

1. $[\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}] = \text{qr}(\mathbf{A})$
2. compute $\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{b}$ and set $\mathbf{b}^* = (\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{b})([1 : n])$
3. solve $\mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}^*$ with back substitution

slide 28

Remark 5.12 *The QR-factorization can also be used in the case $m = n$ to solve a linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ with the following three steps:*

1. *compute the QR-factorization of \mathbf{A}*
2. *solve $\mathbf{Qy} = \mathbf{b}$ by computing $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{b}$*
3. *solve $\mathbf{Rx} = \mathbf{y}$ by back substitution*

The cost is about twice that of the procedure using an LU-factorization. It is, however, preferred if $\kappa(\mathbf{A})$ is large.

slide 29

■

Example 5.13 *Consider*

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon^2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \varepsilon^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ so that \mathbf{x} is the exact solution of the least squares problem. We note $\kappa(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}) = \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} + 1$ so that \mathbf{A} is ill-conditioned for small ε . In matlab:

```
>> e = 1e-7;
>> A = [1 1; e 0; 0 e]; b = [2;e;e];
>> x = (A'*A)\(A'*b) %solution using normal equations
x =
    1.011235955056180
    0.988764044943820
>> [Q,R] = qr(A) ;
>> bb=Q'*b ;
>> xx = R(1:2,1:2)\bb(1:2) %solution using QR-factorization
xx =
    1.000000000000000
    1.000000000000000
```

The method using the normal equations yields a solution with two digits of accuracy (consistent with $\kappa(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}) \approx 10^{14}$) whereas the method based on the QR-factorization yields the correct solution.

■

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}^\top$$

Figure 5.1: structure of the SVD of an 3×2 matrix; \mathbf{U} , \mathbf{V} are orthogonal

5.3 underdetermined systems

The system (5.1) is underdetermined if $m < n$. Let us assume that \mathbf{A} has full rank, i.e., it has m linearly independent rows. Then (5.1) has a solution. However, the solution is not unique. One way to fix the solution is to seek the *minimum norm solution*, i.e., to find \mathbf{x}^* such that

$$\|\mathbf{x}^*\|_2 = \min\{\|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}\}.$$

A convenient tool to solve this minimization problem is the *singular value decomposition* (SVD) of \mathbf{A} .

5.3.1 SVD

The SVD is a very important tool in the analysis of matrices. Without proof, we state its existence:

Theorem 5.14 (SVD) *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (m, n arbitrary). Then there exist $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{\min\{m,n\}} \geq 0$ and orthogonal matrices $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\Sigma_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\sigma_i$, $\sigma_i \geq 0$, such that*

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top, \quad (5.4)$$

The values σ_i are called the *singular values*, the columns of \mathbf{U} the left singular vectors and the columns of the \mathbf{V} the right singular vectors.

The SVD of a matrix \mathbf{A} reveals many important properties of \mathbf{A} :

Exercise 5.15 *Let the singular values σ_i be sorted in descending order. Then:*

1. *Let $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1} = \sigma_{r+2} = \dots = \sigma_{\min\{m,n\}} = 0$. Then r is the rank of \mathbf{A} . (If all singular values are positive, then the matrix \mathbf{A} has full rank).*
2. *The columns $\mathbf{U}(:, [1 : r])$ form an orthogonal basis of the range of \mathbf{A} .*
3. *The columns $\mathbf{V}(:, [r + 1 : n])$ form an orthogonal basis of the kernel of \mathbf{A} . The columns $\mathbf{V}(:, [1 : r])$ form an orthogonal basis of $(\ker \mathbf{A})^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of the kernel of \mathbf{A} . ■*

Exercise 5.16 *Let $\mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top$ be the SVD of \mathbf{A} . Show: the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}$ are the eigenvalues of the diagonal matrix $\Sigma^\top\Sigma$ and those of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\top$ the eigenvalues of the diagonal matrix $\Sigma\Sigma^\top$. What can you say about the eigenvectors of the matrices $\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\top$? ■*

Remark 5.17 *In matlab/python, the SVD is available as `svd/numpy.linalg.svd`. ■*

For $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$, we introduce the matrices

$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{U}(:, [1 : r]), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{V}} = \mathbf{V}(:, [1 : r]), \quad \tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma([1 : r], [1 : r]), \quad \mathbf{V}' := \mathbf{V}(:, [r + 1, n]).$$

We note that $\mathbf{A} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is invertible. This factorization of \mathbf{A} is called the *reduced SVD*. We also note that the columns of \mathbf{V}' span the kernel of \mathbf{A} .

Remark 5.18 *A slightly different interpretation of the SVD is obtained by writing it as $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma$. Writing $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$, $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m)$, this means $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i = \sigma_i\mathbf{u}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, where $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$. That is, we have found pairwise orthogonal vectors \mathbf{v}_i that are mapped under \mathbf{A} to an orthogonal basis of the range of \mathbf{A} . ■*

Exercise 5.19 *Show: $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{V}')^\top \mathbf{x}$ is the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{x} onto $\text{Ker } \mathbf{A}$. $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x}$ is the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{x} onto $(\text{Ker } \mathbf{A})^\perp$. Analogously, $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{x}$ is the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{x} onto $\text{Im } \mathbf{A}$. ■*

5.3.2 Finding the minimum norm solution using the SVD

Let $m \leq n$ and assume (for simplicity) that \mathbf{A} has full rank, i.e., $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = m$. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{U}$ and the reduced SVD then takes the form $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top$. We observe that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^* := \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{b}$$

satisfies $\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^* = \mathbf{b}$ since

$$\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^* = \mathbf{U}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{U}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}$$

We note that every solution \mathbf{x} of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has the form $\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^* + \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{y}$ for a $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$. We also note that $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*$ is orthogonal to $\text{ker } \mathbf{A}$ (which is spanned by \mathbf{V}'). That is: for every solution \mathbf{x} of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ we have

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*\|^2 + \|\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{y}\|^2,$$

which is obviously minimized by $\mathbf{y} = 0$. Hence, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*$ is the sought minimum norm solution.

5.3.3 Solution of the least squares problem with the SVD

The least squares problem could, alternatively to using the *QR*-factorization, also be solved with the SVD:

Exercise 5.20 *Assume that $m \geq n$ and that an SVD of \mathbf{A} (with full rank) is given. Formulate a method to compute the solution of (5.2). Remark: Since computing an SVD is more expensive than computing a *QR*-factorization, this is rarely done in practice. ■*

5.3.4 Further properties of the SVD

Exercise 5.21 Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top$ be the SVD of a matrix \mathbf{A} . Show:

(a) $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 = \sum_i \sigma_i^2$, where the Frobenius norm of \mathbf{A} is given by $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} |\mathbf{A}_{ij}|^2$.

(b) $\|\mathbf{A}\|_2^2 = \max_i \sigma_i^2 = \sigma_1^2$.

We have:

Theorem 5.22 Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top$ be the SVD of the matrix \mathbf{A} with rank r . Let the singular values be sorted in descending order. Then for every $\nu \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ the matrix $\mathbf{A}_\nu := \mathbf{U}(:, [1 : \nu])\Sigma([1 : \nu], [1 : \nu])\mathbf{V}(:, [1 : \nu])^\top$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_\nu\|_2 &= \min_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}: \text{rank}(\mathbf{B})=\nu} \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\|_2, \\ \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_\nu\|_F &= \min_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}: \text{rank}(\mathbf{B})=\nu} \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\|_F. \end{aligned}$$

slide 30

Remark 5.23 The SVD can be used to determine the rank of a matrix by checking the number of non-zero singular values. In practice, one has to select a cut-off $\varepsilon > 0$ (typically a little larger than machine precision) and defines the rank $r = \#\{\sigma_i \mid \sigma_i \geq \varepsilon\}$. ■

5.3.5 The Moore-Penrose Pseudoinverse (CSE)

We consider the least squares problem without conditions on m , n , and the rank of \mathbf{A} :

$$\text{find } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.5)$$

This problem has solutions but possibly more than one. To enforce uniqueness, we seek again the “minimum norm” solution, i.e., the $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with the smallest norm. We have:

Theorem 5.24 Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = r$. Let $\mathbf{A} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top$ be the economy size SVD of \mathbf{A} . Then $\mathbf{x}^* := \mathbf{A}^+\mathbf{b}$ with the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse

$$\mathbf{A}^+ := \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \quad (5.6)$$

is the minimum norm solution of the least squares problem (5.5).

Before proving Theorem 5.24, we formulate a representation of the orthogonal projection onto a subspace, which takes a particularly simple form if an orthonormal basis of the space is available:

Lemma 5.25 Let $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ have orthonormal columns. Then the map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{x}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace \mathcal{V} spanned by the columns of \mathbf{V} . If $\tilde{\mathbf{V}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-k)}$ is such that $(\mathbf{V}, \tilde{\mathbf{V}})$ is an orthogonal matrix (i.e., the space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ spanned by the columns of $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{V}) then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{x} + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.7)$$

Proof: We recall that the orthogonal projection $P\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}$ of \mathbf{x} onto \mathcal{V} is characterized by

$$(\mathbf{x} - P\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_2 = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (5.8)$$

We now check that $P\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x}$ satisfies (5.8). We note that $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}$ and that any $\mathbf{y}' \in \mathcal{V}$ can be written as $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{y}$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$. We compute for arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$:

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{V}\mathbf{y})_2 = (\mathbf{V}^\top (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})_2 = ((\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x} - \underbrace{\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{V}}_{=I} \mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})_2 = 0,$$

which shows (5.8). Similarly, $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x}$ is the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{x} onto the space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. By construction $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x}$ is in the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{V} , i.e., in the space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. Hence, by the projection property $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{x}$. Since $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{V} = 0$, we obtain (5.7) by rearranging the terms. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.24: We decompose \mathbf{b} into its component in $\text{Im } \mathbf{A}$ and the rest using Lemma 5.25:

$$\mathbf{b} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{U}')^\top \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{U}' := \mathbf{U}(:, [r+1 : n]).$$

Next, we compute for arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &= \|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 = \|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}(\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{U}')^\top \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}(\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{U}')^\top \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{U}')^\top \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

This expression is minimal if we can find \mathbf{x} such that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x} = \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b}. \quad (5.9)$$

(We will see at the end of the proof that indeed such \mathbf{x} exist.) Let us now seek the \mathbf{x}^* from all \mathbf{x} satisfying (5.9) with minimal norm. We write again with Lemma 5.25

$$\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{V}'(\mathbf{V}')^\top \mathbf{x}.$$

Hence, any \mathbf{x} that satisfies (5.9) has to satisfy

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \|\tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{V}'(\mathbf{V}')^\top \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \stackrel{(5.9)}{=} \|\tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{V}'(\mathbf{V}')^\top \mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$

We see that \mathbf{x}^* with the smallest norm should be such that $(\mathbf{V}')^\top \mathbf{x}^* = 0$. Then, we get

$$\mathbf{x}^* \stackrel{(\mathbf{V}')^\top \mathbf{x}^* = 0}{=} \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{x}^* \stackrel{(5.9)}{=} \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Indeed, this \mathbf{x}^* satisfies $(\mathbf{V}')^\top \mathbf{x}^* = 0$ as well as (5.9). Hence, we have found the unique minimum norm solution. \square

Let us interpret the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse. To that end, we let us restrict \mathbf{A} to $(\text{Ker } \mathbf{A})^\perp$, which we denote by \mathbf{A}_K to emphasize that the domain of definition and range has changed:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_K : (\text{Ker } \mathbf{A})^\perp &\rightarrow \text{Im } \mathbf{A} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{V}}z &\mapsto \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}z = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{V}}z = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\Sigma}z \end{aligned}$$

This map is a bijection. Indeed, since the columns of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ are linearly independent, the inverse \mathbf{A}_K^{-1} is easily read off to be:²

$$\mathbf{A}_K^{-1} : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\zeta \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}\zeta$$

We now consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^m & \xrightarrow{\text{ortho. Proj.}} & \text{Im } \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_K^{-1}} & \text{Ker } \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{b} & \mapsto & \tilde{\mathbf{U}}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b}) & \mapsto & \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^\top \mathbf{b} \end{array}$$

This is precisely \mathbf{A}^+ ! Hence, the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse takes from a vector \mathbf{b} its component in $\text{Im } \mathbf{A}$ and then applies the well-defined inverse \mathbf{A}_K^{-1} that maps from $\text{Im } \mathbf{A}$ to $(\text{Ker } \mathbf{A})^\perp$.

Exercise 5.26 Let $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = r$. Show: $\|\mathbf{A}^+\|_2 = \sigma_r^{-1}$. ■

5.3.6 Further remarks

- The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse is the inverse of \mathbf{A} if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is invertible.
- In general, \mathbf{A}^+ shares some properties with the inverse: $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^+\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$ and $(\mathbf{A}^+)^+ = \mathbf{A}$.

Computing the SVD

The SVD is computed with variants of algorithms that compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Since $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}^\top \Sigma^\top \Sigma \mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\top = \mathbf{U}^\top \Sigma \Sigma^\top \mathbf{U}$, one could compute the SVD by computing the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$ or $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\top$. However, since $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\top$ are typically ill conditioned, one resorts to computing the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the symmetric matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \mathbf{A} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

whose eigenvalues are $\pm\sigma_i$. A popular algorithm for the SVD is \rightarrow Golub-Kahan.

²An alternative way to see that \mathbf{A}_K is a bijection is to check the dimensions: $\dim(\text{Ker } \mathbf{A})^\perp = n - \dim \text{Ker } \mathbf{A}$ and by a linear algebra fact $n = \dim(\text{Ker } \mathbf{A})^\perp + \dim \text{Im } \mathbf{A}$ so that $\dim(\text{Ker } \mathbf{A})^\perp = \dim \text{Im } \mathbf{A}$