

ASC Report No. 26/2011

Compact families of piecewise constant functions in $L_p(0, T; B)$

Michael Dreher, Angar Jüngel

Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing
Vienna University of Technology — TU Wien
www.asc.tuwien.ac.at ISBN 978-3-902627-04-9

Most recent ASC Reports

- 25/2011 *Jens Geier, Anton Arnold*
WKB-based schemes for two-band Schrödinger equations in the highly oscillatory regime
- 24/2011 *Markus Aurada, Michael Ebner, Michael Feischl, Samuel Ferraz-Leite, Petra Goldenits, Michael Karkulik, Markus Mayr, Dirk Praetorius*
HILBERT-A MATLAB Implementation of Adaptive 2D-BEM
- 23/2011 *JinMyong Kim, Anton Arnold, Xiaohua Yao*
Estimates for a class of oscillatory integrals and decay rates for wave-type equations
- 22/2011 *Markus Aurada, Michael Feischl, Michael Karkulik, Dirk Praetorius*
Adaptive coupling of FEM and BEM: Simple error estimators and convergence
- 21/2011 *Michael Feischl, Michael Karkulik, Jens Markus Melenk, Dirk Praetorius*
Residual a-posteriori error estimates in BEM: Convergence of h-adaptive algorithms
- 20/2011 *Markus Aurada, Michael Feischl, Michael Karkulik, Dirk Praetorius*
Adaptive coupling of FEM and BEM: Simple error estimators and convergence
- 19/2011 *Petra Goldenits, Dirk Praetorius, Dieter Suess*
Convergent geometric integrator for the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation in micromagnetics
- 18/2011 *M. Aurada, M. Feischl, M. Karkulik, D. Praetorius*
A Posteriori Error Estimates for the Johnson-Nédélec FEM-BEM Coupling
- 17/2011 *Michael Feischl, Marcus Page, Dirk Praetorius*
Convergence of adaptive FEM for elliptic obstacle problems
- 16/2011 *Michael Feischl, Marcus Page, Dirk Praetorius*
Convergence and quasi-optimality of adaptive FEM with inhomogeneous Dirichlet data

Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing
Vienna University of Technology
Wiedner Hauptstraße 8–10
1040 Wien, Austria

E-Mail: admin@asc.tuwien.ac.at
WWW: <http://www.asc.tuwien.ac.at>
FAX: +43-1-58801-10196

ISBN 978-3-902627-04-9

© Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Nachdruck nur mit Genehmigung des Autors.



COMPACT FAMILIES OF PIECEWISE CONSTANT FUNCTIONS IN $L^p(0, T; B)$

MICHAEL DREHER AND ANSGAR JÜNGEL

ABSTRACT. A strong compactness result in the spirit of the Lions-Aubin-Simon lemma is proven for piecewise constant functions in time (u_τ) with values in a Banach space. The main feature of our result is that it is sufficient to verify one uniform estimate for the time shifts $u_\tau(t) - u_\tau(t - \tau)$ instead of all time shifts $u_\tau(t) - u_\tau(t - h)$ for $h > 0$, as required in Simon's compactness theorem. This simplifies significantly the application of the Rothe method in the existence analysis of parabolic problems.

1. INTRODUCTION

A useful technique to prove the existence of weak solutions to nonlinear evolution equations and their systems is to semi-discretize the equations in time by the implicit Euler method (also called Rothe method [5]):

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{\tau}(u_\tau(t) - u_\tau(t - \tau)) + A(u_\tau(t)) = f_\tau(t), \quad 0 < t < T, \quad u(0) \text{ given},$$

where $\tau > 0$ is the time step, A is an abstract (nonlinear) operator defined on a certain Banach space, and $f_\tau(t)$ is some (piecewise constant) function with values in a Banach space. In this way, nonlinear elliptic problems are obtained which are sometimes easier to solve. In order to pass to the limit of vanishing time steps, $\tau \rightarrow 0$, (relative) compactness for the sequence of piecewise constant approximate solutions (u_τ) is needed. Since the problem is nonlinear, we need strong convergence of (a subsequence of) (u_τ) to identify the limits. Having suitable *a priori* estimates at hand, strong compactness can be concluded from the Aubin (or Lions-Aubin-Simon) lemma [6] which is a consequence of a compactness criterium due to Kolmogorov. However, the results of [6] are not directly applicable. Indeed, typically one can derive the uniform estimate

$$(2) \quad \|u_\tau(t) - u_\tau(t - \tau)\|_{L^1(\tau, T; Y)} = \tau \| -A(u_\tau) + f_\tau \|_{L^1(\tau, T; Y)} \leq C\tau,$$

Date: June 20, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B50, 35A35.

Key words and phrases. Compactness, Aubin lemma, Rothe method.

The first author is supported by DFG (446 CHV 113/170/0-2) and the Center of Evolution Equations of the University of Konstanz. The second author acknowledges partial support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), grants P20214, P22108, and I395; the Austrian-Croatian Project HR 01/2010; the Austrian-French Project FR 07/2010; and the Austrian-Spanish Project ES 08/2010 of the Austrian Exchange Service (ÖAD). Both authors thank Etienne Emmrich for useful discussions and the hint on the paper [3].

where $C > 0$ does not depend on τ , and Y is some Banach space. On the other hand, in order to apply the Aubin lemma, one needs [6, Theorem 3]

$$\|u_\tau(t) - u_\tau(t - h)\|_{L^1(h, T; Y)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, \text{ uniformly in } \tau > 0.$$

A possible way to avoid this problem is to construct linear interpolants of u_τ , say \tilde{u}_τ , for which a continuous time-derivative version of the Aubin lemma can be applied (e.g., Corollary 4 in [6]). Since we need strong convergence of (u_τ) , one has to show that $u_\tau - \tilde{u}_\tau \rightarrow 0$ a.e. as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, which might be difficult or even impossible to prove.

In this note, we show that estimate (2) is sufficient to infer strong compactness of (u_τ) . The main feature of our result is that it is sufficient to study the time shifts $u_\tau(t) - u_\tau(t - \tau)$ instead of all time shifts $u_\tau(t) - u_\tau(t - h)$ for all $h > 0$. This simplifies the proof of the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$ in (1) significantly.

For our main results, let $T > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau = T/N$, and set $t_k = k\tau$, $k = 0, \dots, N$. Furthermore, let $(S_h u)(x, t) = u(x, t - h)$, $t \geq h > 0$, be the shift operator. We notice that non-uniform time steps may be considered too [3], but they are of minor interest in the existence analysis.

Theorem 1. *Let X , B , and Y be Banach spaces such that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow B$ is compact and the embedding $B \hookrightarrow Y$ is continuous. Furthermore, let either $1 \leq p < \infty$, $r = 1$ or $p = \infty$, $r > 1$, and let (u_τ) be a sequence of functions, which are constant on each subinterval (t_{k-1}, t_k) , satisfying*

$$(3) \quad \tau^{-1} \|u_\tau - S_\tau u_\tau\|_{L^r(\tau, T; Y)} + \|u_\tau\|_{L^p(0, T; X)} \leq C \quad \text{for all } \tau > 0,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant which is independent of τ . Then (u_τ) is relatively compact in $L^p(0, T; B)$ if $p < \infty$ and in $C^0([0, T]; B)$ if $p = \infty$.

A related result in finite-dimensional spaces was recently proven by Gallouët and Latché [4, Theorem 3.4]. The same setting for degenerate elliptic-parabolic equations in L^1 was considered by Andreianov [2].

Proposition 2. *The factor τ^{-1} in inequality (3) cannot be replaced by $\tau^{-\alpha}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$.*

This note is organized as follows. In Section 2, Theorem 1 is shown; the proof of Proposition 2 is presented in Section 3. Finally, we comment these results in Section 4.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a characterisation of the norm of fractional Sobolev spaces. Let $1 \leq q < \infty$, $0 < \sigma < 1$, and let Y be a Banach space. The fractional Sobolev space $W^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)$ is the space of (equivalence classes of) functions $u \in L^q(0, T; Y)$ with finite norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^q(0, T; Y)}^q + |u|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)}^q \right)^{1/q},$$

where

$$|u|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)} = \left(\int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|u(t) - u(s)\|_Y^q}{|t - s|^{1 + \sigma q}} ds dt \right)^{1/q}$$

is a semi-norm. Fractional Sobolev spaces in time have also been proven to be a useful tool in [3].

Lemma 3. *Let $1 \leq q < \infty$, $0 < \sigma < 1$ with $\sigma q < 1$ and let $u \in L^q(0, T; Y)$ be a piecewise constant function with (a finite number of) jumps of height $[u]_k \in Y$ at points t_k , $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$. Then $u \in W^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)$ and*

$$\|u\|_{W^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)} \leq \|u\|_{L^q(0, T; Y)} + C_{\sigma q, T}^{1/q} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|[u]_k\|_Y,$$

where $C_{\sigma q, T} = 2(2^{\sigma q} - 1)T^{1-\sigma q}/(\sigma q(1 - \sigma q))$.

Proof. We may assume that $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{N-1} < t_N = T$ and that $u(\cdot, t) = u_k$ for $t_{k-1} < t < t_k$ where $k = 1, \dots, N$. Then $[u]_k = u_{k+1} - u_k$, $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$, and

$$u(\cdot, t) = u_k = u_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (u_{j+1} - u_j) = u_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} [u]_j H_{t_j}(t)$$

for $t_{k-1} < t < t_k$, where H_{t_j} is the shifted Heaviside function

$$H_{t_j}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & : 0 < t < t_j, \\ 1 & : t_j < t < T. \end{cases}$$

By definition of the $W^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)$ norm and the semi-norm property of $|\cdot|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)} &= \left(\|u\|_{L^q(0, T; Y)}^q + |u|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)}^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^q(0, T; Y)} + |u|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^q(0, T; Y)} + |u_1|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}(0, T; Y)} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \|[u]_j\|_Y |H_{t_j}|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}(0, T)} \\ (4) \qquad &= \|u\|_{L^q(0, T; Y)} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \|[u]_j\|_Y |H_{t_j}|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}(0, T)}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute the seminorm of H_{t_j} :

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{t_j}|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}}^q &= \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{|H_{t_j}(t) - H_{t_j}(s)|^q}{|t - s|^{1+\sigma q}} ds dt = 2 \int_0^{t_j} \int_{t_j}^T \frac{|H_{t_j}(t) - H_{t_j}(s)|^q}{|t - s|^{1+\sigma q}} ds dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^{t_j} \int_{t_j}^T |t - s|^{-1-\sigma q} ds dt = \frac{2}{\sigma q(1 - \sigma q)} ((T - t_j)^{1-\sigma q} + t_j^{1-\sigma q} - T^{1-\sigma q}). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side can be interpreted as a function of $\vartheta = t_j \in [0, T]$ whose maximum is achieved at $\vartheta = T/2$. Therefore,

$$|H_{t_j}|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, q}}^q \leq \frac{2}{\sigma q(1 - \sigma q)} \left(2 \left(\frac{T}{2} \right)^{1-\sigma q} - T^{1-\sigma q} \right) = \frac{2}{\sigma q(1 - \sigma q)} (2^{\sigma q} - 1) T^{1-\sigma q} = C_{\sigma q, T}.$$

Inserting this estimate in (4), the result follows. \square

For later use, we remark that the calculations in (4) and below show that

$$(5) \quad |u|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,1}(0,T;Y)} \leq C_{\sigma,q,T}^{1/q} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|[u]_k\|_Y.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The idea of the proof is to apply Corollary 5 in [6]: If (u_τ) is bounded in $L^p(0, T; X) \cap W^{\sigma,r}(0, T; Y)$, where $\sigma > \max\{0, 1/r - 1/p\}$, then (u_τ) is relatively compact in $L^p(0, T; B)$ if $p < \infty$, $r = 1$ and in $C^0([0, T]; B)$ if $p = \infty$, $r > 1$.

Let $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ satisfy $\sigma > \max\{0, 1/r - 1/p\}$ and $u_\tau(\cdot, t) = u_k$ for $t_{k-1} < t < t_k$, $k = 1, \dots, N$. Then

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|[u_\tau]_k\|_Y &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_Y = \tau^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_Y dt \\ &= \tau^{-1} \|u_\tau - S_\tau u_\tau\|_{L^1(\tau, T; Y)} \leq \tau^{-1} C \|u_\tau - S_\tau u_\tau\|_{L^r(\tau, T; Y)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L^p(0, T; X) \hookrightarrow L^1(0, T; Y)$ if $p < \infty$ and $L^p(0, T; X) \hookrightarrow L^r(0, T; Y)$ if $p = \infty$, Lemma 3 shows that (u_τ) is bounded in $W^{\sigma,r}(0, T; Y)$, and the corollary applies. \square

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

We construct a sequence (u_τ) satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1 with $\tau^{-\alpha}$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) in (3) instead of τ^{-1} , but not possessing a convergent subsequence in $L^p(0, T; B)$, where $p < \infty$.

Take $X = Y = B = \mathbb{C}$ and $(0, T) = (0, 1)$. For $\beta \geq 1$, define the function

$$f_\beta(t) := (\beta p + 1)^{1/p} t^\beta, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then we have $\|f_\beta\|_{L^p(0, T)} = 1$. For later use, we remark that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} f_\beta(t) = 0,$$

for each fixed $t \in [0, 1)$, uniformly on compact sub-intervals $[0, t_*] \subset [0, 1)$.

Since $\alpha < 1$, we may choose a real number $0 < \gamma \leq \min\{1, p(1 - \alpha)\}$. We set $\beta(\tau) = \tau^{-\gamma}$ and

$$u_\tau(t) := \begin{cases} f_{\beta(\tau)}(k\tau) & \text{for } k\tau \leq t < (k+1)\tau, \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}, \\ f_{\beta(\tau)}((N-1)\tau) & \text{for } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

The function u_τ has jumps of height $[u_\tau]_k$ at the values $t = k\tau$ for $1 \leq k \leq N-1$, and all jumps have the same sign. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|[u_\tau]_k\|_Y &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} [u_\tau]_k = f_{\beta(\tau)}(1 - \tau) = (\tau^{-\gamma} p + 1)^{1/p} (1 - \tau)^{\tau^{-\gamma}}, \\ 1 &\geq (1 - \tau)^{\tau^{-\gamma}} \geq (1 - \tau)^{1/\tau} \geq \frac{1}{2e}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-\alpha} \|u_\tau - S_\tau u_\tau\|_{L^1(\tau, T; Y)} &= \tau^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|[u_\tau]_k\|_Y = \tau^{1-\alpha} (\tau^{-\gamma} p + 1)^{1/p} (1 - \tau)^{\tau-\gamma} \\ &\leq \tau^{1-\alpha} (\tau^{-\gamma} p + 1)^{1/p} \leq K, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in (0, 1/2)$, since $1 - \alpha - \gamma/p \geq 0$. Hence, (3) holds. But the sequence $(u_\tau) \subset L^p(0, T; B)$ does not possess a converging subsequence, which can be seen as follows. Fix $t \in [0, 1)$. Then $0 \leq u_\tau(t) \leq f_{\beta(\tau)}(t)$, and (7) implies the pointwise convergence $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} u_\tau(t) = 0$, uniform on compact sub-intervals $[0, t_*] \subset [0, 1)$. Thus, the pointwise limit of the subsequence must be the zero function. However, this is impossible, because of the following uniform lower bound:

$$\int_0^1 |u_\tau(t)|^p dt \geq \int_0^{1-\tau} |f_{\beta(\tau)}(t)|^p dt = (1 - \tau)^{\tau-\gamma p+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left((1 - \tau)^{\tau-\gamma} \right)^p \geq \frac{1}{2} (2e)^{-p},$$

showing the claim.

4. COMMENTS

Proposition 2 shows that the exponent of the factor τ in (3) cannot be raised. However, when allowing for arbitrary time shifts S_h , the factor can be replaced by $h^{-\alpha}$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, under some conditions. An example, adapted to our situation, can be found in [1, Theorem 1.1]:

Theorem 4 (Amann). *Let X , B , and Y be Banach spaces such that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is compact and there exist $0 < \theta < 1$, $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $u \in X$, $\|u\|_B \leq C_1 \|u\|_X^\theta \|u\|_Y^{1-\theta}$. Furthermore, let $0 < s < 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $F \subset L^p(0, T; Y)$. Assume that there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that each $u \in F$ satisfies the following infinite collection of inequalities:*

$$h^{-s} \|u - S_h u\|_{L^1(\tau, T; Y)} + \|u\|_{L^p(0, T; X)} \leq C_2 \quad \text{for all } h > 0.$$

Then F is relatively compact in $L^q(0, T; B)$ for all $q < p/((1 - \theta)(1 - s)p + \theta)$.

Notice that $q = p$ is admissible if $(1 - \theta)(1 - s)p + \theta < 1$ which is equivalent to $s > 1 - 1/p$. Thus, if we wish to allow for arbitrary large $p \geq 1$, we have to require the condition $s = 1$, which corresponds to the result of Theorem 1. On the other hand, in applications, often $p = 2$, and compactness follows even for $s < 1$, namely for any $s > 1/2$.

In the special situation when we have the triple $X \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow X'$, where $Y = X'$ is the dual space of X and B is a Hilbert space, the assumptions of Amann's theorem hold with $\theta = 1/2$. Then $q < 2p/((1 - s)p + 1)$, and we see that $2p$ is an upper bound for q . This corresponds to the result of Walkington [7, Theorem 3.1 (1)].

Estimates (5) and (6) imply that, for all piecewise constant functions $u \in L^1(0, T; Y)$ with jumps at $t_k = k\tau$,

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma, 1}(0, T; Y)} \leq C_{\sigma q, T}^{1/q} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|[u]_k\|_Y \leq \tau^{-1} C_{\sigma q, T}^{1/q} \|u - S_\tau u\|_{L^1(\tau, T; Y)}.$$

By Lemma 5 of [6], there exists an inverse inequality for all $u \in W^{\sigma,1}(0, T; Y)$ and all $\sigma \in (0, 1)$:

$$\|u - S_\tau u\|_{L^1(\tau, T; Y)} \leq C_3 \tau^\sigma |u|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,1}(0, T; Y)},$$

where $C_3 > 0$ depends on σ and T . In this sense, the chain of inequalities

$$\tau |u|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,1}(0, T; Y)} \leq \tau^\sigma C_{\sigma q, T}^{1/q} C_3 |u|_{\dot{W}^{\sigma,1}(0, T; Y)}$$

is almost sharp since we can choose σ as close to one as we wish.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann. Compact embeddings of vector-valued Sobolev and Besov spaces. *Glasnik Mat. Ser. III* 35 (2000), 161-177.
- [2] B. Andreianov. Time compactness tools for discretized evolution equations and applications to degenerate parabolic equations. Preprint, 2011. <http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00561344/fr>.
- [3] E. Emmrich and M. Thalhammer. Doubly nonlinear evolution equations of second order: Existence and fully discrete approximation. *J. Diff. Eqs.* 251 (2011), 82-118.
- [4] T. Gallouët and J.-C. Latché. Compactness of discrete approximate solutions to parabolic PDEs – Application to a turbulence model. To appear in *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 2011.
- [5] J. Kačur. Method of Rothe in evolution equations. *Teubner Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 80, Teubner, Leipzig, 1985.
- [6] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 146 (1986), 65-96.
- [7] N. Walkington. Compactness properties of the DG and CG time stepping schemes for parabolic equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 47 (2010), 4680-4710.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND STATISTIK, UNIVERSITÄT KONSTANZ, 78457 KONSTANZ, GERMANY
E-mail address: michael.dreher@uni-konstanz.de

INSTITUTE FOR ANALYSIS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
 WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE 8–10, 1040 WIEN, AUSTRIA
E-mail address: juengel@tuwien.ac.at