 (Russian) (English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, (2) 103 (1974), 1–18).
- [16] I.S. Kac and M.G. Krein, "On the spectral functions of the string", Supplement II to the Russian edition of F.V. Atkinson, *Discrete and continuous boundary problems*, Mir, Moscow, 1968 (Russian) (English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, (2) 103 (1974), 19–102).
- [17] M. Kaltenbäck, H. Winkler, and H. Woracek, "Generalized Nevanlinna functions with essentially positive spectrum", *J. Oper. Theory* 55 (1) (2006), 101 - 132.
- [18] M. Kaltenbäck, H. Winkler, and H. Woracek, "Singularities of generalized strings", *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, 163 (2005), 191 - 248.
- [19] M.G. Krein and H. Langer, "Continuation of Hermitian positive definite functions and related questions", unpublished manuscript.
- [20] H. Langer, "Spektralfunktionen einer Klasse von Differentialoperatoren zweiter Ordnung mit nichtlinearem Eigenwertparameter", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI Math.* 2 (1976), 269-301.
- [21] H. Langer and H. Winkler, "Direct and inverse spectral problems for generalized strings", *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* 30 (1998), 409 - 431.
- [22] L.A. Sakhnovich, *Spectral theory of canonical differential systems. Method of operator identities*, *Operator Theory: Adv. Appl.*, 107, Basel, Birkhäuser (1999).
- [23] H. Winkler, "The inverse spectral problem for canonical systems", *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 22 (1995), 360–374.