

ASC Report No. 22/2011

Adaptive coupling of FEM and BEM: Simple error estimators and convergence

Markus Aurada, Michael Feischl, Michael Karkulik, Dirk Praetorius

Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing
Vienna University of Technology — TU Wien
www.asc.tuwien.ac.at ISBN 978-3-902627-04-9

Most recent ASC Reports

- 21/2011 *Michael Feischl, Michael Karkulik, Jens Markus Melenk, Dirk Praetorius*
Residual a-posteriori error estimates in BEM: Convergence of h-adaptive algorithms
- 20/2011 *Markus Aurada, Michael Feischl, Michael Karkulik, Dirk Praetorius*
Adaptive coupling of FEM and BEM: Simple error estimators and convergence
- 19/2011 *Petra Goldenits, Dirk Praetorius, Dieter Suess*
Convergent geometric integrator for the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation in micromagnetics
- 18/2011 *M. Aurada, M. Feischl, M. Karkulik, D. Praetorius*
A Posteriori Error Estimates for the Johnson-Nédélec FEM-BEM Coupling
- 17/2011 *Michael Feischl, Marcus Page, Dirk Praetorius*
Convergence of adaptive FEM for elliptic obstacle problems
- 16/2011 *Michael Feischl, Marcus Page, Dirk Praetorius*
Convergence and quasi-optimality of adaptive FEM with inhomogeneous Dirichlet data
- 15/2011 *M. Huber, A. Pechstein and J. Schöberl*
Hybrid domain decomposition solvers for scalar and vectorial wave equation
- 14/2011 *Ansgar Jüngel, José Luis López, Jesús Montejo-Gómez*
A new derivation of the quantum Navier-Stokes equations in the Wigner-Fokker-Planck approach
- 13/2011 *Jens Markus Melenk, Barbara Wohlmuth*
Quasi-optimal approximation of surface based Lagrange multipliers in finite element methods
- 12/2011 *Ansgar Jüngel, Mario Bukal, Daniel Matthes*
A multidimensional nonlinear sixth-order quantum diffusion equation

Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing
Vienna University of Technology
Wiedner Hauptstraße 8–10
1040 Wien, Austria

E-Mail: admin@asc.tuwien.ac.at
WWW: <http://www.asc.tuwien.ac.at>
FAX: +43-1-58801-10196

ISBN 978-3-902627-04-9

© Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Nachdruck nur mit Genehmigung des Autors.



Adaptive coupling of FEM and BEM: Simple error estimators and convergence

Markus Aurada^{1,*}, Michael Feischl¹, Michael Karkulik¹, and Dirk Praetorius¹

¹ Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing, Vienna University of Technology, Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10, A-1040 Wien, Austria

A posteriori error estimators and adaptive mesh-refinement have themselves proven to be important tools for scientific computing. For error control in finite element methods (FEM), there is a broad variety of a posteriori error estimators available, and convergence as well as optimality of adaptive FEM is well-studied in the literature. This is, however, in sharp contrast to the boundary element method (BEM) and to the coupling of FEM and BEM. In our contribution, we present an easy-to-implement error estimator for some FEM-BEM coupling which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been proposed in the literature before. The derived mesh-refining algorithm provides the first adaptive coupling procedure which is mathematically proven to converge.

1 Symmetric FEM-BEM Coupling

For a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$, we consider the nonlinear interface problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u^{\text{int}}) = f & \text{in } \Omega^{\text{int}} := \Omega, \\ -\Delta u^{\text{ext}} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega^{\text{ext}} := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\ u^{\text{int}} - u^{\text{ext}} = u_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ (A\nabla u^{\text{int}} - \nabla u^{\text{ext}}) \cdot n = \phi_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ u^{\text{ext}}(x) = a \log|x| + \mathcal{O}(1/|x|) & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

where n denotes the outer unit normal vector. The given data satisfy $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$, and $\phi_0 \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$, and the (possibly nonlinear) operator $A : L^2(\Omega)^2 \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)^2$ is assumed to be strongly monotone and Lipschitz continuous.

Problem (1) is equivalently stated via the well-known symmetric FEM-BEM coupling: Find $(u, \phi) \in \mathcal{H} := H^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ such that, for all $(v, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \langle A\nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_\Omega + \langle Wu + (K' - \frac{1}{2})\phi, v \rangle_\Gamma = \langle f, v \rangle_\Omega + \langle \phi_0 + Wu_0, v \rangle_\Gamma, \\ \langle \psi, V\phi - (K - \frac{1}{2})u \rangle_\Gamma = -\langle \psi, (K - \frac{1}{2})u_0 \rangle_\Gamma. \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

Here, V denotes the simple-layer potential, K denotes the double-layer potential with adjoint K' , and W denotes the hyper-singular integral operator. Then, (2) has a unique solution (u, ϕ) which depends continuously on the given data, see e.g. [4]. Moreover, (1) and (2) are linked through $(u, \phi) = (u^{\text{int}}, \partial_n u^{\text{ext}})$ and $u^{\text{ext}} = K(u - u_0) - V\phi$.

2 Galerkin Discretization

For the Galerkin discretization, let \mathcal{T}_ℓ be a regular triangulation of Ω into triangles $T_j \in \mathcal{T}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{E}_\ell = \mathcal{T}_\ell|_\Gamma$ be the induced partition of the coupling boundary Γ into piecewise affine line segments $E_j \in \mathcal{E}_\ell$. We then use P1-finite elements $u_\ell \in \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{T}_\ell)$ to approximate u and piecewise constants $\phi_\ell \in \mathcal{P}^0(\mathcal{E}_\ell)$ to approximate ϕ , i.e. the discrete space is defined by $\mathcal{X}_\ell := \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{T}_\ell) \times \mathcal{P}^0(\mathcal{E}_\ell) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Now, the Galerkin formulation reads: Find $(u_\ell, \phi_\ell) \in \mathcal{X}_\ell$ such that, for all $(v_\ell, \psi_\ell) \in \mathcal{X}_\ell$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \langle A\nabla u_\ell, \nabla v_\ell \rangle_\Omega + \langle Wu_\ell + (K' - \frac{1}{2})\phi_\ell, v_\ell \rangle_\Gamma = \langle f, v_\ell \rangle_\Omega + \langle \phi_0 + Wu_0, v_\ell \rangle_\Gamma, \\ \langle \psi_\ell, V\phi_\ell - (K - \frac{1}{2})u_\ell \rangle_\Gamma = -\langle \psi_\ell, (K - \frac{1}{2})u_0 \rangle_\Gamma. \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

Again, we refer to [4] for the fact that the discretization (3) has a unique solution $(u_\ell, \phi_\ell) \in \mathcal{X}_\ell$.

3 A posteriori Error Control

For a posteriori error estimation, we employ the general concept of $h - h/2$ error estimation: We solve the discrete system (3) twice to obtain Galerkin solutions $(u_\ell, \phi_\ell) \in \mathcal{X}_\ell$ and $(\widehat{u}_\ell, \widehat{\phi}_\ell) \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\ell$, where the enriched space $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\ell$ is induced by the uniform

* Corresponding author: email markus.aurada@tuwien.ac.at, phone +43 1 58801 10154, fax +43 1 58801 10196

refinement $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\ell$ of \mathcal{T}_ℓ and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\ell = \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\ell|_\Gamma$. With

$$\eta_\ell = \|(\widehat{u}_\ell, \widehat{\phi}_\ell) - (u_\ell, \phi_\ell)\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}, \quad (4)$$

we observe that, up to some multiplicative constant, we always obtain a lower bound for the error

$$\eta_\ell \lesssim \|(u, \phi) - (u_\ell, \phi_\ell)\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, the converse inequality \gtrsim holds under a saturation assumption

$$\|(u, \phi) - (\widehat{u}_\ell, \widehat{\phi}_\ell)\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} \leq q \|(u, \phi) - (u_\ell, \phi_\ell)\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} \quad (6)$$

with some uniform constant $0 < q < 1$. Note that (6) essentially states that the Galerkin scheme has reached some asymptotic regime, i.e. $\|(u, \phi) - (u_\ell, \phi_\ell)\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} = \mathcal{O}(h^\alpha)$.

Having defined η_ℓ in (4), we stress that, first, the $H^{-1/2}$ -norm can hardly be computed and, second, (u_ℓ, ϕ_ℓ) is hardly ever used in practice since $(\widehat{u}_\ell, \widehat{\phi}_\ell)$ is a better approximation. The remedy for both objectives is given by the $(h - h/2)$ -type error estimator

$$\mu_\ell^2 = \|\nabla(\widehat{u}_\ell - I_\ell \widehat{u}_\ell)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|h_\ell^{1/2}(\widehat{\phi}_\ell - \Pi_\ell \widehat{\phi}_\ell)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2, \quad (7)$$

which, up to multiplicative constants, coincides with η_ℓ . Here, $h_\ell|_E = \text{diam}(E)$ is the local mesh-width of \mathcal{E}_ℓ . Moreover, $I_\ell \widehat{\phi}_\ell \in \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{T}_\ell)$ is the nodal interpolant, and $\Pi_\ell \widehat{\phi}_\ell \in \mathcal{P}^0(\mathcal{T}_\ell)$ is the piecewise integral mean, i.e. having computed the improved Galerkin solution $(\widehat{u}_\ell, \widehat{\phi}_\ell)$ it is an elementary and easy-to-implement postprocessing step to compute μ_ℓ .

4 Convergent Adaptive Coupling

For triangles $T \in \mathcal{T}_\ell$ and boundary edges $E \in \mathcal{E}_\ell$, we define

$$\mu_\ell(T) = \|\nabla(\widehat{u}_\ell - I_\ell \widehat{u}_\ell)\|_{L^2(T)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_\ell(E) = \text{diam}(E)^{1/2} \|\widehat{\phi}_\ell - \Pi_\ell \widehat{\phi}_\ell\|_{L^2(E)}. \quad (8)$$

Based on these local contributions of μ_ℓ and given some fixed parameter $0 < \theta < 1$ as well as an initial mesh \mathcal{T}_0 , the usual adaptive algorithm reads as follows:

- (i) Refine \mathcal{T}_ℓ and $\mathcal{E}_\ell = \mathcal{T}_\ell|_\Gamma$ uniformly to obtain $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\ell$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\ell = \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\ell|_\Gamma$.
- (ii) Compute Galerkin solution $(\widehat{u}_\ell, \widehat{\phi}_\ell) \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\ell = \mathcal{S}^1(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\ell) \times \mathcal{P}^0(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\ell)$.
- (iii) Find minimal set $\mathcal{M}_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\ell \cup \mathcal{E}_\ell$ such that $\theta \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\ell \cup \mathcal{E}_\ell} \mu_\ell(\tau)^2 \leq \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_\ell} \mu_\ell(\tau)^2$.
- (iv) Refine at least marked elements $T \in \mathcal{T}_\ell \cap \mathcal{M}_\ell$ and edges $E \in \mathcal{E}_\ell \cap \mathcal{M}_\ell$ to obtain $\mathcal{T}_{\ell+1}$.
- (v) Increase counter $\ell \mapsto \ell + 1$ and iterate.

In the context of FEM, convergence of such an algorithm has first been proven by [6]. Even optimality is nowadays understood for linear problems [5]. For BEM, convergence of this algorithm has recently been shown by [8]. For the adaptive coupling, the following result from our work [2] is the first convergence result available: One can prove that the adaptive algorithm guarantees $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\ell = 0$, whence $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (\widehat{u}_\ell, \widehat{\phi}_\ell) = (u, \phi) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (u_\ell, \phi_\ell)$, where only the second convergence hinges on the saturation assumption (6). Our proof follows the concept of estimator reduction proposed in [3].

Numerical experiments in [2] show that our adaptive algorithm empirically leads to optimal order of convergence with respect to the degrees of freedom. Moreover, if an error accuracy is prescribed, the introduced strategy is more effective than uniform mesh-refinement with respect to computational time and storage requirements.

Acknowledgements The authors are funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under grant P21732.

References

- [1] M. Aurada, M. Feischl, M. Karkulik, D. Praetorius, *A posteriori error estimates for the Johnson-Nédélec FEM-BEM coupling*, work in progress, 2010.
- [2] M. Aurada, M. Feischl, D. Praetorius, *Convergence of some adaptive FEM-BEM coupling*, submitted for publication, 2010.
- [3] M. Aurada, S. Ferraz-Leite, D. Praetorius, *Estimator reduction and convergence of adaptive BEM*, submitted for publication, 2010.
- [4] C. Carstensen and E. Stephan, *Adaptive coupling of boundary elements and finite elements*, RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér., 24, 779-817, 1995.
- [5] J. Cascon, C. Kreuzer, R. Nochetto, and K. Siebert, *Quasi-optimal convergence rate for an adaptive finite element method*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 46, 2524-2550, 2008.
- [6] W. Dörfler, *A convergent adaptive algorithm for Poisson's equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 33, 1106-1124, 1996.
- [7] W. Dörfler and R. Nochetto, *Small data oscillation implies the saturation assumption*, Numer. Math., 91, 1-12, 2002.
- [8] S. Ferraz-Leite, C. Ortner, and D. Praetorius, *Convergence of simple adaptive Galerkin schemes based on $h - h/2$ error estimators*, Numer. Math., 116, 291-316, 2010.