ASC Report No. 13/2012

Asymptotics of eigenvalues for a class of singular Krein strings

H. Woracek

Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing
Vienna University of Technology — TU Wien
www.asc.tuwien.ac.at ISBN 978-3-902627-05-6

Most recent ASC Reports

12/2012 *H. Winkler, H. Woracek*
A growth condition for Hamiltonian systems related with Krein strings

11/2012 *B. Schörkhuber, T. Meurer, and A. Jüngel*
Flatness-based trajectory planning for semilinear parabolic PDEs

10/2012 *Michael Karkulik, David Pavlicek, and Dirk Praetorius*
On 2D newest vertex bisection: Optimality of mesh-closure and H^1-stability of L_2-projection

09/2012 *Joachim Schöberl and Christoph Lehrenfeld*
Domain Decomposition Preconditioning for High Order Hybrid Discontinuous Galerkin Methods on Tetrahedral Meshes

08/2012 *Markus Aurada, Michael Feischl, Thomas Führer, Michael Karkulik, Jens Markus Melenk, Dirk Praetorius*
Classical FEM-BEM coupling methods: nonlinearities, well-posedness, and adaptivity

07/2012 *Markus Aurada, Michael Feischl, Thomas Führer, Michael Karkulik, Jens Markus Melenk, Dirk Praetorius*
Inverse estimates for elliptic integral operators and application to the adaptive coupling of FEM and BEM

06/2012 *J.M. Melenk, A. Parsania, and S. Sauter*
Generalized DG-Methods for Highly Indefinite Helmholtz Problems based on the Ultra-Weak Variational Formulation

05/2012 *J.M. Melenk, H. Rezaijafari, B. Wohlmuth*
Quasi-optimal a priori estimates for fluxes in mixed finite element methods and applications to the Stokes-Darcy coupling

04/2012 *M. Langer, H. Woracek*
Indefinite Hamiltonian systems whose Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficients have no finite generalized poles of non-negativity type

03/2012 *M. Aurada, M. Feischl, J. Kemetmüller, M. Page, D. Praetorius*
Adaptive FEM with inhomogeneous dirichlet data: Convergence and quasi-optimality in Rd

Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing
Vienna University of Technology
Wiedner Hauptstraße 8–10
1040 Wien, Austria

E-Mail: admin@asc.tuwien.ac.at
WWW: http://www.asc.tuwien.ac.at
FAX: +43-1-58801-10196

ISBN 978-3-902627-05-6

Asymptotics of eigenvalues for a class of singular Kreĭn strings

Harald Woracek

Abstract

A Kreĭn string is a pair $\mathsf{S}[L, m]$ where $0 < L \leq \infty$ and $m : [0, L) \to [0, \infty)$ is nondecreasing. Each string gives rise to an operator model, the Kreĭn-Feller differential operator $-D_m D_x$ acting in the space $L^2(dm)$. This operator has a selfadjoint realization which is nonnegative. Provided that $L + \lim_{x \to L} m(x) < \infty$, this realization has discrete spectrum and, when (λ_n) denotes the sequence of positive eigenvalues arranged increasingly, then

$$\lim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^L \sqrt{m'(x)}\, dx\,.$$

We show that for a class of strings defined by a weaker growth restriction the spectrum is discrete, the integral on the right side is still finite, and the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues is determined by the above formula.

AMS MSC 2010: Primary: 34L20, 46E22, 47B50. Secondary: 34L40, 37J99
Keywords: Kreĭn string, eigenvalue asymptotics

1 Introduction

A Kreĭn string is a pair, we denote it as $\mathsf{S}[L, m]$, which consists of a number L with $0 \leq L \leq \infty$ and a nonnegative and nondecreasing function defined on $[0, L)$. The string $\mathsf{S}[L, m]$ gives rise to an operator model, namely the Kreĭn-Feller differential operator $-D_m D_x$ acting in the space $L^2(dm)$. The eigenvalue equation of one of its selfadjoint realizations can be written in integral form as

$$\begin{cases} f(x) - f(0) + z \int_{[0,x]} (x - y) f(y)\, dm(y) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}\,, \\ f'(0-) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is the eigenvalue parameter. The operator $-D_m D_x$ arises when Fourier's method is applied to the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m(s)} \left(\frac{\partial v(s,t)}{\partial s} \right) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} v(s,t) = 0\,.$$

Concerning physical interpretation, this equation describes the vibrations of an inhomogenous string with a free left endpoint, being stretched with unit tension on the interval $[0, L)$, and whose total mass on the interval $[0, x]$ equals $m(x)$.

The spectrum of the Kreĭn-Feller operator associated with a string $\mathsf{S}[L, m]$ is fully described by one analytic function, its principle Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient q_S. In fact, a Fourier transform can be constructed which maps $-D_m D_x$ to multiplication in $L^2(\mu_S)$, where μ_S is the measure in the representation of q_S as a Cauchy integral.

The principles of the theory of strings, including direct and inverse spectral theorems, were established by M.G.Kreĭn in the early 1950's, cf. [Kr3]† , see also [KaKr2][1]. For a presentation from a slightly different viewpoint we refer to [DK].

A string $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$ is called regular (or 'short' in the terminology of [DK]), if both numbers L and $m(L) := \lim_{x\nearrow L} m(x)$ are finite. Otherwise, it is called singular (or 'long'). Consider the case that $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$ is a regular string. Then the spectrum of $-D_m D_x$ is discrete. If (λ_n) denotes the sequence of positive eigenvalues arranged in increasing order, then[2]

$$\lim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^L \sqrt{m'(x)}\,dx \tag{1.2}$$

Validity of this formula is a classical result which dates back to a paper of M.G.Kreĭn, cf. [Kr2]† , see also [KaKr2, 11.8°]† . It can be derived from a general formula computing the exponential type of the fundamental solution of a two-dimensional Hamiltonian system[3]. This approach has been used in [GKr, Theorem 8.1] where a complete proof of (1.2) is presented. A more direct method to compute exponential type for the de Branges space associated with a regular string, and thereby establish (1.2), is presented in [DK, §6.3(6)].

The formula (1.2) has been extended to a certain class of singular strings in a paper by I.S.Kac: In [Ka1, Theorem 5]† it is stated that, under certain growth and smoothness assumptions on $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$, the formula

$$\lim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^L \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_+'(x)}+\sqrt{m_-'(x)}}\,dm(x) \tag{1.3}$$

holds where m_+' and m_-' denote the one-sided derivatives of m. See also [BB], where this formula was established under a stronger smoothness assumption.

In the present paper we show that the formula (1.2), including finiteness of the integral on its right side, is valid for a class of singular strings defined by a pure growth condition. See Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.2 for the definition of the class under consideration, and Theorem 3.5 for the result itself. Our proof proceeds via Pontryagin space theory and mimicks the mentioned approach via Hamiltonian systems: The crucial idea is that for a string $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$ belonging to the considered class the function $zq_S(z^2)$ can be written as the quotient of two entire functions which are entries of the fundamental solution of a Hamiltonian system with an inner singularity. Then the recent result [LW, Theorem 4.1] is applied to compute the exponential type of these entire functions. Finally, some computations and routine complex analysis lead to the desired formula.

---

†When a citation is marked with a dagger, this means that it contains the mentioned statement without a proof.

[1] For the reason of physical interpretation, in this paper the principle Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient is called 'coefficient of dynamic compliance'.

[2] The limit of a finite sequence is tacitly understood as 0.

[3] Also a classical result, see, e.g., [Kr1], [dB1, Theorem X], or [GKr, Ch.VI, (6.5)].

2 Some notation and facts

In the present paper, we use without further notice the classical theory of two-dimensional Hamiltonian systems

$$y'(x) = zJH(x)y(x), \quad x \in [s_-, s_+)\,, \tag{2.1}$$

with H being positive semidefinite and locally integrable on $[s_-, s_+)$. Here J denotes the signature matrix $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and z is a complex parameter, the eigenvalue parameter. For notation and a compilation of the basic properties of Hamiltonian systems (in particular Weyl theory) from an up-to-date viewpoint, we refer the reader to [HSW]. A more classical reference would be, e.g., [GKr].

a. Strings vs. Hamiltonian systems.

Strings and Hamiltonian systems are related in various ways; we use the following fact established, e.g., in [KWW].

2.1 Proposition. *Let a string $\mathsf{S}[L, m]$ be given. Denote $\mu(x) := x + m(x)$, then the Lebesgue measure dx and the Borel measure dm are both absolutely continuous with respect to $d\mu$. We define a Hamiltonian H_d on the interval $I_d := [0, \infty)$ as*

$$H_d(x) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dx}{d\mu}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{dm}{d\mu}(x) \end{pmatrix}, & x \in \operatorname{ran} \mu \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & x \in [0, \infty) \setminus \operatorname{ran} \mu \end{cases}$$

Then the Weyl coefficient q_{H_d} of the Hamiltonian system

$$y'(x) = zJH_d(x)y(x), \quad x \in [0, \infty)\,,$$

and the principal Titchmarsh-Weyl coefficient q_S of $\mathsf{S}[L, m]$ are related as

$$q_{H_d}(z) = zq_S(z^2)\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Conversely, each diagonal Hamiltonian $H = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 \end{pmatrix}$ defined on some interval $[s_-, s_+)$ gives rise to a string. Denote

$$\check{v}(t) := \int_{s_-}^{t} h_1(x)\,dx, \quad \hat{v}(t) := \int_{s_-}^{t} h_2(x)\,dx, \qquad t \in [s_-, s_+]\,,$$

and let $\hat{\rho}$ and $\check{\rho}$ be the left-continuous right inverses of $\hat{v}$ and $\check{v}$, respectively. Explicitly, this is

$$\hat{\rho}(y) := \inf\big\{x \in [s_-, s_+] : \hat{v}(x) = y\big\}, \quad y \in [0, \hat{v}(s_+)], \tag{2.3}$$

$$\check{\rho}(y) := \inf\big\{x \in [s_-, s_+] : \check{v}(x) = y\big\}, \quad y \in [0, \check{v}(s_+)]\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Then the pair consisting of $L := \check{v}(s_+)$ and $m(x) := \hat{v} \circ \check{\rho})(x)$, $x \in [0, L]$, constitutes a string.

The fact that these constructions are converse to each other, follows by comparing [KWW, §4], in particular the relations '(4.1)-(4.3)' and '(4.4),(4.6),(4.8)', with the notation introduced above.

b. Hamiltonian systems with inner singularities.

The classical theory of the 'positive definite' equation (2.1), can be generalized to an indefinite (Pontryagin space) setting. In [KW/IV] this more general situation is introduced and studied, and an operator model acting in a Pontryagin space is constructed; direct and inverse spectral theorems are established in [KW/V] and [KW/VI]. Thereby:

- ⋆ The Hamiltonian H is permitted to have a finite number of inner singularities (inner points of $[s_-, s_+)$ where H is not locally integrable). Such points contribute to the equation by means of interface conditions connecting before and after the singularity as well as by an action concentrated in the singularity.

- ⋆ The class of Nevanlinna functions (appearing as the totally of all Weyl coefficients of equations (2.1) with singular right endpoint) is subsituted by the class $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$ of generalized Nevanlinna functions in the sense of [KL].

- ⋆ The class of J-contractive entire matrix functions (appearing as monodromy matrices of equations (2.1) with regular right endpoint) is substituted by the class $\mathcal{M}_{<\infty}$ of all entire matrix functions for which the kernel

$$H_W(w,z) := \frac{W(z)JW(w)^* - J}{z - \overline{w}}$$

  has a finite number of negative squares.

- ⋆ The fundamental matrix solution $W(x;z)$, $x \in [s_-, s_+)$, of the equation (2.1) is substituted by a maximal chain $W_\mathfrak{h}$ of matrices belonging to the class $\mathcal{M}_{<\infty}$ (for the definition ofthis class, see, e.g., [KW/V, §3.a, §3.b]).

The formal definition of a 'general Hamiltonian' is rather complicated and would require more preparation, cf. [KW/IV, Definition 8.1]. In view of our present needs, we content ourselves with the following intuitive description of a regular general Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{h}$: It is given by the data

1. Points $s_-, s_+ \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $s_- < s_+$; the interval on which the system acts. Points $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in (s_-, s_+)$ with $s_- < \sigma_1 < \dots < \sigma_n < s_+$; the singularities of the system.

2. Hamiltonians H_i defined on $[s_-, \sigma_1)$, (σ_i, σ_{i+1}), and $(\sigma_n, s_+]$, respectively, which are integrable up to s_- and s_+, but are not integrable towards the singularities. Locally at singularities, the functions H_i are subject to certain growth restrictions, weaker than integrability. To unify notation, we set

$$H(x) := \begin{cases} H_1(x) \quad , & x \in [s_-, \sigma_1) \\ H_i(x) \quad , & x \in (\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}),\ i = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ H_{n+1}(x)\,, & x \in (\sigma_n, s_+] \end{cases}$$

3. Numbers $\ddot{o}_1, \dots, \ddot{o}_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $b_{i,1}, \dots, b_{i,\ddot{o}_i+1} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; these numbers model a contribution to the equation which is concentrated at the singularity.

4. Numbers $d_{i,0}, \dots, d_{i,2\Delta_i - 1} \in \mathbb{R}$, where Δ_i is a measure for the growth of H towards the singularity σ_i; these numbers model the local interaction between the Hamiltonians before and after the singularity. A finite subset $E = \{s_0, \dots, s_{N+1}\}$ of $\{s_-, s_+\} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} (\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1})$; the points of this set in the vicinity of a singularity make quantitatively precise what 'local interaction' means.

One can picture the situation as follows:



With a regular general Hamiltonian there is associated a family $W_\mathfrak{h}(x; z)$, $x \in [s_-, s_+] \setminus \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$, of entire matrix functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{M}_{<\infty}$, cf. [KW/V, Definition 5.3]. This family $W_\mathfrak{h}$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_\mathfrak{h}(x; z) J = z W_\mathfrak{h}(x; z) H(x), & x \in [s_-, s_+] \setminus \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} \\ W_\mathfrak{h}(s_-; z) = I, \end{cases}$$

cf. [KW/V, Corollary 5.6]. Note that the above equation is an initial value problem only on the interval $[s_-, \sigma_1)$, hence $W_\mathfrak{h}$ is determined by the Hamiltonian function H only on this interval; how to 'jump over singularities' depends on the other parameters of $\mathfrak{h}$. In analogy with the classical case (no singularities), we refer to the family $W_\mathfrak{h}$ as the fundamental solution associated with $\mathfrak{h}$, and to $W_\mathfrak{h}(s_+; .)$ as the monodromy matrix of $\mathfrak{h}$.

With each singularity σ_i of $\mathfrak{h}$ there is associated a function q_i of class $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$, the intermediate Weyl coefficient of $\mathfrak{h}$ at σ_i. It is defined as ($\tau \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$)

$$q_i(z) := \lim_{x \to \sigma_i} W_\mathfrak{h}(x; z) \star \tau \,, \tag{2.5}$$

where we denote $(W_\mathfrak{h}(x; z) = (W_{\mathfrak{h},ij}(x; z))_{i,j=1}^2)$

$$W_\mathfrak{h}(x; z) \star \tau := \frac{W_{\mathfrak{h},11}(x; z)\tau + W_{\mathfrak{h},12}(x; z)}{W_{\mathfrak{h},21}(x; z)\tau + W_{\mathfrak{h},22}(x; z)} \,.$$

The fact that the limit (2.5) exists and represents a function of class $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty}$ is [KW/III, Proposition 5.1, Theorem 5.6].

A basic tool for the present considerations is the following statement which has been established in [LW, Theorem 4.1]. It says that the formula to compute exponential type af a monodromy matrix, which is known from the classical case, remains valid also in the indefinite situation.

2.2 Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a regular general Hamiltonian, and let $W_\mathfrak{h}(s_+; .) = (W_{\mathfrak{h},ij}(s_+; .))_{i,j=1}^2$ be the monodromy matrix of $\mathfrak{h}$. Then the entries $W_{\mathfrak{h},ij}(s_+; .)$ are entire functions of finite exponential type. Their exponential types*

$\operatorname{et} W_{\mathfrak{h},ij}(s_+;.)$, $i,j=1,2$, *are all equal and can be computed from* $\mathfrak{h}$ *by means of the formula*

$$\operatorname{et} W_{\mathfrak{h},ij}(s_+;.) = \int_{s_-}^{s_+} \sqrt{\det H(x)}\, dx\,.$$

Writing this formula includes the statement that the integral on the right side is finite.

3 Eigenvalue asymptotics

The class of strings under consideration in the present paper is defined by a, recursively computable, growth condition.

3.1 Definition. Let $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$ be a string.

(*i*) Assume that $L=\infty$. We denote by Θ_m the operator whose domain $\operatorname{dom}\Theta_m$ consists of all measureable functions $f:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{C}$ with

$$f\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty)),\qquad \int_0^x f(t)\,dt\in L^1(dm)\,,$$

and which acts as

$$(\Theta_m f)(x) := \int_{[x,\infty)} \Big(\int_0^\xi f(s)\,ds\Big)\,dm(\xi),\quad x\in[0,\infty),\ f\in\operatorname{dom}\Theta_m\,.$$

(*ii*) Assume that $L<\infty$. We denote by Θ_L the operator whose domain $\operatorname{dom}\Theta_L$ consists of all measureable functions $f:[0,L)\to\mathbb{C}$ with

$$f\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(dm),\qquad \int_0^x f(t)\,dm(t)\in L^1(dx)\,,$$

and which acts as

$$(\Theta_L f)(x) := \int_x^L \Big(\int_{[0,\xi)} f(s)\,dm(s)\Big)\,d\xi,\quad x\in[0,L),\ f\in\operatorname{dom}\Theta_L\,.$$

//

3.2 Definition. Let $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$ be a string. We say that $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$ is of Pontryagin type, if one of the following holds:

(*i*) $L=\infty$, $\int_0^\infty x\,dm(x)<\infty$, and for some $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ we have $\Theta_m^n 1\in L^2([0,\infty))$.

(*ii*) $L<\infty$, $\int_0^L m(x)\,dx<\infty$, and for some $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ we have $\Theta_L^n 1\in L^2(dm)$.

//

3.3 Remark. According to [WW, Remark 2.23] and [KaKr2, 11.9°], the respective first conditions in Definition 3.2 could be substituted by the much weaker conditions $\lim_{x\to\infty} x(m(\infty)-m(x))=0$ in (*i*), and $\lim_{x\to L}(L-x)m(x)=0$ in (*ii*). The relevant part of this remark, however, is based on a reasoning which has not been carried out in detail (and is far beyond the scope of the present manuscript). Hence, we do not use it in our present exposition. //

It is obvious that each regular string satisfies (ii), in fact, with $n=0$. However, the class of Pontryagin type strings also contains many singular strings. For example, if $L<\infty$ and $\int_{s_-}^{s_+} m(x)^2\,dx<\infty$, then (ii) holds with $n=1$. An illustrative concrete example is the following (modelled after [WW, Example 3.15]).

3.4 Example. Let

$$\alpha\in(1,2)\setminus\left\{\tfrac{4n+1}{2n+1}\,:\,n\in\mathbb{N}\right\},$$

set

$$L:=1,\qquad m(x):=(1-x)^{1-\alpha}-1,\ x\in[0,1)\,,$$

and consider the string $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$. Clearly, $\int_0^L m(x)\,dx<\infty$. Moreover, a straightforward induction shows that

$$(\Theta_L^n 1)(x)\in\operatorname{span}\Big(\big\{(1-x)^{2n-n\alpha}\big\}\cup\big\{(1-x)^{\beta}\,:\,\beta\geq 1\big\}\Big),\quad n\in\mathbb{N}\,.$$

From this it follows that $\Theta_L^n 1\in L^2(dm)$ if and only if $\alpha<\frac{4n+1}{2n+1}$, and hence

$\alpha\in$	$\min\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\,\Theta_L^n 1\in L^2(dm)\}$
$(1,\frac{5}{3})$	1
$(\frac{5}{3},\frac{9}{5})$	2
$(\frac{9}{5},\frac{13}{7})$	3
$\vdots$	$\vdots$

//

The result we are aiming for in the present paper, can now be formulated and proved.

3.5 Theorem. *Let $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$ be a string of Pontryagin type, and denote by (λ_n) the (finite or infinite) sequence of positive eigenvalues of the Kreĭn-Feller differential operator $-D_mD_x$. Then*

$$\lim\frac{n}{\sqrt{s_n}}=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^L\sqrt{m'(x)}\,dx\,,$$

with the integral on the right side being finite. In particular, the asymptotic behaviour of the sequence of eigenvalues depends only on the absolutely continuous part of m.

The proof of this result is a, to our taste appealing, application of Pontryagin space theory. The idea is to 'prolongue' the generically singular Hamiltonian H_d associated with $\mathsf{S}[L,m]$ to a regular indefinite Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{h}$ in such a way that exponential type can be computed from m and determines the asymptotics of the poles of q_S.

Proof (of Theorem 3.5; The core argument). Write $H_d=\begin{pmatrix}h_1&0\\0&h_2\end{pmatrix}$. If $L<\infty$, we have $\int_0^\infty h_1(x)\,dx<\infty$. If $m(L)<\infty$, we have $\int_0^\infty h_2(x)\,dx<\infty$. In the first case, set $\phi(H_d):=0$, in the second $\phi(H_d):=\frac{\pi}{2}$.

For technical reasons, choose a reparameterization $\tilde{H}_d$ of H_d which is defined on the interval $(0,1)$. Let h_+ be a positive and locally integrable function on $(1,2]$ with $\int_1^2 h_+(x)\,dx = \infty$, and denote by H_+ the function

$$H_+(x) := h_+(x)\xi_{\phi(H_d)+\frac{\pi}{2}}\xi^T_{\phi(H_d)+\frac{\pi}{2}}, \quad x \in (1,2]\,.$$

Moreover, set $s_0 := \inf\{x \in [0,1] : \tilde{H}_d|_{(x,1)} = \operatorname{tr} \tilde{H}_d \xi_{\phi(H_d)+\frac{\pi}{2}}\xi^T_{\phi(H_d)+\frac{\pi}{2}}\}$.

By [WW, Theorems 6.4 and 4.1], the following data constitutes a regular general Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{h}$:

$$n = 1,\ s_- = 0, \sigma_1 = 1, s_+ = 2, \quad \tilde{H}_d, H_+, \quad \ddot{o} = 0, b_j = 0, d_j = 0,$$
$$E := \begin{cases} \{0,2\} & , \quad s_0 = 1 \\ \{0, s_0, 2\}, & s_0 < 1 \end{cases}$$

$\mathfrak{h}$:



Denote by $W_{\mathfrak{h}}(x;z)$, $x \in [0,2]$, the fundamental solution of $\mathfrak{h}$. Since $\tilde{H}_d$ is just a reparameterization of H_d, the intermediate Weyl coefficient q_1 of $\mathfrak{h}$ at the singularity 1 can be computed as ($\tau \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ arbitrary)

$$q_1(z) = \lim_{x \nearrow 1} W_{\mathfrak{h}}(x;z) \star \tau = q_{H_d}(z)\,.$$

On the other hand, the function q_1 can also be obtained as a limit from above. Since we chose the Hamiltonian H_+ in a very simple form, this limit can be computed easily from the monodromy matrix of $\mathfrak{h}$. In fact, since $W_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a solution of the differential equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} W_{\mathfrak{h}}(x;z)J = zW_{\mathfrak{h}}(x;z)H_+(x)$, $x \in (1,2]$,

$$W_{\mathfrak{h}}(x;z) = W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z) \cdot \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -l(x)z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \phi(H_d) = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ l(x)z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , & \phi(H_d) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $l(x) := \int_x^2 h_+(t)\,dt$. We obtain that (remember that the value of the limit in the definition of a Weyl coefficient is independent of the choice of parameter τ)

$$q_1(z) = \lim_{x \searrow 1} W_{\mathfrak{h}}(x;z) \star \begin{cases} \infty\,, & \phi(H_d) = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ 0 \quad , & \phi(H_d) = 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z)_{11}}{W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z)_{21}}\,, & \phi(H_d) = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z)_{12}}{W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z)_{22}}\,, & \phi(H_d) = 0 \end{cases}$$

This shows that the poles of q_{H_d} coincide with the zeros of either $W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z)_{21}$ or $W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z)_{22}$.

Consider the entire function

$$A(z) := \begin{cases} W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z)_{21}\,, & \phi(H_d) = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ W_{\mathfrak{h}}(2;z)_{22}\,, & \phi(H_d) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The exponential type of A can be computed as

$$\operatorname{et} A = \int_0^1 \sqrt{\det \tilde{H}_d(t)}\, dt + \int_1^2 \sqrt{\det H_+(t)}\, dt = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\det H_d(x)}\, dx\,, \qquad (3.1)$$

cf. Theorem 2.2. ❑

The rest is routine; we follow the proof of the regular case. However, since this is not accurately elaborated in the existing literature, we provide the necessary arguments in detail.

Proof (of Theorem 3.5*; Finishing arguments).*

Step 1; Some complex analysis: The function A is an entire function which takes real values along the real axis, and has no zeros off the real axis. Moreover, it is of bounded type in both half planes $\mathbb{C}^+$ and $\mathbb{C}^-$, for an explicit argument see, e.g., [LW, Proposition 2.7]. By [dB2, Problem 34], it is of Polya class, and hence [dB2, Theorem 7] implies that A is a canonical product. Since A is of bounded type in $\mathbb{C}^+$, the condition (I) formulated in [B, p.137] holds. Hence [B, Theorem 8.21], together with [RR, Theorems 6.18 and 6.15], gives

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{n(r)}{r} = \frac{2}{\pi} \lim_{y\to+\infty} \frac{1}{y} \log|A(iy)| = \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{et} A\,,$$

where we denote $n(r) := \#\{z \in \mathbb{C} :\ A(z) = 0, |z| \le r\}$.

Since H_d is diagonal, the Weyl coefficient q_{H_d} is an odd function, see, e.g., [dB2, Problem 181]. In particular, its poles are located symetrically with respect to the origin. We conclude that

$$n(r) := 2 \cdot \#\{x > 0 :\ A(x) = 0, x \le r\} + \begin{cases} 0\,, & \phi(H) = 0 \\ 1\,, & \phi(H) = \frac{\pi}{2} \end{cases}$$

Denote by (x_n) the sequence of poles of q_{H_d} located on the positive real half-axis and arranged increasingly. Referring, e.g., to [B, Lemma 1.5.1], we then have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{x_n} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{n(r)}{r}\,.$$

Consider the sequence (λ_n) of nonzero eigenvalues of $-D_m D_x$ arranged increasingly. The relation (2.2) shows that $\lambda_n = x_n^2$. Putting together the above relations with (3.1), we see that

$$\lim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\det H_d(x)}\, dx\,. \qquad (3.2)$$

Step 2; Rewriting the integral: We write $H_d = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and use the notation introduced in §2.a. Moreover, we assume without loss of generality that $h_1(x) = 0$ whenever x belongs to the closure of an indivisible interval of type $\frac{\pi}{2}$; this can always be achieved by redefining H_d on a set of Lebesgue measure zero.

Consider the function $g : [0, L] \to [0, \infty]$ defined as

$$g(y) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{h_2(\check{\rho}(y))}{h_1(\check{\rho}(y))}}\,, & h_1(\check{\rho}(y)) \neq 0, \\ 0 \qquad\qquad, & h_1(\check{\rho}(y)) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, clearly, g is measurable and nonnegative. Since $\check{v} : [0,\infty] \to [0,L]$ is absolutely continuous, surjective, and $\check{v}' = h_1$ a.e., we have

$$\int_0^L g(y)\,dy = \int_0^\infty (g\circ\check{v})(x)h_1(x)\,dx\,. \tag{3.3}$$

To further rewrite this integral, consider a point $x \in (0,\infty)$ such that $h_1(x) \neq 0$. Then x does not belong to the closure of an indivisible interval of type $\frac{\pi}{2}$, and hence $(\check{\rho}\circ\check{v})(x) = x$. It follows that

$$(g\circ\check{v})(x)h_1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{h_2(\check{\rho}(\check{v}(x)))}{h_1(\check{\rho}(\check{v}(x)))}}\cdot h_1(x) = \sqrt{h_2(x)h_1(x)}\,. \tag{3.4}$$

If $x \in (0,\infty)$ is such that $h_1(x) = 0$, this equality trivially holds. Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty (g\circ\check{v})(x)h_1(x)\,dx = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{h_2(x)h_1(x)}\,dx = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\det H_d(x)}\,dx\,.$$

Step 3; Computing $m'(x)$: Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{M} &:= \big\{x \in (0,\infty) :\, \hat{v}'(x) \text{ does not exist, or } \hat{v}'(x) \neq h_2(x)\big\}\,,\\
\check{M} &:= \big\{x \in (0,\infty) :\, \check{v}'(x) \text{ does not exist, or } \check{v}'(x) \neq h_1(x)\big\}\,,\\
\check{E} &:= \big\{y \in (0,L) :\, \check{\rho}'(y) \text{ does not exist}\big\}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and $A := \check{v}(\hat{M}) \cup \check{v}(\check{M}) \cup \check{E}$. This is a Lebesgue zero set, since $\check{v}$ and $\hat{v}$ are absolutely continuous, have derivatives a.e. equal to h_1 and h_2, respectively, and $\check{\rho}$ is monotone.

Let $y \in (0,L)\setminus A$ be fixed. Then, note that $\check{\rho}$ is injective and certainly continuous at y,

$$\lim_{y'\to y}\frac{\hat{v}(\check{\rho}(y')) - \hat{v}(\check{\rho}(y))}{\check{\rho}(y') - \check{\rho}(y)} = h_2(\check{\rho}(y))\,,$$

$$\lim_{y'\to y}\frac{y'-y}{\check{\rho}(y') - \check{\rho}(y)} = \lim_{y'\to y}\frac{\check{v}(\check{\rho}(y')) - \check{v}(\check{\rho}(y))}{\check{\rho}(y') - \check{\rho}(y)} = h_1(\check{\rho}(y))\,.$$

Since $y \notin \check{E}$, we must have $h_1(\check{\rho}(y)) > 0$. It follows that

$$m'(y) = (\hat{v}\circ\check{\rho})'(y) = \frac{h_2(\check{\rho}(y))}{h_1(\check{\rho}(y))} = g(y)^2\,.$$

Together with (3.3) and (3.4), thus

$$\int_0^\infty \sqrt{\det H_d(x)}\,dx = \int_0^L \sqrt{m'(y)}\,dy\,.$$

By (3.2), the desired formula follows. ❑

References

[BB] M.S.Birman, V.V.Borzov: *The asymptotic behavior of the discrete spectrum of certain singular differential operators (Russian),* Problemy Mat. Fiz. 5 (spectral theory), 24–38. Izdat. Leningrad. Univ., Leningrad, 1971. English translation: Topics in Math. Phys. 5, 19–30. Plenum Press, New York, 1972.

[B] R.Boas: *Entire functions,* Academic Press, New York 1954.

[dB1] L.de Branges: *Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions II,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 99 (1961), 118–152.

[dB2] L.de Branges: *Hilbert spaces of entire functions,* Prentice-Hall, London 1968.

[DK] H. Dym, H. McKean: *Gaussian processes, function theory, and the inverse spectral problem,* Academic Press, New York 1976.

[GKr] I.Gohberg, M.G.Kreĭn: Теория волтерровых операторов в гилбертовом пространстве и ее приложения. *(Russian),* Izdat. 'Nauka', Moscow 1967. English translation: Theory and applications of Volterra operators in Hilbert space, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island 1970.

[HSW] S.Hassi, H.de Snoo, H.Winkler: *Boundary-value problems for two-dimensional canonical systems,* Integral Equations Operator Theory 36(4) (2000), 445–479.

[Ka1] I.S.Kac: *Density of the spectrum of a string (Russian),* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 211 (1973), 520–523. English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. 14 (1973), 1044–1049.

[KaKr2] I.S.Kac, M.G.Kreĭn: *On the spectral functions of a string,* in F.V.Atkinson, Discrete and Continuous Boundary Problems (Russian translation), Mir, Moscow, 1968, 648–737 (Addition II). English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 103 (1974), 19–102.

[KWW] M.Kaltenbäck, H.Winkler, H.Woracek: *Strings, dual strings and related canonical systems,* Math. Nachr. 280 (13/14) (2007), 1518–1536.

[KW/III] M.Kaltenbäck, H.Woracek: *Pontryagin spaces of entire functions III,* Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003), 241–310.

[KW/IV] M.Kaltenbäck, H.Woracek: *Pontryagin spaces of entire functions IV,* Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 72 (2006), 709–835.

[KW/V] M.Kaltenbäck, H.Woracek: *Pontryagin spaces of entire functions V,* Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 77 (2011), 223–336.

[KW/VI] M.Kaltenbäck, H.Woracek: *Pontryagin spaces of entire functions VI,* Acta Sci.Math. (Szeged) 76 (2010), 511–560.

[KW] M.Kaltenbäck, H.Woracek: *Canonical differential equations of Hilbert–Schmidt type,* Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 175 (2007), 159–168.

[Kr1] M.G.Kreĭn: *On the theory of entire matrix functions of exponential type. (Russian),* Ukrain. Mat. Žurnal 3, (1951), 164–173.

[Kr2] M.G.Kreĭn: *Determination of the density of a nonhomogeneous symmetric cord by its frequency spectrum. (Russian),* Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 76, (1951), 345–348.

[Kr3] M.G.Kreĭn: *On a generalization of investigations of Stieltjes. (Russian),* Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 87, (1952), 881–884.

[KL] M.G.Kreĭn, H.Langer: *Über einige Fortsetzungsprobleme, die eng mit der Theorie hermitescher Operatoren im Raume Π_κ zusammenhängen. I. Einige Funktionenklassen und ihre Darstellungen,* Math. Nachr. 77 (1977), 187–236.

[LW] M.Langer, H.Woracek: *The exponential type of the fundamental solution of an indefinite Hamiltonian system,* Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, to appear. Preprint available online as ASC Report 30/2010, http://asc.tuwien.ac.at.

[RR] M.Rosenblum, J.Rovnyak: *Topics in Hardy classes and univalent functions,* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1994.

[WW] H.Winkler, H.Woracek: *A growth condition for Hamiltonian systems related with Kreĭn strings,* submitted. Preprint available online as ASC Report 12/2012, http://asc.tuwien.ac.at.

H. Woracek
Institut für Analysis and Scientific Computing
Vienna University of Technology
Wiedner Hauptstraße. 8–10/101
1040 Wien
AUSTRIA
email: harald.woracek@tuwien.ac.at