

## problem sheet 2

discussion: Tuesday, 20.10.20

**2.1.** Consider an initial value problem for the scalar function  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$\partial_t u + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\mathbf{f}(u)) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot).$$

where  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is sufficiently smooth and  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot$  denotes the divergence w.r.t. the variable  $\mathbf{x}$ .

- a) Formulate the notion of weak solution for this problem.
- b) Formulate the Rankine-Hugoniot condition at points of discontinuity, if  $u$  is a piecewise smooth solution of the equation. You may assume that the discontinuity surface is parametrized by  $(s, t)$  (i.e., is of the form  $(\psi_1(s, t), \psi_2(s, t), t)$  for  $(s, t)$  in a suitable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and has normal vector  $\mathbf{n}(s, t) = (\mathbf{n}_{x_1}(s, t), \mathbf{n}_{x_2}(s, t), \mathbf{n}_t(s, t))^T$ .

**2.2.** Let  $u_0(x) = u_l$  for  $x < 0$  and  $u_0(x) = u_r$  for  $x > 0$  where  $u_l < u_r$ . Show: For every  $u_m$  with  $u_l \leq u_m \leq u_r$  and  $s_m = (u_l + u_m)/2$  the function

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} u_l & x < s_m t \\ u_m & s_m t \leq x \leq u_m t \\ x/t & u_m t \leq x \leq u_r t \\ u_r & x > u_r t \end{cases}$$

is a weak solution of Burgers' equation  $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0$  with  $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$ . Sketch the characteristics. Give a further solution with 2 jumps.

**2.3.** Consider the Riemann problem of Problem 2 with, however,  $u_l > u_r$ . Show:

- a) There are no weak solutions consists of exactly 2 shocks.
- b) There exist weak solution consisting of 3 shocks.

**2.4.** Show that a classical solution of Burgers' equation also solves

$$\partial_t(u^2) + \partial_x \left( \frac{2}{3}u^3 \right) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Show that in the case  $u_l > u_r$  the shock solution of the Riemann problem for Burgers' equation is *not* a weak solution of (1). Give a shock solution of (1).

**2.5.** Let  $\Phi_{\geq 0} = \{\varphi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \varphi \geq 0\}$ . Let  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $f$  be sufficiently smooth. Define on  $\Phi_{\geq 0}$  for every entropy-entropy flux pair  $(\eta, \psi)$  the functional

$$\Lambda_{(\eta, \psi)}(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+} \eta(u)\varphi_t + \psi(u)\varphi_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(u_0)\varphi(\cdot, 0).$$

- a) Let  $\rho_\varepsilon$  be the "usual" "mollifier" and  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\varphi \geq 0$ . Show:  $\varphi_\varepsilon := \varphi * \rho_\varepsilon$  is smooth and satisfies  $\varphi_\varepsilon \geq 0$  and  $\varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$  (in  $L^1$ ).
- b) Show: If for  $\eta(u) = u$  (and correspondingly  $\psi(u) = f(u)$ ) and  $\eta(u) = -u$  (and correspondingly  $\psi(u) = -f(u)$ ) the relation  $\Lambda_{(\eta, \psi)}(\varphi) \geq 0$  holds for all  $\varphi \in \Phi_{\geq 0}$ , then  $u$  is a weak solution.  
*Hint:* Decompose an arbitrary  $\varphi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  as  $\varphi = \varphi^+ - \varphi^-$  with  $\varphi^+, \varphi^- \geq 0$ ; smooth  $\varphi^+$  and  $\varphi^-$ .