# GENERALIZED NEVANLINNA FUNCTIONS WITH ESSENTIALLY POSITIVE SPECTRUM

MICHAEL KALTENBÄCK, HENRIK WINKLER, and HARALD WORACEK

### Communicated by N.K.Nikolkski

ABSTRACT. We introduce an indefinite analogue of the so-called Stieltjes class and provide some basic results on this 'indefinite Stieltjes class'. Among them: The relation between the functions q(z), zq(z) and  $zq(z^2)$ , limit properties, a distributional representation. These results generalize well known properties of functions belonging to the Stieltjes class.

KEYWORDS: Stieltjes class, indefinite inner product, distribution.

MSC (2000): 30 D 50, 46 C 20, 46 E 22.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of the vibrating string the so-called Stieltjes class  $\mathcal S$  of functions analytic in  $\mathbb C\setminus [0,\infty)$  having the property that q(z) as well as zq(z) maps the upper half plane into itself plays a prominent role. A systematic investigation of the class  $\mathcal S$  goes back to I.S.Kac and M.G.Krein, cf. [KK1], [KK2]. For example it is proved that that a function q belongs to  $\mathcal S$  if and only if it has an analytic continuation through  $\mathbb R^-$  and satisfies  $q(x)\geq 0$ ,  $x\in\mathbb R^-$ .

Functions belonging to the Stieltjes class possess some rather remarkable properties. Let us specify a couple of them: If  $q \in \mathcal{S}$ , then also the functions  $zq(z^2)$  maps the upper half plane into itself. If  $q \in \mathcal{S}$ , then the limit of q(z) must exist when z tends to  $\infty$  along the negative real axis. The class  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed with respect to locally uniform limits. Every function  $q \in \mathcal{S}$  has an integral representation of a particular kind.

In a paper of M.G.Krein and H.Langer [KL1] a class  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^+$  of functions was introduced which could be viewed as an indefinite generalization of the Stieltjes class. This class occurs in the investigation of the generalized string, a string which can carry negative point masses (electric charges) and dipols, cf. [LW]. However, it turned out that  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^+$  is, in a way, not the proper indefinite analogue of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

It is our aim to introduce a proper indefinite analogue of the Stieltjes class and to derive basic results for this class of functions. After some general discussion we will focus on the correct analogues of the above mentioned properties of  $\mathcal{S}$ . The exact definition of the main objects of our studies, the classes  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}$  of generalized Nevanlinna functions of negative index  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the class  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{ep}$  of essentially positive generalized Nevanlinna functions and the class  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{sym}$  of symmetric generalized Nevanlinna functions will be given a couple of lines below in Definition 1.1.

Let us mention that a related kind of generalization of the Stieltjes class to an indefinite setting can be found in [DM1], where in fact operator valued functions are considered. In another work of V.Derkach and M.Malamud, cf. [DM2], subclasses  $\mathcal{S}^{\pm\kappa}:=\{q\in\mathcal{N}_0:z^{\pm1}q(z)\in\mathcal{N}_\kappa\}$  have been introduced and applied to the description of certain classes of generalized resolvents of a symmetric operator in a Hilbert space. Moreover, functions of these classes have been characterized in terms of their zeros and poles as well as in terms of the parameters of their integral representation. Most intimately related to our present paper is the work [D] of V.Derkach who introduced the classes

(1.1) 
$$\mathcal{N}^{\nu}_{\kappa} := \{ q \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa} : zq(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu} \},$$

and applied the theory of these classes to the description of the generalized resolvents of a symmetric operator in a Pontryagin space. Note that  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{0} = \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{+}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\kappa} = \mathcal{S}^{\kappa}$ .

Let us describe the content of the present paper. In Section 2 we provide some rather general statements on symmetry in reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces. This general treatment gives a more structural view on the results of Section 3, where we deal with the class  $\mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$ .

In Section 4 we prove Theorem 4.1, the first main result of this paper. It states, roughly speaking, that if  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$ , then  $zq(z^2) \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$ . This is the analogue of the first of the above mentioned properties of the Stieltjes class. We will use Theorem 4.1 to obtain Proposition 4.8 which describes the relationship of the functions q(z), zq(z) and  $zq(z^2)$ . Moreover, we shall see that Theorem 4.1 implies the appropriate analogues of the mentioned limit properties of the Stieltjes class, see Proposition 4.11 and Proposition 4.12.

The final Section 5 is devoted to the indefinite analogue of the integral representation of a function  $q \in \mathcal{S}$ . This representation employs a certain class of distributions which occured already in [JLT] and [KW] where distributions are used to obtain an 'integral representation' of an arbitrary generalized Nevanlinna function. In the present context the task is to single out those distributions which give rise to functions of the class  $\mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$  or  $\mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$ , respectively. This is the content of Theorem 5.9 which can be regarded as the second main result of this paper.

The theory of generalized Nevanlinna functions is most intimately related with the theory of selfadjoint operators in Pontryagin spaces and of course the notions of symmetric and essentially positive generalized Nevanlinna functions possess their proper analogy in the operator theoretic context. However, in this paper we shall rather take the viewpoint of complex analysis and do not go into operator theoretic topics. A thorough investigation in this direction can be found in [KWW1].

Let us recall the notion of a (matrix valued) kernel function in general, and of a generalized Nevanlinna function in particular. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open set and  $K: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . The function K(w,z) is called an analytic hermitian kernel ('kernel', for short) on  $\Omega$  if it is analytic in the two variables z and  $\overline{w}$  and satisfies  $K(w,z) = K(z,w)^*$ . We say that the kernel K has K negative squares on K0, where K1 is a nonnegative integer, if for any finite set of points K1,..., K2 and elements K3,..., K4 the hermitian matrix

$$\left(\left(K(z_i,z_j)f_i,f_j\right)_{\mathbb{C}^n}\right)_{i,j=1}^m$$

has at most  $\kappa$  negative eigenvalues, and if for some choice of  $z_i$ ,  $f_i$  this bound is actually attained. In this case we shall write ind\_ $K = \kappa$ . If for no  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  this condition is fullfilled, we write ind\_ $K = \infty$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb C$  and let  $Q:\Omega\to\mathbb C^{n\times n}$  be an analytic function which satisfies  $Q(\overline z)=Q(z)^*$  whenever both z and  $\overline z$  belong to  $\Omega$ . The Nevanlinna kernel of Q is defined as

$$(1.2) L_Q(w,z) := \begin{cases} \frac{Q(z) - Q(w)^*}{z - \overline{w}} & \text{, } z, w \in \Omega, z \neq \overline{w} \\ Q'(z) & \text{, } z = \overline{w} \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

Then  $L_Q$  is an analytic hermitian kernel on  $\Omega$ . We say that Q is a generalized Nevanlinna function if ind\_ $L_Q < \infty$ , and put ind\_ $Q := \text{ind}_L_Q$ . Moreover, we define

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{n\times n} := \big\{Q: & \text{ind}_{-} \, Q = \kappa\big\}, \, \mathcal{N}_{\leq \kappa}^{n\times n} := \big\{Q: & \text{ind}_{-} \, Q \leq \kappa\big\}, \\ & \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n\times n} := \big\{Q: & \text{ind}_{-} \, Q < \infty\big\}. \end{split}$$

In the scalar case n = 1 the upper index  $n \times n$  will be suppressed.

A generalized Nevanlinna function Q, which is from the start defined on some open set  $\Omega$  always has an analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$  with possible exception of finitely many points (in fact at most 2 ind $_-Q$  many) which are poles, see e.g. [KL]. The number ind $_-Q$  does not depend on the set  $\Omega$  on which Q is defined. Hence we can always think of Q as being meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ . However, the maximal domain of analyticity of a given function Q might also contain parts of the real axis.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . A function  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{n \times n}$  is said to be

- (*i*) symmetric, if Q(-z) = -Q(z), i.e. if Q is odd.
- (ii) essentially positive, if Q is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$  with possible exception of finitely many poles.

The subset of  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{n \times n}$  which consists of all symmetric (essentially positive) functions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{n \times n, sym}$  ( $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{n \times n, ep}$ , respectively).

We will freely use selfexplanatory notation like  $\mathcal{N}^{ep}_{\leq \kappa'}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}^{n \times n, sym}_{< \infty}$  etc., which is defined correspondingly.

*Acknowledgement:* The authors wish to express their gratitude to the referee for suggesting an essential simplification of the proof of Theorem 4.1.

#### 2. SYMMETRY IN REPRODUCING KERNEL PONTRYAGIN SPACES

Basic objects of our studies are reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces. Let us recall the necessary definitions.

A Pontryagin space is a linear space  $\mathfrak{P}$  equipped with an inner product [.,.] such that  $\mathfrak{P}$  decomposes as the orthogonal and direct sum  $\mathfrak{P}=\mathfrak{P}_1[\dot{+}]\mathfrak{P}_2$  of a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  and a finite dimensional anti-Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{P}_2$ . The dimension of  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  in such a decomposition is independent of the decomposition and will be called the negative index of  $\mathfrak{P}$ :

$$ind_- \mathfrak{P} := dim \mathfrak{P}_2$$
.

A Pontryagin space carries a unique norm-topology which is induced by the inner product. In fact, a sequence  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to a point x if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}[x_n,x_n]=[x,x],\,\lim_{n\to\infty}[x_n,y]=[x,y],\,y\in\mathfrak{P}.$$

For a detailed discussion of the concept of Pontryagin spaces we refer the reader to [IKL] or [B].

Consider a Pontryagin space  $\mathfrak P$  whose elements f are vector-valued analytic functions on some fixed open set  $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb C$ ,  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb C^n$ , and assume that the linear operations are defined pointwise. Then, for each  $w\in\Omega$ , the point evaluation function

$$\chi_w: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{P} & \to & \mathbb{C}^n \\ f & \mapsto & f(w) \end{array} \right.$$

is a linear function on  $\mathfrak{P}$ . The space  $\mathfrak{P}$  is called a reproducing kernel Pontryagin space if for each  $w \in \Omega$  the function  $\chi_w$  is continuous. The space  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a reproducing kernel space if and only if there exists a kernel function, that is a function  $K: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  which possesses the following properties:

- (*i*) For all  $w \in \Omega$  and  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  we have  $K(w, .)x \in \mathfrak{P}$ .
- (ii) For all  $f \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $w \in \Omega$  and  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$[f(.), K(w, .)x] = x^* f(w).$$

A kernel function K is uniquely determined by the properties (i) and (ii) and will be referred to as the reproducing kernel of the space  $\mathfrak{P}$ . It satisfies ind\_ $K = \operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{P}} \mathfrak{P}$ .

Conversely, every kernel K on  $\Omega$  with  $\operatorname{ind}_- K < \infty$  gives rise to a reproducing kernel Pontryagin space  $\mathfrak{P}(K)$  with  $\operatorname{ind}_- \mathfrak{P}(K) = \operatorname{ind}_- K$ , which has K as its

reproducing kernel. In fact,  $\mathfrak{P}(K)$  can be defined as completion of the linear set

span 
$$\{K(w,.)x: w \in \Omega, x \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

with respect to an inner product defined according to (2.1). For a detailed account on reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces we refer the reader to [ADRS].

The following elementary lemma serves as a starting point for our subsequent investigations. It models the idea of symmetry in Pontryagin spaces. This concept should not be mixed up with the notion of "symmetry with respect to an involution" as considered e.g. in [GG].

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $\mathfrak P$  be a Pontryagin space and let  $M:\mathfrak P\to\mathfrak P$  be an involutory and isometric linear mapping, i.e. assume that

$$M \circ M = I$$
,  $[Mf, Mg] = [f, g]$ ,  $f, g \in \mathfrak{P}$ .

Then  $M^* = M^{-1} = M$ . Put  $P_e := \frac{1}{2}(I + M)$  and  $P_o := \frac{1}{2}(I - M)$ . Then  $P_e$  and  $P_o$  are orthogonal projections onto the nondegenerated and closed subspaces

$$\mathfrak{P}_e := \operatorname{ran} P_e = \{ f \in \mathfrak{P} : Mf = f \}$$

$$\mathfrak{P}_o := \operatorname{ran} P_o = \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{P} : Mf = -f \right\}$$

We have  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}_e[\dot{+}]\mathfrak{P}_o$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{ind}_-\mathfrak{P} = \operatorname{ind}_-\mathfrak{P}_e + \operatorname{ind}_-\mathfrak{P}_o$ .

If in addition it is assumed that  $\mathfrak P$  is a reproducing kernel space of functions on some set  $\Omega$ , then also  $\mathfrak P_e$  and  $\mathfrak P_o$  have this property. The respective kernel functions  $K, K_e, K_o$  are related by

$$K_e = P_e K$$
,  $K_o = P_o K$ .

In particular,  $K = K_e + K_o$ .

*Proof.* Let f, g be given, then

$$[Mf, g] = [Mf, M(Mg)] = [f, Mg],$$

hence  $M^* = M$ . Since  $M \circ M = I$ , we have  $P_e^2 = P_e$  and  $P_o^2 = P_o$ . Moreover,  $P_e$  and  $P_o$  are selfadjoint. Clearly  $P_e + P_o = I$ , and

$$P_e P_o = P_o P_e = 0$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{P} = \operatorname{ran} P_e[\dot{+}] \operatorname{ran} P_o$ . All other assertions are obvious.

The situation described in this lemma often arises from analytic involutions on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open set and assume that  $\lambda : \Omega \to \Omega$  is an analytic involution, i.e.  $\lambda$  is analytic and  $\lambda \circ \lambda = \mathrm{id}_{\Omega}$ . Then we can define a linear involution  $M_{\lambda}$  on the linear space  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)^n$  of all analytic functions of  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by means of composition with  $\lambda$ :

$$M_{\lambda}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^n & \to & \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^n \\ f & \mapsto & f \circ \lambda \end{array} \right.$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a reproducing kernel space on a set  $\Omega$ , so that  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^n$ , and let  $\lambda: \Omega \to \Omega$  be an analytic involution. In order that composition with  $\lambda$  induces an isometric involution on  $\mathfrak{P}$ , i.e. that  $M_{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{P}}$  maps  $\mathfrak{P}$  isometrically onto itself, it is necessary and sufficient that the reproducing kernel  $K: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  of  $\mathfrak{P}$  satisfies

$$(2.2) K \circ (\lambda \times \lambda) = K,$$

or equivalently that  $K(\lambda(.),.) = K(.,\lambda(.))$ . In this case we have

$$\mathfrak{P}_e = \{ f \in \mathfrak{P} : f \circ \lambda = f \}, \, \mathfrak{P}_o = \{ f \in \mathfrak{P} : f \circ \lambda = -f \}.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $M_{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{P}}$  maps  $\mathfrak{P}$  isometrically onto itself. Let  $v, w \in \Omega$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $K(\lambda(.), \lambda(.))y = M_{\lambda}K(\lambda(.), .)y \in \mathfrak{P}$  and we compute

$$x^*K(\lambda(v),\lambda(w))y = [K(\lambda(v),\lambda(.))y,K(w,.)x] =$$

$$(2.4) = [M_{\lambda}K(\lambda(v),\lambda(.))y,M_{\lambda}K(w,.)x] = [K(\lambda(v),\lambda(\lambda(.)))y,K(w,\lambda(.))x] =$$

$$= [K(\lambda(v),.)y,K(w,\lambda(.))x] = \overline{y^*K(w,\lambda(\lambda(v)))x} = x^*K(v,w)y$$

Since x, y and v, w were arbitrary, this just means that the condition (2.2) holds true.

Conversely, assume that (2.2) is valid. Then we have

$$M_{\lambda}K(w,.)x = K(w,\lambda(.))x = K(\lambda(w),.)x, w \in \Omega, x \in \mathbb{C}^n$$
.

Hence  $M_{\lambda}$  maps the linear span

$$\mathcal{L} := \{ K(v, .)y : v \in \Omega, y \in \mathbb{C}^n \}$$

onto itself. Moreover, by reading the formula (2.4) backwards, we see that  $M_{\lambda}|_{\mathcal{L}}$  is isometric. It follows that  $M_{\lambda}|_{\mathcal{L}}$  extends to an isometry of  $\mathfrak{P}=\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  onto itself (cf. [ADRS]). Since point evaluation in  $\mathfrak{P}$  is continuous, this extension must actually coincide with  $M_{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{P}}$ .

The relation (2.3) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1.

The spaces  $\mathfrak{P}_e$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_o$  can be abstracted from their origin as subspaces of all functions in  $\mathfrak{P}$  satisfying a certain functional equation. We start with the space  $\mathfrak{P}_e$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^n$  be a reproducing kernel space,  $\lambda: \Omega \to \Omega$  an analytic involution and assume that (2.2) is fullfilled. Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  be such that for every  $z_0 \in \Omega$  we have

$$\{z \in \Omega : \mu(z) = \mu(z_0)\} = \{z_0, \lambda(z_0)\},\$$

and put  $\Omega' := \mu(\Omega)$ . Then there exists a kernel  $K_+$  on  $\Omega'$  such that

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\Omega \times \Omega & \xrightarrow{K_e} & \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \\
\downarrow^{\mu \times \mu} & & \downarrow^{\kappa_e} & \\
\Omega' \times \Omega' & & & \\
\end{array}$$

We have  $\operatorname{ind}_- K_+ = \operatorname{ind}_- \mathfrak{P}_e$  and composition with  $\mu$  yields an isometry of  $\mathfrak{P}(K_+)$  onto  $\mathfrak{P}_e$ 

$$\Psi_+: \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{P}(K_+) & 
ightarrow & \mathfrak{P}_e \ f & \mapsto & f \circ \mu \end{array} 
ight.$$

*Proof.* For every  $w \in \Omega$  the function  $K_e(w,.)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{P}_e$  and hence we have  $K_e \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \lambda) = K_e$ . Since  $K_e$  is hermitian,  $K_e \circ (\lambda \times \mathrm{id}) = K_e$ . We conclude from (2.5) that an analytic function  $K_+$  is well defined by the relation  $K_e = K_+ \circ (\mu \times \mu)$ . Obviously  $K_+$  is hermitian.

In order to show that the mapping  $f \mapsto f \circ \mu$  has the required isometry property, let  $v \in \Omega'$  and choose  $w \in \Omega$  such that  $\mu(w) = v$ , then we have

$$K_{+}(v,\mu(z)) = K_{+}(\mu(w),\mu(z)) = K_{e}(w,z).$$

It follows that composition with  $\mu$  maps the linear space

$$\mathcal{L}_{+} := \operatorname{span} \left\{ K_{+}(v,.)x : v \in \Omega', x \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \right\}$$

onto

$$\mathcal{L}_e := \operatorname{span} \left\{ K_e(w,.)x : w \in \Omega, x \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}.$$

In fact this mapping is isometric ( $\mu(w) = v$ ,  $\mu(w') = v'$ ):

$$[K_{+}(v,.)x, K_{+}(v',.)y] = y^{*}K_{+}(v,v')x = y^{*}K_{+}(\mu(w),\mu(w'))x =$$

$$= K_e(w, w') = \big[ K_e(w, z), K_e(w', z) \big] = \big[ K_+(v, \mu(z)), K_+(v', \mu(z)) \big].$$

Hence ind  $K_+ = \underline{\mathrm{ind}}_- K_e$  and composition with  $\mu$  has an extension to an isometry of  $\mathfrak{P}(K_+) = \overline{\mathcal{L}_+}$  onto  $\mathfrak{P}_e = \overline{\mathcal{L}_e}$ . Since in both spaces point evaluation is continuous, this extension is just composition with  $\mu$ .

Let us turn our attention to  $\mathfrak{P}_0$ . There the situation is a bit more complicated.

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^n$  be a reproducing kernel space,  $\lambda: \Omega \to \Omega$  an analytic involution and assume that (2.2) is fullfilled. Moreover, let  $\mu$  and  $\Omega'$  be as in previous lemma. Let  $m \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  be such that

- (i)  $m \circ \lambda = -m$ .
- (ii) All zeros of m are simple and are fixed points of  $\lambda$ .

Then there exists a kernel  $K_{-}$  on  $\Omega'$  such that

We have  $\operatorname{ind}_{-} K_{-} = \operatorname{ind}_{-} \mathfrak{P}_{o}$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{P}(K_{-})$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_{o}$  are isometrically isomorphic via the mapping

$$\Psi_-: \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{P}(K_-) & 
ightarrow \mathfrak{P}_o \\ f & \mapsto & m \cdot (f \circ \mu) \end{array} 
ight.$$

*Proof.* For every  $w \in \Omega$  the function  $K_o(w,.)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{P}_o$  and hence satisfies the functional equation  $K_o \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \lambda) = -K_o$ . Since  $K_o$  is hermitian, it follows that also  $K_o \circ (\lambda \times \mathrm{id}) = -K_o$ . Let  $z_0$  be a fixed point of  $\lambda$ , then  $K_o(w,z_0) = 0$ ,  $w \in \Omega$ . Hence  $m(z)^{-1}K_o(w,z)$  is analytic on  $\Omega$ . Since  $K_o$  is hermitian, we conclude that  $\hat{K}(w,z) := \overline{m(w)^{-1}}m(z)^{-1}K_o(w,z)$  is analytic in z and  $\overline{w}$ . Moreover,  $\hat{K} \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \lambda) = \hat{K}$  and  $\hat{K} \circ (\lambda \times \mathrm{id}) = \hat{K}$ , and it follows from (2.5) and our assumption (i) that the relation  $\overline{m(w)^{-1}}m(z)^{-1}K_o(w,z) = K_-(\mu(w),\mu(z))$  defines an analytic function  $K_-$ . Obviously  $K_-$  is hermitian.

We consider the map  $f \mapsto m \cdot (f \circ \mu)$ . Let  $v \in \Omega'$  and choose  $w \in \Omega$  such that  $\mu(w) = v$ , then

$$m(z)K_{-}(v,\mu(z)) = m(z)K_{-}(\mu(w),\mu(z)) = \frac{K_{o}(w,z)}{\overline{m(w)}}.$$

It follows that composition with  $\mu$  maps the linear space

$$\mathcal{L}_{-} := \operatorname{span} \left\{ K_{-}(v, .)x : v \in \Omega', m(\mu^{-1}(v)) \neq \{0\}, x \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \right\}$$

onto

$$\mathcal{L}_o := \operatorname{span} \left\{ K_o(w,.)x : w \in \Omega, x \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}.$$

This mapping is isometric ( $\mu(w) = v$ ,  $\mu(w') = v'$ ):

$$\begin{split} \left[ K_{-}(v,.)x, K_{-}(v',.)y \right] &= y^* K_{-}(v,v')x = y^* K_{-}(\mu(w),\mu(w'))x = \\ &= y^* \frac{K_o(\mu(w),\mu(w'))}{m(w)m(z)} x = \left[ \frac{K_o(w,.)}{m(w)} x, \frac{K_o(w',z)}{m(w')} y \right] = \\ &= \left[ m(z) K_{-}(v,\mu(z)), m(z) K_{-}(v',\mu(z)) \right]. \end{split}$$

Thus ind\_ $K_- = \text{ind}_K_0$  and composition with  $\mu$  extends to an isometry of  $\mathfrak{P}(K_-) = \overline{\mathcal{L}_-}$  onto  $\mathfrak{P}_0 = \overline{\mathcal{L}_0}$ . In both spaces point evaluation is continuous. Thus this extension must be equal to  $\Psi_-$ .

#### 3. SYMMETRIC NEVANLINNA FUNCTIONS

Let  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$  and let  $L_Q$  denote the Nevanlinna kernel of Q, cf. (1.2). We are interested in the symmetry property of  $\mathfrak{P}(L_Q)$  induced by the analytic involution  $\lambda(z) := -z$ . First of all note that, since a function  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  with possible exception of finitely many points, we can without loss of generality consider the kernel  $L_Q$  as a kernel being defined on some open set  $\Omega$  with  $\lambda(\Omega) = \Omega$ . Hence the notions of Section 2 can be applied. For notational convenience we shall write  $\mathfrak{P}_Q$  instead of  $\mathfrak{P}(L_Q)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$ . Then the mapping  $M := M_{\lambda} : f(z) \mapsto f(-z)$  induces an isometric involution on  $\mathfrak{P}_Q$  if and only if there exists a selfadjoint constant  $a = a^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  such that (cf. Definition 1.1)

$$a + Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n, sym}$$

*Proof.* First of all note that adding a selfadjoint constant to a function Q does not change the space  $\mathfrak{P}_Q$ . Hence, to prove the sufficiency of the given condition we may without loss of generality assume that Q is odd. Then

$$\begin{split} L_Q(-w,-z) &= \frac{Q(-z) - Q(-w)}{(-z) - (-w)} = \\ &= \frac{-Q(z) + Q(w)}{-z + w} = \frac{Q(z) - Q(w)}{z - w} = L_Q(w,z) \,, \end{split}$$

i.e. the kernel relation (2.2) holds, and Lemma 2.2 yields that M is an isometry of  $\mathfrak{P}_O$  onto itself.

Assume conversely that M is an isometry of  $\mathfrak{P}_Q$  onto itself. Choose  $y_0 > 0$  such that Q is analytic at  $iy_0$  and put

$$a_0 := -\frac{Q(iy_0) + Q(-iy_0)}{2} = -\frac{Q(iy_0) + Q(iy_0)^*}{2}.$$

Then the function  $Q_1 := a_0 + Q$  satisfies  $Q_1(-iy_0) = -Q_1(iy_0)$ . For the proof of necessity we may therefore assume without loss of generality that there exists a point  $z_0$  such that  $Q(-z_0) = -Q(z_0)$ . From this and the validity of (2.2) we conclude that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{-Q(-z)-Q(z_0)^*}{z-z_0} = \frac{Q(-z)-Q(-z_0)^*}{(-z)-(-z_0)} = \\ &= L_Q(-z,-z_0) = L_Q(z,z_0) = \frac{Q(z)-Q(z_0)^*}{z-z_0} \,, \end{split}$$

and hence that -Q(-z) = Q(z) for all z.

Assume that  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n, sym}$ . If we make the choice  $\mu(z) = z^2$  and m(z) = z for the application of the Lemmata 2.3 and 2.4, we can obtain the spaces  $\mathfrak{P}_+$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_-$  by means of two Nevanlinna functions.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n, sym}$  be given and define two functions  $Q_+, Q_-$  by the relations

(3.1) 
$$\frac{Q_{+}(z^{2})}{z} = Q(z), zQ_{-}(z^{2}) = Q(z).$$

Then  $Q_+, Q_- \in \mathcal{N}^{n \times n}_{<\infty}$  and  $\operatorname{ind}_- Q_+ + \operatorname{ind}_- Q_- = \operatorname{ind}_- Q$ . We have (with the above made choice of  $\mu$  and m)  $K_+ = L_{Q_+}$  and  $K_- = L_{Q_-}$ , and the isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{P}_{Q_{+}} \xrightarrow{f(z) \mapsto f(z^{2})} 90 = \\ \mathfrak{P}_{Q_{+}} \xrightarrow{f(z) \mapsto zf(z^{2})} \mathfrak{P}_{Q,e} \\ [\dot{+}] \\ \mathfrak{P}_{Q_{-}} \xrightarrow{f(z) \mapsto zf(z^{2})} \mathfrak{P}_{Q,o}$$

*Proof.* First of all note that the choice of  $\mu(z)=z^2$  and m(z)=z is legitimate since the requirements on  $\mu$  and m of the Lemmata 2.3 and 2.4 are met. Moreover,  $Q_+$  and  $Q_-$  are well-defined since Q(-z)=-Q(z). We compute  $L_{Q,\ell}$ :

$$\begin{split} L_{Q,e}(w,z) &= \frac{1}{2} \big( L_Q(w,z) + L_Q(w,-z) \big) = \frac{1}{2} \Big( \frac{Q(z) - Q(w)^*}{z - \overline{w}} + \frac{Q(-z) - Q(w)^*}{-z - \overline{w}} \Big) = \\ &\qquad \qquad \frac{1}{2} \Big( \frac{Q(z) - Q(w)^*}{z - \overline{w}} + \frac{Q(z) + Q(w)^*}{z + \overline{w}} \Big) = \\ &= \frac{zQ(z) - \overline{w}Q(w)^*}{z^2 - \overline{w}^2} = \frac{Q_+(z^2) - Q_+(w^2)^*}{z^2 - \overline{w}^2} = L_{Q_+}(w^2, z^2) \,. \end{split}$$

Similarly one finds  $L_{O,o}$ :

$$L_{Q,o}(w,z) = \frac{1}{2} (L_Q(w,z) - L_Q(w,-z)) = z\overline{w}L_{Q_-}(w^2,z^2).$$

Hence  $K_+ = L_{Q_+}$  and  $K_- = L_{Q_-}$ . The final assertions of the present proposition follow from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4.

Remark 3.3.

(*i*) Note that the functions  $Q_+$  and  $Q_-$  in (3.1) are related by the relation

$$Q_{+}(z) = zQ_{-}(z)$$
.

(ii) The fact that  $L_O = L_{O,e} + L_{O,o}$  reflects in the kernel relation

(3.2) 
$$L_{Q}(w,z) = L_{Q_{+}}(w^{2},z^{2}) + \overline{w}zL_{Q_{-}}(w^{2},z^{2}),$$

which holds true by elementary calculation for every triple of analytic functions related by (3.1).

COROLLARY 3.4. Let  $Q_- \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^{n \times n}$  be given. Then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $zQ_{-}(z^2) \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$ .

(ii) 
$$Q_{-}(z), zQ_{-}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$$
  
In this case ind\_ $zQ_{-}(z^2) = \text{ind}_{-}zQ_{-}(z) + \text{ind}_{-}Q_{-}(z)$ .

*Proof.* If we assume (i), we obtain from Proposition 3.2 applied to  $Q(z) := zQ_{-}(z^{2})$  that (ii) holds and that negative indices sum up. Conversely, if (ii) holds true, then the kernel relation (3.2) applied with  $Q(z) = zQ_{-}(z^{2})$ ,  $Q_{+}(z) = zQ_{-}(z)$  shows that (i) holds.

#### 4. ESSENTIALLY POSITIVE NEVANLINNA FUNCTIONS

Theorem 4.1 below shows that the classes  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n\times n,sym}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n\times n,ep}$  are most intimately related. It has proved to be a powerful tool in our further investigations ([KWW2]) and can be regarded as the first main result of this paper.

Before we come to the statement of this result let us recall that every rational function Q which satisfies  $Q(\overline{z}) = Q(z)^*$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$ . In fact ind $_- Q \le n \cdot \deg Q$ , where  $\deg Q$  denotes the McMillan-degree of Q, see [BGR]. Moreover, if r(z) is a scalar rational function and  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$ , then  $(r^\#(z) := \overline{r(\overline{z})})$ 

$$\hat{Q}(z) := (r(z)r^{\sharp}(z))^{-1}Q(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n},$$

which can be verified using the kernel relation (cf. [DL])

$$\begin{split} L_{\hat{Q}}(\overline{w},z) &= \frac{1}{r^{\#}(z)} \frac{Q(z) - Q(w)}{z - w} \frac{1}{r(w)} - \\ &- \frac{r(z) - r(w)}{z - w} \frac{Q(z)}{r^{\#}(z) r(z) r(w)} - \frac{r^{\#}(z) - r^{\#}(w)}{z - w} \frac{Q(w)}{r^{\#}(z) r(w) r^{\#}(w)} \,. \end{split}$$

We see that in fact ind\_ $\hat{Q} \leq \text{ind}_{-} Q + 2n \cdot \text{deg } r$ .

THEOREM 4.1. Assume that the function Q belongs to  $\mathcal{N}^{n\times n,ep}_{<\infty}$ . Then  $Q_1(z):=zQ(z^2)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}^{n\times n,sym}_{<\infty}$ . Conversely, if Q is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$  and  $Q_1(z):=zQ(z^2)\in\mathcal{N}^{n\times n,sym}_{\kappa}$ , then  $Q\in\mathcal{N}^{n\times n,ep}_{\leq\kappa}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n, ep}$ . We have to show that  $Q_1(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n, sym}$ . First choose a rational function R such that Q + R is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ . If the assertion is proved for Q + R it follows that

$$Q_1(z) = z(Q+R)(z^2) - zR(z^2) \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n, sym}.$$

Hence we may assume without loss of generality that Q is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ .

Recall from [DL, Proposition 2.1] that a generalized Nevanlinna function *Q* has an integral representation of the form

$$(4.2) \ \ Q(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \left( (z - \alpha_j)(z - \overline{\alpha_j}) \right)^{-\rho_j} \left[ (z^2 + y_0^2)^{\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{tz + y_0^2}{t - z} \, d\Sigma(t) + \sum_{l=0}^{2\rho + 1} B_l z^l \right]$$

with some nondecreasing and bounded  $n \times n$ -matrix function  $\Sigma(t)$ , nonnegative integers  $s, \rho_j, \rho$ , Hermitian matrices  $B_l$ , mutually different numbers  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}^+ \cup \mathbb{R}$  and  $y_0 > 0$ ,  $iy_0 \neq \alpha_j$ . This representation can be chosen such that the domain of holomorphy of Q is equal to the complement of the union of the support of  $d\Sigma$  and  $\{\alpha_1, \overline{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \alpha_s, \overline{\alpha_s}\}$ . Conversely, every function represented in this way belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$ .

Since we assume that the function Q under consideration is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0,\infty)$ , we can choose  $y_0=1$  and we know that  $\alpha_j \in [0,\infty)$ . The same argument as in the first paragraph of this proof shows that we may assume that  $(d\Sigma)(\{0\})=0$ . Let us recall that (cf. [KL1])

$$(1+z^2)^{\rho} \frac{tz+1}{t-z} = \left(\frac{1}{t-z} - (t+z) \sum_{k=1}^{\rho+1} \frac{(1+z^2)^{k-1}}{(1+t^2)^k}\right) (1+t^2)^{\rho+1} + z(1+z^2)^{\rho}.$$

The function

$$q(z) := \int_0^\infty \frac{tz+1}{t-z} d\Sigma(t)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_0^{n\times n}$ . It suffices to prove that  $zq(z^2)\in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n\times n}$ , since the assertion  $zQ(z^2)\in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n\times n}$  will then follow from (4.1). The fact that  $zQ(z^2)$  is odd is anyway obvious.

In view of Corollary 3.4 it is enough to show that  $zq(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n}$ . This, however, is immediate from the identity

$$z\frac{tz+1}{t-z} = (1+z^2)\frac{t}{t-z} - 1.$$

We proceed to the proof of the converse part. Let  $Q_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{n \times n, sym}$ , then by Proposition 3.2 we have  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{\leq \kappa}^{n \times n}$ . Since  $Q_1$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}^+$ , the function Q is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ . Moreover, its nonreal poles correspond to the nonreal poles of  $Q_1$  which lie off the imaginary axis, and its poles on the negative real half axis correspond to those on the imaginary axis. Alltogether, there can exist only finitely many poles in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ .

M

As a first consequence we shall formulate a connection with the classes  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{\nu}$ , cf. (1.1).

COROLLARY 4.2. We have

$$\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{ep} = \bigcup_{
u \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{
u}$$
 .

Let  $Q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{n \times n, ep}$ . By putting together the formulas of the proof of Theorem 4.1 we obtain an estimate for the number of negative squares of  $zQ(z^2)$ .

REMARK 4.3. The estimate given in the below corollary is very rough, however, the only thing of importance is to see that the negative index of  $zQ(z^2)$  is bounded by a value which depends only on n,  $\kappa(Q)$  and  $\gamma(Q)$ .

COROLLARY 4.4. For  $Q \in \mathcal{N}^{n \times n, ep}_{<\infty}$  put  $\kappa(Q) := \operatorname{ind}_{-} Q$  and let  $\gamma(Q)$  denote the number of poles of Q in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$  counted according to their multiplicities. Then we have

$$\operatorname{ind}_{-} zQ(z^2) \leq l(\kappa(Q), \gamma(Q), n).$$

Proof. With the notation of Theorem 4.1 we obtain

$$zQ(z^{2}) = \frac{(z^{4}+1)^{\rho}}{\prod_{j=1}^{s}((z^{2}-\alpha_{j})(z^{2}-\overline{\alpha_{j}}))^{\rho_{j}}}zq(z^{2}) + \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{2\rho+1}B_{l}z^{2l+1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{s}((z^{2}-\alpha_{j})(z^{2}-\overline{\alpha_{j}}))^{\rho_{j}}} + \frac{(z^{4}+1)^{\rho}}{\prod_{j=1}^{s}((z^{2}-\alpha_{j})(z^{2}-\overline{\alpha_{j}}))^{\rho_{j}}}(-\Sigma(\{0\})-zR(z^{2})).$$

Thereby the numbers  $\rho$ ,  $\rho_i$  in (4.2) satisfy (cf. [DL])

$$\rho, \sum_{j=1}^{s} \rho_j \leq \kappa(Q) .$$

Moreover,

$$zq(z^2) = p(z) + (1+z^2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{tz+1}{t-z} d\hat{\Sigma}(t)$$

where p is a polynomial of degree at most 3. The asserted estimate follows from the discussion on counting negative squares in the beginning of the present section. In fact we can choose  $l(\kappa(Q), \gamma(Q), n) = 2n \cdot (2\gamma(Q) + 10\kappa(Q) + 5)$ .

EXAMPLE 4.5. Let us consider the particular case that  $q \in \mathcal{N}_0$  is of the form

$$q(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{-\alpha_k}{t_k + z} - \frac{\alpha_0}{z} + \alpha + \beta z + \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t - z}$$

where  $t_k$ ,  $\alpha_k > 0$ ,  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\beta \ge 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{1+|t|} < \infty.$$

Then

$$zq(z^{2}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{-\alpha_{k}}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{z + i\sqrt{t_{k}}} + \frac{1}{z - i\sqrt{t_{k}}} \right] - \frac{\alpha_{0}}{z} + \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{z}{t - z^{2}} d\sigma(t) + \alpha z + \beta z^{3}.$$

The integral term can be written as

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0+}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{u-z} - \frac{u}{1+u^2} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{u+z} - \frac{u}{1+u^2} \right) \right] d\sigma(u^2) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{v-z} - \frac{v}{1+v^2} \right) d\tau(v) \,, \end{split}$$

where  $d\tau(u) = d\sigma(u^2)$  on the positive half axis and  $d\tau(u) = d\tau(-u)$ . This measure satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau(v)}{1+v^2} < \infty,$$

and, therefore, the integral term belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_0$ . We conclude that

$$zq(z^2)\in\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}$$
,

where

$$\kappa = N + \begin{cases}
0 & , \ \beta = 0, \alpha \ge 0 \\
1 & , \ (\beta = 0, \alpha < 0) \text{ or } \beta > 0 \\
2 & , \beta < 0
\end{cases}$$

For the sake of simplicity we shall restrict ourselves for the rest of this paper to the scalar case n = 1.

The first task is to characterize those functions which might appear as  $q_+$  (or  $q_-$ ) in Proposition 3.2. In order to give an answer, we need one more lemma.

LEMMA 4.6. Let  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$  and assume that q is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{R}^-$ , i.e. can be considered as an analytic mapping of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0,\infty)$  into the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . Then outside of a sufficiently large disk the poles and zeros of q are real, simple and interlace.

The same assertion holds true when we consider functions q meromorphic on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

*Proof.* According to [DLLS] we can write *q* as

$$q(z) = r(z) \cdot q_1(z),$$

with some rational function r of the form

(4.4) 
$$r(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (z - \alpha_i)(z - \overline{\alpha_i})}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_2} (z - \beta_i)(z - \overline{\beta_i})},$$

and a function  $q_1 \in \mathcal{N}_0$  which again is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{R}^-$ .

Choose R such that all poles and zeros of r(z) lie inside the disk with radius R. Then outside this disk the poles and zeros of q coincide with those of  $q_1$ . Since in every point  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^-$  of analyticity of  $q_1$  we have  $q_1'(t_0) > 0$ , between two poles of  $q_1$  there must lie exactly one zero, i.e. the poles and zeros of  $q_1$  interlace.

Note that  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{sym}$  are closed with respect to the transformation  $q \mapsto -\frac{1}{q}$ . With the aid of the above lemma we obtain the same statement for  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{ep}$ .

COROLLARY 4.7. We have 
$$q \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{ep}$$
 if and only if  $-\frac{1}{q} \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{ep}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{ep}$ . Then  $-\frac{1}{q} \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}$ . The poles of  $-\frac{1}{q}$  located on  $\mathbb{R}^-$  correspond to the zeros of q. By the above lemma q can have only finitely many zeros located on the negative half axis.

PROPOSITION 4.8. The following assertions are equivalent:

In this case we have

(4.5) 
$$ind_{-}zq(z^{2}) = ind_{-}q(z) + ind_{-}zq(z).$$

The condition (iii) can be substituted by  $zq(z^2) \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$ , since symmetry is anyway obvious.

The equivalence of (i) and (iii) is just the statement of Theorem 4.1. By taking inverses we obtain the equivalence of (ii) and (iii): For if we put  $\hat{q}(z) := -(zq(z))^{-1}$ , then  $z\hat{q}(z^2) = -(zq(z^2))^{-1}$ . The validity of (4.5) was already proved in Proposition 3.2.

An inductive application of the above proposition yields:

COROLLARY 4.9. We have  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$  if and only if

$$z^k q(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$$
 for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

REMARK 4.10. From Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 3.4 it is obvious how the classes  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{ep}$  are related with the classes  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{+}$ : Recall that a function q is said to belong to  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^+$  if  $q(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}$  and  $zq(z) \in \mathcal{N}_0$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{ep}$ . This inclusion is also evident from the integral representation [KL1, Satz 3.8] for functions of the class  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^{+}$ .

An interesting consequence of (4.5) is that  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}^+$  if and only if  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}$  and  $zq(z^2) \in \mathcal{N}_{\kappa}$ .

The class  $\mathcal{N}_0^+$  is nothing else but the Stieltjes class  $\mathcal{S}$ , cf. [KK2]. For an elaborate discussion of the connection of the function triple q(z), zq(z),  $zq(z^2)$  with the theory of strings see also [KWW3].

We are going to exploit Theorem 4.1 to obtain some more information on functions of the class  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{\hat{ep}}$ . First we bring a result dealing with the asymptotics of a generalized Nevanlinna function. It generalizes a property of functions of the Stieltjes class which goes back to the original definition of S, compare (iii) of Proposition 4.11 below and [KK2]. After that we will deal with limits of sequences of generalized Nevanlinna functions.

Any function  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$  can be considered as an analytic mapping of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ into the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . The maximal domain of analyticty  $\Omega$  of a given function  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$  considered as mapping into  $\mathbb{S}^2$  can be strictly larger than  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . For example  $\Omega \supseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$  whenever  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$ .

We will always consider the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  as a metric space endowed with the spherical metric  $\chi$  and of  $\mathbb{C}$  as embedded in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  by means of the stereographical projection. Thereby  $\chi$  should be normalized so that  $\chi(0,\infty)=1$ ,  $\chi(1,-1)=1$ ,  $\chi(1,\infty)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ , etc.

We shall establish some limit properties of generalized Nevanlinna functions, in particular of symmetric and essentially positive ones. This result can be seen in a fairly straightforward manner, however, for the convenience of the reader we shall include its proof.

PROPOSITION 4.11. Let  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$ .

(i) For each  $\delta > 0$  the limit

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to \infty \\ \delta \leq \arg z \leq \pi - \delta}} \frac{1}{z} q(z)$$

exists as an element of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and belongs to  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

(ii) If  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$ , then for all but finitely many y > 0 we have  $q(iy) \in i\mathbb{R}$ . The limit

$$\lim_{y \to +\infty} \frac{1}{iy} q(iy)$$

exists in the two-point compactification  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(iii) If  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$ , then for each  $\delta > 0$  the limit

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to \infty \\ \delta \le \arg z \le 2\pi - \delta}} q(z)$$

exists as an element of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and belongs to  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Moreover, for all but finitely many x < 0 we have  $q(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty}q(x)$$

exists in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ .

Proof.

ad (i): Consider a function  $q_1 \in \mathcal{N}_0$ . It follows from its integral representation

$$q_1(z) = a + bz + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{t - z} - \frac{t}{1 + t^2}\right) d\mu(t)$$

that the following limit relations hold:

(4.8)

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to \infty \\ \delta < \arg z < \pi - \delta}} z^n q_1(z) =$$

$$= \begin{cases} \infty & , \ n \geq 2 \\ -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mu(t) \in (-\infty, 0] & , \ n = 1, b = 0, \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mu(t) < \infty, a = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t}{1 + t^2} d\mu(t) \\ \infty & , \ n = 1 \ \text{and we are not in the above case} \\ b \in [0, +\infty) & , \ n = -1 \\ 0 & , \ n \leq -2 \end{cases}$$

Let  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$  be given and consider the factorization  $q = r \cdot q_1$  as in (4.3). Since  $r \sim z^{2(n_1-n_2)}, z \to \infty$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{z}q(z)\sim z^{2(n_1-n_2)-1}q_1(z),\ z\to\infty$$
,

and the assertion follows from (4.8).

ad (ii): Since q is odd we have for y > 0 with  $q(iy) \neq \infty$  that

$$\overline{q(iy)} = q(\overline{iy}) = q(-iy) = -q(iy).$$

Hence  $q(iy) \in i\mathbb{R}$  for such y.

If the limit (4.6) belongs to  $\mathbb C$  then by the above said it must belong to  $\mathbb R$ . Assume that the limit (4.6) is equal to  $\infty$ , i.e.  $|(iy)^{-1}q(iy)| \to \infty$  for  $y \to +\infty$ . Choose C > 0 such that for all  $y \ge C$  we have  $q(iy) \ne \infty$  and  $\chi((iy)^{-1}q(iy), \infty) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Then  $(iy)^{-1}q(iy)$  is a continuous function of  $[C,\infty)$  into  $(-\infty,1] \cup [1,\infty) \subseteq \mathbb S^2$  and, therefore, cannot change its sign. This shows that the limit (4.7) exists in  $\mathbb R \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ .

ad (iii): With  $\hat{q}(z):=zq(z^2)$  we have  $\hat{q}\in\mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$ . Taking square roots maps angles  $\delta<\arg z<2\pi-\delta$  onto angles  $\frac{\delta}{2}<\arg z<\pi-\frac{\delta}{2}$  and maps  $\mathbb{R}^-$  to  $i\mathbb{R}^+$ . Hence the assertion of (iii) follows from the already proved statements (i) and (ii) applied to  $\hat{q}$ .

Let us make the notion of convergence of a sequences of generalized Nevanlinna functions more precise. We provide the set  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R},\mathbb{S}^2)$  of all analytic functions of  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with the compact-open topology, that is to say with the uniformity of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ . The set  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$  is always assumed to carry the subspace topology of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R},\mathbb{S}^2)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$  is not closed in  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R},\mathbb{S}^2)$ . By the theorem of Mittag-Leffler we in fact have

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{S}^2) : f(\overline{z}) = \overline{f(z)} \right\}$$

As in Corollary 4.4 we denote for  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$  by  $\gamma(q)$  the total number of poles of qin  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ .

PROPOSITION 4.12. Let  $q_n \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{S}^2)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and assume that we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty}q_n=q \text{ in } \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R},\mathbb{S}^2).$ 

- (i) If  $q_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\leq \kappa}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{\leq \kappa}$ . (ii) If  $q_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\leq \kappa}^{sym}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{\leq \kappa}^{sym}$ . (iii) If  $q_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\leq \kappa}^{ep}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\gamma(q_n)<\infty\,,$$

then 
$$q \in \mathcal{N}^{ep}_{\leq \kappa}$$
.

Proof.

ad (i): Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  be a compact subset with nonempty interior such that  $\infty \notin$ q(K). For sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\infty \notin q_n(K)$ , and since convergence in  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{S}^2)$  implies pointwise convergence it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} L_{q_n}(w,z) = L_q(w,z), \ w,z \in K.$$

This implies ind\_  $q \le \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{ind}_{-} q_n$ .

ad(ii): This statement is obvious from the already proved part (i) and the fact that pointwise convergence preserves the property of being odd.

ad (iii): Showing (iii) amounts to proving that q belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{ep}$  since by (i) we already know that  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{\leq \kappa}$ . To this end consider the sequence

$$\hat{q}_n(z) := zq_n(z^2), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Theorem 4.1 we have  $\hat{q}_n \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$  and by Corollary 4.4 our assumption (4.9) guarantees that

$$\hat{\kappa} := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{ind}_{-} \hat{q}_n < \infty.$$

Choose a compact subset K of  $\mathbb{C}^+$  with nonempty interior such that  $\infty \notin q(K)$ , and denote by  $\hat{K} \subset \mathbb{C}^+$  the image of K under the proper branch of the square root map. Then we have  $\hat{q}_n(z) \to \hat{q}(z) := zq(z^2)$ ,  $z \in \hat{K}$ . As we saw in the proof of (i) this implies  $\hat{q} \in \mathcal{N}_{\leq \hat{K}}$  and hence  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{ep}$ .

Let us remark that the condition (4.9) in the assertion (iii) above is essential and cannot be dropped.

# 5. DISTRIBUTIONS ASSOCIATED TO SYMMETRIC AND ESSENTIALLY POSITIVE NEVANLINNA FUNCTIONS

In [JLT] and [KW] it was shown that a generalized Nevanlinna function admits a representation similar to the integral representation

$$q(z) = a + bz + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{t - z} - \frac{t}{1 + t^2}\right) (1 + t^2) d\mu$$

of an ordinary Nevanlinna function  $q \in \mathcal{N}_0$ . Thereby the measure  $\mu$  has to be replaced by a certain distribution  $\phi$ .

In our context a natural question arises: Which kind of distributions correspond in this representation to symmetric or essentially positive generalized Nevanlinna functions?

It is the aim of this section to answer this question. However, first we would like to properly introduce the notion of distributions on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and give a couple of useful lemmata. Our standard reference concerning the theory of distributions is [R].

## 5.1. Distributions on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

We consider the one-point compactification  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  of the real numbers as a  $C^{\infty}$ -manifold in the usual way by making use of the two charts

$$\gamma_0: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{R}} \\ t & \mapsto & t \end{array} \right., \, \gamma_1: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{R}} \\ t & \mapsto & \frac{1}{t} \end{array} \right.$$

where we have put  $\frac{1}{0} := \infty$ . Similarly the unit circle in the plane  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  is considered as a  $C^{\infty}$ -manifold in the usual way. We fix a diffeomorphism  $\gamma$  from  $\mathbb{T}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , let us choose the fractional linear transformation

(5.1) 
$$\gamma: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T} & \to & \overline{\mathbb{R}} \\ w & \mapsto & i\frac{1-w}{1+w} \end{array} \right.$$

Clearly then

$$\tilde{\gamma}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) & \to & C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}) \\ f & \mapsto & f \circ \gamma \end{array} \right.$$

is a bijection.

On  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$  we have the topology of test functions, which is the *F*-space topology induced by the family of seminorms  $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ 

$$\tilde{p}_n: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}) & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ f & \mapsto & \max\{|f^{(n)}(w)| : w \in \mathbb{T}\} \end{array} \right.$$

On the space  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  we define a topology by the requirement that  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a homeomorphism. This turns  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  into a F-space, the topology being induced by the family of seminorms

$$p_n: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ f & \mapsto & \max\{|(f \circ \gamma)^{(n)}(w)| : w \in \mathbb{T}\} \end{array} \right.$$

The space of distributions on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined to be the dual  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$ .

We will often make use of the classical theory of distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$  by employing the following localization principle. For  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  compact let  $\mathcal{D}_K$  be the F-space of all  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  whose support lies in K endowed with the topology induced by the family of seminorms

$$q_n(f) := \max\{|f^{(n)}(t)| : t \in K\}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The natural embedding  $\iota : \mathcal{D}_K \to C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ ,

$$(\iota f)(t) := \begin{cases} f(t) & , t \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & , t = \infty \end{cases}$$

maps  $\mathcal{D}_K$  bijectively onto the closed subspace  $\{g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) : \operatorname{supp} g \subseteq K\}$  of  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$ . Since  $\gamma$  as well as each derivative  $\gamma^{(n)}$  is bounded on  $\gamma^{-1}(K)$ , we find constants  $C_n$  such that

$$\left|(f\circ\gamma)^{(n)}(w)\right|\leq C_n\cdot\max_{0\leq k\leq n}\sup\{|f^{(k)}(t)|:\,t\in K\},\,w\in\mathbb{T}.$$

Thus for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$ 

$$p_n(\iota f) \le C_n \cdot \max_{0 \le k \le n} q_k(f)$$

and hence  $\iota$  is continuous. By the open mapping theorem it is a homeomorphism. We can henceforth identify  $\mathcal{D}_K$  with the subspace  $\iota \mathcal{D}_K$  of  $C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ .

It is often practical to exchange the roles of the points  $0, \infty \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . The mapping  $t \mapsto t^{-1}$  (where we have put  $0^{-1} := \infty, \infty^{-1} := 0$ ) is a diffeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  onto itself, hence gives rise to a bijection

Inv: 
$$\begin{cases} C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) & \to & C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \\ f(t) & \mapsto & f(\frac{1}{t}) \end{cases}$$

Since we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \overline{\mathbb{R}} \\
\xi & & & \downarrow t \mapsto \frac{1}{t} \\
\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \overline{\mathbb{R}}
\end{array}$$

with  $\xi(w) := -\overline{w}$ , we find

$$C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}) \stackrel{\tilde{\gamma}}{\longleftarrow} C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$$

$$\tilde{\xi} \qquad \qquad \Big| \text{Inv}$$

$$C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}) \stackrel{\tilde{\gamma}}{\longleftarrow} C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}(f) := f \circ \xi$ . The map  $\tilde{\xi}$  is an automorphism with respect to the topology of test functions on  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ , thus also Inv is an automorphism of  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ .

As shall be explained in the sequel one can associate to each element  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$  an analytic function  $q : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0 \in \mathbb{C}^+$  be fixed and consider the functions  $(z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ 

$$\beta_z(t) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{t-x_0}{|t-z_0|^2}\right)|t-z_0|^2 & , \ t \in \mathbb{R} \\ z - x_0 & , \ t = \infty \end{cases}$$

Obviously,

(5.2) 
$$\beta_z(t) = \left(\frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{t-x_0}{(t-x_0)^2 + y_0^2}\right) \left((t-x_0)^2 + y_0^2\right), \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

A short computation shows that for  $w \in \mathbb{T}$ 

(5.3) 
$$(\beta_z \circ \gamma)(w) = \frac{-(i+x_0)zw + (i-x_0)z + (ix_0+|z_0|^2)w + (|z_0|^2 - ix_0)}{-zw - z - iw + i} .$$

The right hand side of (5.3) defines a function  $\alpha(z, w)$  which is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathfrak{C}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{C} := \{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : i(1 - w) = z(1 + w) \}.$$

Since  $((\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathfrak{C} = \emptyset$  and  $(\beta_z \circ \gamma)(w) = \alpha(z, w)|_{(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}}$ , each function  $\beta_z \circ \gamma$  belongs in particular to  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ . Thus  $\beta_z \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ .

LEMMA 5.1. Let 
$$\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$$
 and define

$$q(z) := \phi(\beta_z), z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$
.

*Then q is analytic on*  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  *and admits an analytic continuation to*  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp } \phi$ .

*Proof.* From the Cauchy integral representation of  $\alpha(z, w)$  it follows that, whenever  $O_1, O_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  are open sets such that  $(O_1 \times O_2) \cap \mathfrak{C} = \emptyset$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the limit relation

(5.4) 
$$\lim_{\zeta \to z} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial w^n} \frac{\alpha(\zeta, w) - \alpha(z, w)}{\zeta - w} = \frac{\partial^{n+1}}{\partial w^n \partial z} \alpha(z, w)$$

holds locally uniformly on  $O_1 \times O_2$ .

Assume first that  $z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(\{z_1\} \times \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathfrak{C} = \emptyset$ , and hence we can choose open sets  $O_1, O_2$  such that  $z_1 \in O_1$ ,  $\mathbb{T} \subseteq O_2$ ,  $(O_1 \times O_2) \cap \mathfrak{C} = \emptyset$ . Then (5.4) shows that  $\beta_z \circ \gamma$  is analytic for  $z \in O_1$  in the topology of  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ . Hence  $\beta_z$  is analytic at  $z_1$  in the topology of  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ .

Assume next that  $z_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \operatorname{supp} \phi$ . Let  $\tilde{\phi} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})'$  be defined as  $\tilde{\phi} := \phi \circ \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}$ , so that we have

$$q(z) = \tilde{\phi}(\beta_z \circ \gamma), z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

Choose  $O_1, O_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  open such that  $z_1 \in O_1$ , supp  $\tilde{\phi} \subseteq O_2$  and  $(O_1 \times O_2) \cap \mathfrak{C} = \emptyset$ . This choice is possible since  $z_1 \notin \operatorname{supp} \phi$  and hence  $(\{z_1\} \times \operatorname{supp} \tilde{\phi}) \cap \mathfrak{C} = \emptyset$ . Next choose a partition of unity  $\chi_0, \chi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  subordinate to  $O_2 \cap \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T} \setminus \operatorname{supp} \tilde{\phi}$ , and consider for each z

$$\chi_0(w)\alpha(z,w)$$

as a function of  $w \in \mathbb{T}$ . If  $z \in O_1$ , then  $(\{z\} \times \operatorname{supp} \chi_0) \cap \mathfrak{C} = \emptyset$ , hence for all such z this function belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ . Moreover, by (5.4), it depends analytically on  $z \in O_1$  in the topology of  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ . Thus also

$$q_1(z) := \tilde{\phi}(\chi_0(w)\alpha(z,w))$$

is analytic on  $O_1$ . However, if  $z \in O_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{T}$ , we have  $\alpha(z, w) = (\beta_z \circ \gamma)(w)$ , and hence

$$\chi_0(w)\alpha(z,w) = (\beta_z \circ \gamma)(w) - \chi_1(w)(\beta_z \circ \gamma)(w).$$

Since supp  $\tilde{\phi} \cap \text{supp } \chi_1 = \emptyset$ ,

$$q_1(z) = \tilde{\phi}(\chi_0(w)\alpha(z,w)) = \tilde{\phi}(\beta_z \circ \gamma) = q(z), z \in O_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

We found an analytic extension of q across an interval containing  $z_1$ .

5.2. The class  $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . Representation of Generalized Nevanlinna functions

Recall that measures can be considered as distributions. If  $\mu$  is complex Borel measure on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , the functional

$$f \mapsto \int_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}} f \, d\mu$$

belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$ . This identification has a local version:

DEFINITION 5.2. Let M be an open subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on M with  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for all compact  $K \subseteq M$ . Moreover, let  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$ . We say that  $\phi$  equals  $\mu$  on M,  $\phi =_M \mu$ , if

$$\phi(f) = \int\limits_M f \, d\mu, \, f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}), \operatorname{supp} f \subseteq M.$$

Note that hereby the measure  $\mu$  is not assumed to satisfy  $\mu(M) < \infty$ .

A distribution  $\phi$  is called real, if it takes real values on real-valued test functions.

The following definition was given in [KW]. In the case of distributions with compact support in  $\mathbb R$  it goes back to [JLT].

DEFINITION 5.3. Let  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$ . We write  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ , if  $\phi$  is real and if there exists a finite set  $s(\phi) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus s(\phi)$  with  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for all compact  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus s(\phi)$ , such that  $\phi =_{\overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus s(\phi)} \mu$ .

From the same sources let us recall the following representation of generalized Nevanlinna functions. Denote by  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  the space of all complex rational functions.

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^+$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ ,  $r = r^{\#}$ , and  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . Then the function

$$q(z) := r(z) + \phi(\beta_z)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$ . Conversely, if  $q \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$  and  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^+$  is fixed, then there exists a unique function  $r \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  analytic on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $r = r^{\#}$ , r = O(1) at  $\infty$ , and a unique distribution  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ , such that (5.5) holds.

Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ , and let  $s(\phi)$  and  $\mu$  be as in Definition 5.3. The measure  $\mu$  can be recovered from the function  $q(z) = \phi(\beta_z)$  by means of the Stieltjes inversion formula.

LEMMA 5.5. Let  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$  be real, fix  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^+$  and put  $q(z) := \phi(\beta_z)$ . Assume that on some interval  $(a_0,b_0)$  the distribution  $\phi$  coincides with a measure  $\mu$  in the sense of Definition 5.2 and let  $\nu$  be the measure defined by  $d\nu(t) = |t-z_0|^2 d\mu(t)$ . Then for all  $a,b \in (a_0,b_0)$ , a < b, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\nu \searrow 0} \int_a^b \operatorname{Im} q(x+iy) \, dx = \nu \left( (a,b) \right) + \frac{\nu \left( \{a\} \right) + \nu \left( \{b\} \right)}{2}$$

*Proof.* Choose a partition of unity  $\chi_0, \chi_1 \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  subordinate to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus [a, b], (a_0, b_0)$ . Since  $\phi =_{(a_0, b_0)} \mu$ , we have

(5.6) 
$$\phi(f) = (\chi_0 \phi)(f) + \int_{(a_0, b_0)} \chi_1 f \, d\mu.$$

Since  $[a, b] \cap \text{supp } \chi_0 = \emptyset$ , we can choose  $a', b' \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$[a,b]\subseteq (a',b')\subseteq [a',b']\subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}\setminus\operatorname{supp}\chi_0.$$

Then we have  $\chi_1|_{[a',b']}=1$ , and the second summand in (5.6) can be written as

(5.7) 
$$\int_{(a_0,b_0)} \chi_1 f \, d\mu = \int_{[a',b']} f \, d\mu + \int_{(a_0,b_0)\setminus [a',b']} \chi_1 f \, d\mu$$

Applying the relations (5.6) and (5.7) to the function  $f = \beta_z$  yields

$$q(z) = (\chi_0 \phi)(\beta_z) + \int_{[a',b']} \beta_z d\mu + \int_{(a_0,b_0)\setminus [a',b']} \chi_1 \beta_z d\mu.$$

Since  $\operatorname{supp}(\chi_0\phi)\cap [a,b]=\emptyset$  the first summand has an analytic continuation to a neighbourhood of  $(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R})\cup [a,b]$ . Since  $\phi$  is real, it takes real values on [a,b]. As  $[a,b]\subseteq (a',b')$  the last summand as well has an analytic continuation to a neighbourhood of  $(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R})\cup [a,b]$ . Clearly, it also takes real values on [a,b]. This implies (z=x+iy)

(5.8) 
$$\lim_{y\searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \left(\chi_0 \phi\right)(\beta_z) = \lim_{y\searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \int_{(a_0,b_0)\backslash [a',b']} \chi_1 \beta_z \, d\mu = 0$$

uniformly for  $x \in [a, b]$ .

We have

$$\operatorname{Im} \beta_z(t) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{|t - z|^2} \cdot |t - z_0|^2,$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Im} \int_{[a',b']} \beta_z \, d\mu = \operatorname{Im} z \int_{[a',b']} \frac{d\nu(t)}{(t-x)^2 + y^2} \, .$$

The Stieltjes inversion formula (cf. [RR, §5.4]) gives

$$\lim_{y \searrow 0} \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int_{[a',b']} \beta_z \, d\mu \right) dx = \nu \left( (a,b) \right) + \frac{\nu \left( \{a\} \right) + \nu \left( \{b\} \right)}{2} \, .$$

By (5.8)

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y \searrow 0} \int_a^b \operatorname{Im} q(x+iy) \, dx = \lim_{y \searrow 0} \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int_{[a',b']} \beta_z \, d\mu \right) dx \, .$$

It is interesting to note that for distributions of the class  $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  also a converse of Lemma 5.1 holds. A proof of this fact different to the one given below could be obtained by combining the methods of [KW, Proposition 3.1] and [HSW]. We prefer to stick to a more elementary method, also because it gives a stronger result which is of good use in the sequel.

LEMMA 5.6. Let  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$  be real, fix  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^+$  and set  $q(z) := \phi(\beta_z)$ . Moreover, let  $a_0, b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_0 < b_0$ , and  $s \in (a_0, b_0)$ . Assume that  $\phi = (a_0, b_0) \setminus \{s\}$   $\mu$  for some measure  $\mu$  and that q has an analytic continuation to  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) \cup (a_0, b_0)$ . Then  $(a_0, b_0) \cap \text{supp } \phi = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\phi$  is real, the function q takes real values on (a,b). An application of the Stieltjes inversion formula to the intervals  $(a_0,s)$  and  $(s,b_0)$  yields  $\mu=0$ . Thus supp  $\phi\cap(a_0,b_0)\subseteq\{s\}$ . Choose  $a_1,b_1\in\mathbb{R}$  such that

$${s} \subseteq (a_1, b_1) \subseteq [a_1, b_1] \subseteq (a_0, b_0)$$

Consider the distribution  $\tilde{\phi}:=\iota'\phi\in\mathcal{D}_{[a_1,b_1]}$  where  $\iota':C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'\to\mathcal{D}'_{[a_1,b_1]}$  is the dual of the canonical embedding of  $\mathcal{D}_{[a_1,b_1]}$  into  $C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . Then supp  $\tilde{\phi}\subseteq\{s\}$  and thus

$$\tilde{\phi} = \sum_{k < n} c_k \delta_s^{(k)} \,,$$

where  $\delta_s$  denotes the evaluation functional  $\delta_s(f) = f(s)$ , cf. [R].

Choose  $a', b' \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\{s\} \subseteq (a',b') \subseteq [a',b'] \subseteq (a_1,b_1)$$

and let  $\chi_0, \chi_1 \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  be a partition of unity subordinate to  $(a_1, b_1), \overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus [a', b']$ . Then

$$q(z) = \phi(\chi_1 \beta_z) + \phi(\chi_0 \beta_z).$$

The first summand is analytic on [a',b'] since supp  $\chi_1 \cap [a',b'] = \emptyset$ . As supp  $\chi_0 \subseteq (a_1,b_1)$ , the second summand computes as

$$\phi(\chi_0\beta_z) = \tilde{\phi}(\chi_0\beta_z|_{[a_1,b_1]}) = \sum_{k < n} c_k(\chi_0\beta_z)^{(k)}(s) = \sum_{k < n} c_k\beta_z^{(k)}(s).$$

By (5.2) the function  $\beta_z^{(k)}(s)$  has a pole of order k+1 at s. Hence  $\phi(\chi_0\beta_z)$  has a pole at s unless all numbers  $c_k$  vanish. Since q as well as  $\phi(\chi_1\beta_z)$  is analytic at s, we must have  $c_k=0,k=0,\ldots,n$ , and hence  $\mathrm{supp}\,\phi\cap(a_0,b_0)=\varnothing$ .

COROLLARY 5.7. Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $q(z) = \phi(\beta_z)$ . Then the maximal domain of analyticity of q in  $\mathbb{C}$  is equal to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp } \phi$ .

5.3. DISTRIBUTIONS ASSOCIATED TO SYMMETRIC AND ESSENTIALLY POSITIVE NEVANLINNA FUNCTIONS.

DEFINITION 5.8. Denote by  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$  the set of all odd functions in  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . Note that  $f(0) = f(\infty) = 0$  whenever  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$ . Let us introduce the following notation:

$$\mathcal{F}^{ep} := \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) : \operatorname{supp} \phi \subseteq [0, \infty) \cup \{\infty\} \right\}$$
  
 $\mathcal{F}^{sym} := \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) : \operatorname{ker} \phi \supseteq C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od} \right\}$ 

Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}^{ep}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{sym}$  are linear subspaces of  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$ . Moreover, a distribution  $\phi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}^{sym}$  if and only if  $\phi(f(t)) = \phi(f(-t))$  for all  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ .

The following theorem is the second main result of this paper.

THEOREM 5.9. Let a function q be given. Then

- (i)  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$  if and only if it can be represented as  $q(z) = r(z) + \phi(\beta_z)$  where  $r \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ ,  $r = r^{\sharp}$ , the point  $z_0$  used for the definition of  $\beta_z$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}^+$ , and  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}^{ep}$ . In this case r can be chosen analytic on  $[0,\infty)$  and such that r = O(1) at  $\infty$ .
- (ii)  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$  if and only if it can be represented as  $q(z) = r(z) + \phi(\beta_z)$  where  $r \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  is odd,  $r = r^{\sharp}$ , the point  $z_0$  used for the definition of  $\beta_z$  belongs to  $i\mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}^{sym}$ . In this case r can be chosen analytic on  $\mathbb{R}$  and such that r = o(1) at  $\infty$ .

*Proof.* (of Theorem 5.9, (i)) Assume that q is represented as in (i). By Lemma 5.1, the function  $\phi(\beta_z)$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus [0,\infty)$  and by Proposition 5.4 it belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$ . Alltogether we see that  $q\in\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}^{ep}$ .

Conversely, let  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$  be given, and let  $r_1(z)$  be the unique rational function with  $r_1 = o(1)$  at  $\infty$  which is analytic on  $[0,\infty)$ , and is such that  $q-r_1$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0,\infty)$ . The  $q_1 := q-r_1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}^{ep}_{<\infty}$  and can be represented according to Proposition 5.4 as  $q_1(z) = r(z) + \phi(\beta_z)$ . Since  $q_1$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0,\infty)$  in this representation r is constant and, by Corollary 5.7,  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}^{ep}$ .

The relation between the classes  $\mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{sym}$  is similar. However, the proof of this fact is not so straightforward and will be carried out in several steps. *Proof.* (of Theorem 5.9, (ii), 1<sup>st</sup> part) Assume that q is represented as in (ii). Since  $z_0 = iy_0$ , the functions  $\beta_z$  can be rewritten as

$$\beta_z(t) = \left(\frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{t}{t^2 + y_0^2}\right)(t^2 + y_0^2) = \frac{y_0^2 + tz}{t-z},$$

and hence satisfy  $\beta_z(t) = -\beta_{-z}(-t)$ . We obtain

$$q(-z) = r(-z) + \phi(\beta_{-z}(t)) = -r(z) + \phi(-\beta_z(-t)) =$$
$$= -r(z) - \phi(\beta_z(t)) = -q(z).$$

Note that we have in fact proved the following more general statement.

COROLLARY 5.10. Assume that  $z_0 = iy_0 \in i\mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$  and assume that  $\ker \phi \supseteq C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$ . Then the function  $\phi(\beta_z)$  is odd.

The proof of the converse part of Theorem 5.9 is based on the following two statements.

LEMMA 5.11. Let  $K \subseteq [0, \infty)$  be compact, and let  $\lambda \in C(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\lambda(x) + (-1)^{n+1}\lambda(-x) = 0$ ,  $x \in K$ . Then the functional defined on  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  as

$$\phi(f) = (-1)^n \int_{K \cup -K} \lambda(x) f^{(n)}(x) \, dx$$

is continuous and satisfies  $\ker \phi \supseteq C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$ .

*Proof.* The set  $K \cup -K$  is compact, hence has finite Lebesgue measure. Moreover, the function  $\lambda$  is bounded on  $K \cup -K$ . Let  $\gamma$  be as in (5.1). Since  $\gamma^{-1}$  and all of its derivatives are bounded on  $K \cup -K$ , it follows that with appropriate constants  $C, C'_n, C''_n$ 

$$\begin{split} \left|\phi(f)\right| &\leq C \sup_{x \in K \cup -K} |\lambda(x)| \cdot \sup\{|f^{(n)}(t)| : t \in K \cup -K\} \leq \\ &\leq C \sup_{x \in K \cup -K} |\lambda(x)| \cdot C_n' \cdot \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sup\{|(f \circ \gamma)^{(n)}(w)| : w \in \gamma^{-1}(K \cup -K)\} \leq \\ &\leq C_n' \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} p_n(f) \end{split}$$

We see that  $\phi$  is a continuous functional on  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ 

Let 
$$f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$$
, then  $f^{(n)}(-x) = (-1)^{n+1}f(x)$  and

$$(-1)^{n}\phi(f) = \int_{K} \lambda(x)f^{(n)}(x) dx + \int_{-K} \lambda(x)f^{(n)}(x) dx =$$
$$\int_{K} \lambda(x)f^{(n)}(x) dx + \int_{K} \lambda(-x)f^{(n)}(-x) dx =$$

$$= \int_{K} \left[ \lambda(x) + (-1)^{n+1} \lambda(-x) \right] f^{(n)}(x) \, dx = 0$$

PROPOSITION 5.12. Let  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$  be real and let S be a finite subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Assume that  $\ker \phi \supseteq \{f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od} : \operatorname{supp} f \cap S = \emptyset\}$  and that  $q(z) = \phi(\beta_z)$  is odd (where we have chosen  $z_0 = iy_0 \in i\mathbb{R}^+$ ). Then  $\ker \phi \supseteq C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\hat{S} := S \cup -S$ . Since partitions of unity subordinate to open sets  $O_i$ with  $O_i = -O_i$  can be chosen to consist of even functions, it suffices to prove that every point  $s \in S$  has a neighbourhood  $(a_1, b_1)$  such that  $\{f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od} : f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od} :$  $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq (a_1, b_1) \cup (-b_1, -a_1)\} \subseteq \ker \phi.$ 

First consider a point  $s \in \hat{S}$ , s > 0. Choose  $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$[a_1,b_1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+, \ \hat{S} \cap [a_1,b_1] = \{s\}.$$

Put  $A_1 := [a_1, b_1] \cup [-b_1, -a_1].$ Consider  $\iota' : C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})' \to \mathcal{D}'_{A_1}$ . Since  $A_1$  is compact, there exists  $\lambda \in C(\mathbb{R})$ , supp  $\lambda \subseteq A_1$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that

$$\phi(\iota f) = (\iota' \phi)(f) = (-1)^n \int_{A_1} \lambda(x) f^{(n)}(x) \, dx, \, f \in \mathcal{D}_{A_1}.$$

Since  $\phi$  is real, the function  $\lambda$  is real valued.

Put  $I_l := (a_1, s)$ ,  $I_r := (s, b_1)$ . For  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , supp  $f \subseteq I_l$ , consider its odd continuation

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{, } x > 0 \\ -f(-x) & \text{, } x < 0 \\ 0 & \text{, } x = 0, \infty \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tilde{f}$  belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$  and supp  $\tilde{f} \cap \hat{S} = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\phi(\tilde{f}) = 0$  and we compute

$$0 = \phi(\tilde{f}) = (-1)^n \int_{A_1} \lambda(x) \tilde{f}^{(n)}(x) \, dx =$$

$$= (-1)^n \int_{I_l} \left[ \lambda(x) + (-1)^{n+1} \lambda(-x) \right] f^{(n)}(x) \, dx \, .$$

Since *f* was arbitrary, it follows that

$$\lambda(x) + (-1)^{n+1}\lambda(-x) = r_1(x), x \in I_l.$$

with some polynomial  $r_1$  of degree at most n-1. The same argument applies with  $I_r$  instead of  $I_l$  and we find a polynomial  $r_2$  whose degree does not exceed n-1 such that

$$\lambda(x) + (-1)^{n+1}\lambda(-x) = r_2(x), x \in I_r.$$

Define a function  $\tilde{\lambda}$  on  $A_1$  by

$$\tilde{\lambda}(x) := \begin{cases} \lambda(x) & , \ x \in [a_1, b_1] \\ \lambda(x) + (-1)^n r_1(-x) & , \ x \in [-s, -a_1] \\ \lambda(x) + (-1)^n r_2(-x) & , \ x \in [-b_1, -s] \end{cases}$$

Since  $r_1(s) = r_2(s)$ , this function is continuous on  $A_1$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{\lambda}$  satisfies by its definition  $\tilde{\lambda}(x) + (-1)^{n+1}\tilde{\lambda}(-x) = 0$ ,  $x \in A_1$ . Let  $\tilde{\phi}$  be the distribution defined by means of Lemma 5.11 applied to  $\tilde{\lambda}$  and put  $\psi := \phi - \tilde{\phi}$ . By our assumption on q and Corollary 5.10 the function

$$Q(z) := \psi(\beta_z)$$

is odd. By the definition of  $\tilde{\lambda}$  we have  $(A_1 \setminus \{-s\}) \cap \text{supp } \psi = \emptyset$ . In fact,

$$\psi(f) = \int_{-s}^{-a_1} \left[ r_1(-x) - r_2(-x) \right] f^{(n)} dx$$
, supp  $f \subseteq A_1$ .

Since  $(a_1,b_1)\cap\sup\psi=\emptyset$ , the function Q admits an analytic continuation to  $(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R})\cup(a_1,b_1)$ , and by symmetry also to  $(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R})\cup(-b_1,-a_1)$ . Moreover, since  $(-I_l\cup-I_r)\cap\sup\psi=\emptyset$ , we have  $\psi=_{(-I_l\cup-I_r)}0$ . Now Lemma 5.6 implies that  $(-b_1,-a_1)\cap\sup\psi=\emptyset$ . Hence, for all f with supp  $f\subseteq(a_1,b_1)\cup(-b_1,-a_1)$  we must have  $\phi(f)=\tilde{\phi}(f)$ . In case f is odd, this shows that  $\phi(f)=0$ .

The points 0 and  $\infty$  play a somewhat different role. Assume that  $0 \in \hat{S}$ . Choose  $0 < b_2 < b_1 < b_0$  such that  $(-b_0, b_0) \cap \hat{S} = \{0\}$ . Similar as above we find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in C((-b_0, b_0))$  such that

$$\phi(f) = (-1)^n \int_{(-b_0, b_0)} \lambda(x) f^{(n)} dx$$
, supp  $f \subseteq [-b_1, b_1]$ .

Also in the same way as in the previous step of this proof we find a polynomial r of degree at most n-1 such that

$$\lambda(x) + (-1)^{n+1}\lambda(-x) = r(x), x \in (0, b_1).$$

Define  $\tilde{\lambda} \in C([-b_1, b_1])$  by

$$\tilde{\lambda}(x) := \begin{cases} \lambda(x) & , \ x \in [0, b_1] \\ \lambda(x) + (-1)^n r(-x) & , \ x \in [-b_1, 0] \end{cases}$$

and let  $\tilde{\phi}$  be as in Lemma 5.11 applied to  $\tilde{\lambda}$ , so that  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\phi}$  and

$$\tilde{\phi}(f) = (-1)^n \int_{[-b_1, b_1]} \tilde{\lambda}(x) f^{(n)}(x) dx.$$

With  $\psi := \phi - \tilde{\phi}$  the function  $Q(z) := \psi(\beta_z)$  is odd. Since supp  $\psi \cap ((-b_1, 0) \cup (0, b_1)) = \emptyset$ , the function Q is analytic on  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) \cup ((-b_1, 0) \cup (0, b_1))$ , i.e. 0 is an isolated singularity of Q.

For f with supp  $f \subseteq (-b_1, b_1)$  we obtain by integration by parts that

$$\psi(f) = (-1)^n \int_0^{b_1} r(x) f^{(n)} dx = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho_k \delta_0^{(k)}\right) (f)$$

where  $\rho_k$  are appropriate constants and  $\delta_0$  denotes the point evaluation functional at 0.

Choose an even partition of unity  $\chi_0, \chi_1 \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  which is subordinate to  $(-b_1, b_1), \overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus [-b_2, b_2]$ . Then

$$Q(z) = (\chi_1 \psi)(\beta_z) + \psi(\chi_0 \beta_z).$$

The first summand is analytic at 0, the second one computes as

$$\psi(\chi_0 \beta_z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho_k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} \beta_z(x)|_{x=0}.$$

Since

$$\beta_z(x) = \frac{y_0^2 + xz}{x - z}$$

we see that  $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}\beta_z(x)|_{x=0}$  has a pole of order k+1 at 0. As Q is odd we obtain that  $\rho_1=\rho_3=\ldots=0$ .

This, however, implies that whenever  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$ , supp  $f \subseteq (-b_1, b_1)$ , we must have  $\psi(f) = 0$  and thus also  $\phi(f) = 0$ .

So far we have shown that every  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})'$  subject to the conditions of the present proposition annulates all  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$  with supp  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  compact.

Finally let us consider the case that  $\infty \in \hat{S}$ . This case could be treated similar as the case  $0 \in \hat{S}$ . However, we prefer to reduce it to the already proved statement.

We start with a simple observation. The function  $\phi(\beta_z)$  is odd if and only if

$$\beta_z(t) + \beta_{-z}(t) \in \ker \phi$$
,

where z ranges in some open set. A computation gives

(5.9) 
$$\beta_z(t) + \beta_{-z}(t) = 2(y_0^2 + z^2) \frac{t}{(t-z)(t+z)},$$

hence  $\phi(\beta_z)$  is odd if and only if

$$\frac{t}{(t-z)(t+z)} \in \ker \phi$$

for z in some open set.

We see that, if  $\hat{\beta}_z$  is defined by use of  $\hat{z}_0 = i\hat{y}_0 \in i\mathbb{R}^+$  instead of  $z_0 = iy_0$ , the function  $\phi(\beta_z)$  is odd if and only if  $\phi(\hat{\beta}_z)$  has this property.

We shall apply the automorphism Inv'. A computation gives

$$\beta_z(\frac{1}{t}) = -y_0^2 \hat{\beta}_{\frac{1}{z}}(t)$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_z$  is defined with  $\hat{y}_0 = y_0^{-1}$ . It follows that

$$(\text{Inv}'\phi)(\beta_z(t)) = \phi(\beta_z(\frac{1}{t})) = -y_0^2\phi(\hat{\beta}_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)).$$

By the above considerations  $\phi(\beta_z)$  is odd if and only if  $\phi(\hat{\beta}_{\frac{1}{z}})$  has this property. Hence, with  $\phi$  also  $\operatorname{Inv}' \phi$  satisfies all hypothesis of the present proposition and we conclude that  $(\operatorname{Inv}' \phi)(f) = 0$  whenever  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$ ,  $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  compact. Alltogether this shows that  $\phi(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})^{od}$ ,  $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{0\}$ .

#### We now obtain:

*Proof.* (of Theorem 5.9, (ii), converse part) Assume that  $q \in \mathcal{N}^{sym}_{<\infty}$  and let  $r_1$  be the rational function which is analytic on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $r_1 = o(1)$  at  $\infty$ , and such that  $q - r_1$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Since q is odd, also  $r_1$  has this property. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that q is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Then the representation (5.5), where we chose  $z_0 = iy_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , is of the form

$$q(z) = \alpha + \phi(\beta_z)$$

with some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . By (5.9) we have  $\beta_{iy_0}(t) + \beta_{-iy_0}(t) = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\overline{\beta_{iy_0}(t)} = \overline{(\frac{y_0^2 + tiy_0}{t - iy_0})} = \beta_{-iy_0}(t)$$

Alltogether it follows that

$$2\operatorname{Re}\phi(\beta_{iy_0}) = \phi(\beta_{iy_0}) + \overline{\phi(\beta_{iy_0})} = \phi(\beta_{iy_0}) + \phi(\overline{\beta_{iy_0}}) = \phi(\beta_{iy_0}) + \phi(\overline{\beta_{iy_0}}) = 0$$

$$= \phi(\beta_{iy_0}) + \phi(\beta_{-iy_0}) = 0$$

Since q is odd  $\overline{q(iy_0)} = q(-iy_0) = -q(iy_0)$ , and we find

$$0 = \operatorname{Re} q(iy_0) = \alpha + \operatorname{Re} \phi(\beta_{iy_0}) = \alpha$$

Hence it suffices to consider functions of the form  $\phi(\beta_z)$  with  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ .

Let the measure  $\mu$  be as in Definition 5.3, so that  $\phi =_{\mathbb{R} \setminus s(\phi)} \mu$ . Since q is odd the Stieltjes inversion formula Lemma 5.5 shows that  $\mu(E) = \mu(-E)$  for all Borel sets E with  $E \cap s(\phi) = (-E) \cap s(\phi) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\phi$  satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 5.12 and we conclude that  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}^{sym}$ .

#### **REFERENCES**

[ADRS] D.ALPAY, A.DIJKSMA, J.ROVNYAK, H.DE SNOO: Schur functions, operator colligations, and reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 96, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1997.

[BGR] J.BALL, I.GOHBERG, L.RODMAN: Interpolation of rational matrix functions, Birkhäuser, Basel 1990.

- [B] J.BOGNAR: Indefinite inner product spaces, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1974.
- [DL] K.DAHO, H.LANGER: Matrix functions of class  $\mathcal{N}_K$ , Math.Nachr., 120 (1985), 275-294.
- [D] V.DERKACH: On Weyl function and generalized resolvents of a Hermitian operator in a Krein space, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 23 (1995), 387-415.
- [DM1] V.DERKACH, M.MALAMUD: On some classes of holomorphic operator functions with nonnegative imaginary part, *16th OT Proceedings*, (1997), 113-147.
- [DM2] V.DERKACH, M.MALAMUD: Generalized resolvents and the boundary value problems for Hermitian operators with gaps, *J.Funct.Analysis*, **95(1)** (1991), 1-95.
- [DLLS] A.DIJKSMA, H.LANGER, A.LUGER, YU.SHONDIN: A factorization result for generalized Nevanlinna functions of the class  $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa}$ , Integral Equations Operator Theory, **36** (2000), 121-125.
- [GG] M.L.GORBACHUK, V.I.GORBACHUK: *M.G.Krein's lectures on entire operators*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 97, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1997.
- [HSW] S.HASSI, H.DE SNOO, H.WORACEK: Some interpolation problems of Nevanlinna-Pick type. The Krein-Langer method, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, **106** (1998), 201-216.
- [IKL] I.S.IOHVIDOV, M.G.KREIN, H.LANGER: Introduction to the spectral theory of operators in spaces with an indefinite metric, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [JLT] P.JONAS, H.LANGER, B.TEXTORIUS: Models and unitary equivalence of cyclic self-adjoint operators in Pontryagin spaces, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, **59** (1992), 252-284.
- [KK1] I.S.KAC AND M.G.KREIN: On the spectral functions of the string, Supplement II to the Russian edition of F.V. Atkinson, Discrete and continuous boundary problems. Mir, Moscow, 1968 (Russian). English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), 103 (1974), 19-102.
- [KK2] I.S. KAC AND M.G. KREIN: R-functions—analytic functions mapping the upper halfplane into itself, Supplement II to the Russian edition of F.V. Atkinson, Discrete and continuous boundary problems. Mir, Moscow, 1968 (Russian). English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), 103 (1974), 1-18.
- [KWW1] M.KALTENBÄCK, H.WINKLER, H.WORACEK: Symmetric relations with finite negativity, *preprint*.
- [KWW2] M.KALTENBÄCK, H.WINKLER, H.WORACEK: Maximal chains of matrices and generalized strings, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., to appear.*
- [KWW3] M.KALTENBÄCK, H.WINKLER, H.WORACEK: Strings, dual strings, and related canonical systems, *preprint*.
- [KW] M.KALTENBÄCK, H.WORACEK: Pontryagin spaces of entire functions II, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **33** (1999), 305 380.
- [KL] M.G.Krein, H.Langer: Über die Q-Funktion eines  $\pi$ -hermiteschen Operators im Raume  $\Pi_K$ , *Acta Sci.Math.* (*Szeged*), **34** (1973), 191-230.
- [KL1] M.G.KREIN, H.LANGER: Über einige Fortsetzungsprobleme, die eng mit der Theorie hermitescher Operatoren im Raume  $\Pi_{\kappa}$  zusammenhängen. I. Einige Funktionenklassen und ihre Darstellungen, *Math. Nachr.*, 77 (1977), 187-236.
- [LW] H.LANGER, H.WINKLER: Direct and inverse spectral problems for generalized strings, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **30** (1998), 409-431.

- [RR] M.ROSENBLUM, J.ROVNYAK: Topics in Hardy classes and univalent functions, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1994.
- [R] W.Rudin: Functional Analysis, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill 1991.

MICHAEL KALTENBÄCK, INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS UND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, VIENNA, 1040, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: michael.kaltenbaeck@tuwien.ac.at

HENRIK WINKLER, FACULTEIT DER WISKUNDE EN NATUURWETENSCHAPPEN, RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN, GRONINGEN, 9700 AV, THE NETHERLANDS *E-mail address*: winkler@math.rug.nl

HARALD WORACEK, INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS UND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, VIENNA, 1040, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: harald.woracek@tuwien.ac.at

Received December, 2004.