

problem sheet 11

discussion: week of Monday, 6.1.2020

11.1. Newton’s method converges only for initial values close to the zero x^* of f . One possibility to address this difficulty is the so-called *continuation method*: One considers a function $H(x, s)$ with $H(x, 1) = f(x)$ and for which a zero x_0 of $H(x, 0)$ is known. One then selects points s_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ and employs Newton’s method to compute the zero x_{i+1} of $H(x, s_{i+1})$, taking x_i as the initial value. Perform the method for

$$f(x) = \arctan x, \quad H(x, s) = \arctan x - (1 - s) \arctan 4$$

and initial value $x_0 = 4$. Select $s_i = i/10$, $i = 0, \dots, 10$.

11.2. Show the following convergence result for the inverse iteration with shift: Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be diagonalizable and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} be numbered such that $|\lambda_1 - \lambda| \geq |\lambda_2 - \lambda| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda| > |\lambda_n - \lambda|$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that there holds for the approximations $\tilde{\lambda}_\ell$ of the inverse iteration:

$$|\lambda_n - \tilde{\lambda}_\ell| \leq C \left| \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda} \right|^\ell, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots,$$

11.3. Consider the vector iteration (“power method”) for the matrix \mathbf{A} and the following three initial vectors $\mathbf{x}_0^{(j)}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \\ & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_0^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_0^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_0^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Discuss the behavior of the vector iteration. Do the eigenvalue approximations $\tilde{\lambda}_\ell$ and the iterates \mathbf{x}_ℓ converge? If so, what do they converge to?

11.4. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a *symmetric* matrix and define the Rayleigh quotient

$$R(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}}$$

- a) Show that the largest eigenvalue λ_1 is the maximum of R and that smallest eigenvalue λ_n the minimum of R .
- b) The minimization property of λ_n suggests to use descent methods. Formulate such a method. To that end, check that

$$\mathbf{g} := \nabla R(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \frac{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 - (\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^4}.$$

Use the *Armijo rule* to determine the step length.

Remark: in the present case, it is possible to solve the 1-dimensional minimization problem, i.e., finding t with $R(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{g}) = \min_\tau R(\mathbf{x} + \tau\mathbf{g})$, explicitly.

- c) Use your algorithm to find the smallest eigenvalue of the tridiagonal matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Plot (**semilogy**) the error versus the iteration number ℓ , where $\ell = 1, \dots, 100$. You may compute the exact value of the eigenvalue using `matlab`’s `eig` command. What do you observe in dependence on $n \in \{10, 20, 40, 80\}$?

Remark: As in Problem 10.2 the matrix \mathbf{A} is obtained as a discretization of $-\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2}$.